m gonta

TREATISES

Upon

Several Subjects:

Viz.

Realon and Religion, or the Grounds and Meafures of Derection.

Reflections upon the Conduct of Human Life.

The Charge of Schifm continued.

Two Treatifes concerning Divine Light.

Spiritual Counsel, or, The Fathers Advice to his Children.

Written

By JOHN NORRIS, M.A. Rector of Bemerton near Sarum.

LONDON

Printed for 9. 93 attithin at the Ship in Cornbil, and Sold by 3. 30ttes at the Bol phin and Crown in Sc. Paul's Church yard, 1698.

TREATISES

Upon

Several Subjects:

Kiz.

Action and Religion, or the Grounds and Mea

Reflections upon the Conduct of Lames Life.

The Charge of Schilm cominged.

Two Treatiffs concerning Privine Light. Spirital Counfel, e., The Fathers Adviced

Children.

ON LINO

SCHOOL AND

NEON INCOM

Total & the Delphin

rib 33 777

By JOHN MORRIS, 3

Benerice near Sec.

WALLE SOLAN NO 1

1698.

Reason and Religion: OR, THE Grounds and Measures

OF

DEVOTION,

Confider'd from the

Nature of GOD,

ANDTHE

Nature of Man,

In several Contemplations.

With Exercises of Devotion applied to every Contemplation.

Reafon and Religion:
OR, THE
Counds and Measures

DEVOTION,

Name of GOD,

Nature of Man,

In I veral Contemplations.

With Exercises of Devotion applied to every Concemplation.

To his GRACE

The Duke of Ormand,

CHANCELLOR

A.H.T. 30n, and the

University of Oxford.

May it please your Grace,

IS not that I Affect the Patronage of great Perfons, That this Philosophical piece of Devotion is now commended to Yours; but because I thought none so fit as your Grace to Patronize a performance that so well agrees both to the Character of your Person,

The Epiftle Dedicatory.

and to the Station whereby you stand related to us.

Reason and Religion, which make every Page in this Volume, as they are the two greatest Persections of Humane Nature, so do they both conspire in your Graces Person, and that to such a degree as would too much employ our wonder, were they not both so Hereditary to your Noble Blood, as to seem rather entailed upon you by descent, than to be of your own acquiring.

But, besides your own Personal Eminency in these two Noble Qualifications of Mind, your Grace has already undertaken to be their Patron and

Pro-

The Epiftle Dedicatory.

u

h

} [-

l-

e

it

0

i-

as

n

of

r-70

d,

r-

ıd

Protector. Reason and Religion may very fitly be inscribed as a Motto upon the Gates of our University; and every one of our Colleges is a School of Piety, as well as of Philosophy.

The accomplishment of our Intellectuals and Morals, is the peculiar designation of this Place, and our proper and almost only business in it. And in pursuance of this end, your Grace has been lately pleased to give us the bonour, and your self the trouble of being our Chancellor. I could not therefore but presume, that a Person by disposition of Mind so much a lover, and by place so much concerned, to be a Protector of

A 4

The Epiftle Dedicatory.

Learning and Piety, would be easily inclined to countenance a performance, whose direct aim is the promotion of both. And now that your Grace may receive as much Benefit from the perusal of these Meditations, as they will receive Honour and Reputation from your Graces Favour and Protection, is all that is further desired

By your Graces

most bumble

and devoted Servant,

J. Norris.

TO THE Slody bus

READER.

n

d

e

IS

d

S

1

There having been several Excellent things of a Devotional Nature Written for the Use and Benefit of Ordinary and Unlearned Persons, but little or nothing for the pious entertainment of more refined and elevated Understandings, I thought I could not employ my Pen to better purpose than in writing something of the like Kind for the use of the Learned Reader, who, perhaps needs as much to be assisted in his Devotion as the more Ignorant; and whose Heart may want as much to be Instanted, as the others Head does to be Instructed.

It must be acknowledged therefore that I now write only to those of the Learned Order, and not to all of them neither, but only to those

who

To the Reader.

who are not spoil'd by their Learning, and whose Understandings are not Cramp'd by the cleaving Prejudices of Scholastick Education. To those who have a Genius for the Contemplative Way, who have Patience enough to perfue a long Train of thoughts, Acuteness enough to discern their Order and Dependence, and (which is worth all) Indifferency enough to use and allow Liberty of Thinking, and not to startle, and be affrighten'd at a New Notion.

By these sew Hints the Reader may easily perceive both what kind of Compositions these are, and how I would have him come prepared and qualified to the Reading of them. What further concerns him to know, in reference to the Subject and Design of the Book, he may learn from the Introduction.

The or other hope of the bear is to to

The General

CONTENTS

Of the Whole.

PART I.

INtroduction.	Page 1.
Contemplation I.	o while 170
Of the general Idea of God.	p.7
Contemplation II.	
That God is a Being absolutely Perfe	Et, proved
from the Preceding general Idea of Contemplation III.	
That therefore all the Perfections of	particular
Beings exist in God, and that af	
excellent manner than they do in	
Beings themselves.	p.25
Contemplation IV.	
Of the Attributes of God in gener	al 3 parti-

cularly of the Unity of God: which is pro-

ved from his Idea.

p. 33

The Contents.

	Contemplation	on V.
Of the Omi	isscience and Om	nipresence of God
	Parametro	p.39
	Contemplation	n VI.
Of the Om	nipotence of God	p. 6)
06 / 0	Contemplation	

Contemplation VIII.

Of the Divine Goodness and Philanthropy. p. 78

PART II.

Contemplation I.	Medical
Of Man confider d as a Creature.	P-97
Contemplation II. Of Man consider'd as an Intelligen	t Creature
Contemplation III. Of Man consider d as an Amorous	p. 107
Contemplation IV.	p.135
Man consider'd as an Irregular Love	r. p. 146
	i ski jo

Books

1

THE O

Books Printed for S. Manship at the Ship in Cornhil, near the Royal Exchange.

A Collection of Miscellanies, confishing of Poems, Eslays, Discourses and Let-

ers in large 8°.

d. 39

1

7 I

8

7

e.

7

e.

5

6

S

Theory and Regulation of Love; a Moral Effay in two Parts: To which is added, Letters Philosophical and Moral, between the Author and Dr. More. The 2d. Edition in 8°.

Practical Discourses upon the Beatitudes of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ. To which are added, Resections upon a late Eslay concerning Humane Understanding.

Vol. I. the third Edition, in 8°.

Practical Discourses upon several Divine Subjects. Vol. II.

Practical Discourses upon several Divine

Subjects. Vol. III.

Letters Philosophical, Moral, and Divine, to the Reverend Mr. John Narris, with his Answers in 8.

Treatifesupon feveral Subjects: Formerly Printed fingly, now collected into one Vo-

lume, in 8°. large.

An Account of Reason and Faith, in relation to the Mysteries of Christianity,

in 8°. large.

These eight writ by the Reverend Mr. John Norris, Rector of Bemerton near Sarum.

A Treatife of Sacramental Covenanting with Christ. Shewing the Ungodly their Contempt of Christ, in their Contempt of the Sacramental Covenanting: With a Preface chiefly defigned for the fatisfaction of Diffenters; and to exhort all Men to Peace and Unity; in 8°, 96

An Explication of the Creed, the 10 Commandments, and the Lord's Prayer, with the Addition of some Forms of Prayers

price 1 s. 6 d.

A Dialogue betwixt two Protestants (in answer to a Popish Catechism, called, a fhort Catechism against the Sectaries) plainly shewing, that the Members of the Church of England are no Sectaries, but true Catholicks, in 8°. price 2 s.

Poetick Miscellanies, in 8° price 1 s. 6 d. The Christian Monitor, the Nineteenth Edition, price 3 d. having already fold 95 Thousand; and those that are charitably

disposed may have them for 20 s. the hundred, and so proportionably for a smaller quantity, series univel

These five written by the Reverend Mr. Joi Raw let.

An Effay concerning Humane Underflanding, in four Books, the third Edition, with large Additions, by Jo. Locke Gent. Fol-

Malebranch's Search after Truth, compleat in 2 Vol. 8°. to the 2d. is added the Author's Defence against the Accusations of

M. de

1

b

1

C

1

I

1

0

t

E

t

V

I

4

i

E

1

-

I

1

M. de la Ville. Also the Life of F. Malebranch. Done out of French by Mr. Sauls.

Christian Conferences, demonstrating the Truth of the Christian Religion and Morality. By F. Malebranch.

Practical Discourses on the Parables of our blessed Saviour. By F. Bragge Vicar of

Hitchin in Hertfordsbire.

gir

of

00

Ó

11-

th

63

in

1

n-

ch

24

da

th

15

ly

0-

er

0.

r-

n,

ıl.

n-

16

of

de

A New Voyage into Italy, with necessary Instructions for those who undertake the same, by Max. Misson, in 2 Vol. Done out of French, and illustrated with Sculp.

The Roman History, from the building of the City to the perfect Settlement of the Empire by Augustus Casar. By Sa. Echard.

the 2d. Edition with Amendments.

A full, large and general Phrase Book. By

W. Robert son A. M. price 5 s. bound.

Reflections on the good Temper and fair Dealing of the Animadverter upon D. Sher-lock's Vindication of the Holy Trinity, 4°.

Essays of Michael Seigneur de Montaigne, in 3 Books. Done into English by C. Cotton

Elg; in three Vol.

A Sermon concerning the Excellency and Usefulnessof the Common Prayer. By W. Beveridge, D.D. the Eleventh Edition, price 3 d. Those that are Charitably disposed may have them for 20 s. the hundred.

A new Voyage to the Levant, containing

remarkable Curiofities in Germany, France,

Isaly,

Italy, Malta and Turker, by the Sieur de Mont.

The fecond Edition, price 5 s.

Moral Effays, in feveral Treatifes on many important Duties, in 4 Vol. Doneout of French by a Person of Quality, in 80 large

price to s.

The Compleat Surgeon, or the whole Art of Surgery explain'd in a most familiar method: To which is added a Chyrurgical Dispensatory; shewing the manner how to prepare all fuch Medicines as are most necessary for a Surgeon. Done out of Frenth. price 2 s. 6 d.

The Light of the World a most true Relation of a Pilgrimels, M. Antonia Bonvignon, Travelling towards Eternity. Done out of

French, in 8°. price 6 s.

The Compleat Horseman, discovering the furest Marks of the Beauty, Goodness, Faults and Imperfections of Horses; the Signs and Caufes of their Difeafes, the true Method both of their Preservation and Cure, with Reflexions on the regular and preposterous Use of Bleeding and Purging; also the Art of Shooing, with the feveral kinds of Shooes, adapted to the various defects of Bad Feet, and the Preservation of good. Together with the best Method of Breeding Colts, Backing 'em, and making their Mouths, &c. Done out of French, and adorn'd with Figures.

b

0

n

g 3

t

k

n

g

EC

W OI

V

kı

of

th

ot

F

be th

no

wi

of

his

The Introduction.

T.

aof

rt

C.

al.

to

16-

b,

0

984,

ol

ng

ıfs,

he

ue

re,

-00

16

ds

of

od.

d-

eir

nd

The

HERE being nothing of greater confequence, to the highest Interest of Man, than the knowledge of God and himself, I thought I could not better employ my Solitude, either for my Own or for the World's Advantage, than in exercising my severest Contemplations upon these two great and important Subjects, the Nature of God, and the Nature of Man.

II.

It must indeed be confess'd, that there is nothing whereof we have or can have so little knowledge, as of these two things; and 'tis much to be lamented that there should lie our greatest Ignorance, where we are most concern'd to know. But thus it is : That of our felves. which we are best acquainted with, is least of all our felves: And the unknown part of this little World is much greater than the known. know but little of our Bodies, but infinitely less of our Souls. God has not given us any Idea of the latter, and whatever we can borrow from our Senfes will never be able to supply that defect. For there is a greater distance and disproportion between an Immaterial substance and a Sensible, than between one fenfible and another. But now the understanding the nature of one sensible will not suffice to make us understand the nature of another. For a Man born Blind will never from his understanding of Sounds come to understand

Italy, Malta and Turkey, by the Sieur de Mont.

The fecond Edition, price 5 s.

Moral Essays, in several Treatises on many important Duties, in 4 Vol. Done out of French by a Person of Quality, in 8° large,

price to s.

The Compleat Surgeon, or the whole Art of Surgery explain'd in a most familiar method: To which is added a Chyrurgical Dispensatory; shewing the manner how to prepare all such Medicines as are most necessary for a Surgeon. Done out of Frenth, price 2 s. 6 d.

The Light of the World, a most true Relation of a Pilgrimes, M. Amonia Bonvignon, Travelling towards Eternity. Done out of

French, in 8°. price 6 s.

The Compleat Horseman, discovering the surest Marks of the Beauty, Goodness, Faults and Impersections of Horses; the Signs and Caules of their Diseases, the true Method both of their Preservation and Cure, with Reflexions on the regular and preposterous Use of Bleeding and Purging; also the Art of Shooing, with the several kinds of Shooes, adapted to the various defects of Bad Peet, and the Preservation of good. Together with the best Method of Breeding Colts, Backing em, and making their Mouths, &c. Done out of French, and adorn'd with Figures.

b

0

n

g

t

k

m

g

EC

W

V

kı

of

th

O

Fo

th

no

W

of

hi

The Introduction.

T.

aof

rt

C.

al

to

6-

6,

0

28,

of

ng

fs,

be

uc

re,

10-

16

ds

of

od.

d-

eir

nd

Che

duence, to the highest Interest of Man, than the knowledge of God and himself, I thought I could not better employ my Solitude, either for my Own or for the World's Advantage, than in exercising my severest Contemplations upon these two great and important Subjects, the Nature of God, and the Nature of Man.

II.

It must indeed be confess'd, that there is nothing whereof we have or can have so little knowledge, as of these two things; and cis much to be lamented that there should lie our greatest lenorance, where we are most concern'd to know. But thus it is: That of our felves. which we are best acquainted with, is least of all our felves: And the unknown part of this little World is much greater than the known. know but little of our Bodies, but infinitely less of our Souls. God has not given us any Idea of the latter, and whatever we can borrow from our Senfes will never be able to supply that defect. For there is a greater distance and disproportion between an Immaterial substance and a Sensible, than between one fensible and another. But now the understanding the nature of one sensible will not fuffice to make us understand the nature of another. For a Man born Blind will never from his understanding of Sounds come to understand Colours. Much less therefore will our understanding of Sensible things help us to understand the nature of Immaterial Substances.

III.

And if not of Immaterial fubstances in general, much less will it serve us to understand the Essence of the great God, which infinitly transcends all other Immaterial substances. The Idea of God is least capable of all Spiritual Beings, to be form'd out of sensible phantasms. For I consider that by how much the more our Mind is raised to the Contemplation of Spiritual things, by fo much the more we always abstract from sensibles. But now the highest and last term of Contemplation is, the Divine Effence. Whence it follows necessarily, that the Mind which fees the Divine Essence, must be totally and throughly absolv'd from all commerce with the Corporeal Senses, either by Death, or some extatical and rapturous Abstraction. So true is that which God faid to Moses, Thou canst no see my face, for there shall no man see me, and live, Exod. 33, 20.

IV.

So far therefore are we from deriving any Idea of God from our Senses, that they are our greatest Impediment in Divine Contemplations. So great, that we cannot any other way clearly apprehend the Essence of God while we are lodg't in the Prison of our Senses. God cannot give we a distinct view of himself, while we hold any commerce with our Senses. For he that knows exactly what proportion our present condition beam to his own Divine Glories, has told us, That me Man shall see him, and live. We must therefore so ever despair of conceiving the Divine Essense.

clearly and distinctly, not only from our Senses, but even with them. the land do our wad, learned the

ıd.

he

al,

300

0-

is

b'n

by

the

ich

But

is, ly,

uft

m.

eth.

rue

220t

ve.

dea

at.

So

ap.

gi

U

m.

131

ar

ne

for

na

arh

Not that there is any darkness or obscurity in God. No. God is the most knowable Object in himself. For he is the First Being, and therefore the First Truth, and therefore the First Intelligible, and confequently the most Intelligible. One Apoftle fays that he dwells in light; and another, that he is light, and that there is no darkness at all in Tim. 6.16. him. God therefore confider'd in his own Na- John t. 4. ture is as well the most Intelligible, as the most Intelligent Being in the World.

The difficulty therefore arises not from the obfourity of the Object, but from the disproportion of the Faculty. For our Understandings stand affected to the most manifest Objects, as the Eye of a Bat to the light of the Sun, as the Philosopher observes in his Metaphysics. God dwells in light, as the Apostle says, but then 'tis such as no Man can approach unto; ous sindy a rejeller, he inhabits unapproachable light, or a light which cannot be come at, not for its distance (for he is not far Tim. 6.164 from every one of us) but for its brightness. The very Angels are forc'd to veil their faces when Ad. 17.27. they fee it; but for Mortals, they cannot fo much as come nigh it. The fhort is, God is too intelligible to be here clearly understood by an Imbody'd Understanding; and too great a Light hinders vision, as much as Darkness.

VII.

But tho' we cannot here have a clear and distinct knowledge either of God or our Selves, yet we may know so much of both as may serve the B 2

ends

ends of Piety and Devotion. We may by attending to that general Idea of God, which is by himfelf imprinted on our Minds, learn to unfold many of the Perfections of his Glorious and Invisible Effence; and the we cannot fee his face and live, yet his back-parts (we know) were once feen by Mortal capacity, and fo may be again. And -for our felver the God has not given us any Idea of our own Souls, yet the powers and operations, the condition, circumstances, and accidents of our Nature, are things that may fall within the Sphere of Human confideration. And from both these we may derive Measures for our due behaviour towards the Great God. And this is the defign of the present Contemplations, viz, to confider fo much of the nature of God, and the nature of Man, as may afford sufficient Grounds and Measures for true Piety and Devotion.

VIII.

By Devotion here I do not meerly understand that special disposition or act of the Soul, whereby we warmly and passionately address our felves to God in Prayer, (which is what is commonly meant by Devotion) but I use the word in a greater Latitude, fo as to comprehend under it Faith, Hope, Love, Fear, Truft, Humility, Submission, Homour, Reverence, Adoration, Thanksgiving, in a word, all that Duty which we owe to God. Nor by this acceptation do I firetch the word beyond what either from its rife it may, or by frequent use among the Learned it does fignifie Devotion is d devovendo, from devoting, or giving up ones felf wholly to the Service of another. And accordingly those among the Heathers who deliver'd and confign'd themselves up to Death, for the safety of their Coun1-

a-

le

e,

y

d

4

s,

f

h

-

-

.

-

S

Country, were called Devois. And so in like manner for a Man to give up himself wholly and intirely to the Service of God, and actually to demean himself towards him in the conduct of his life, as becomes a Creature towards his Creator, is Devotion. And in this Latitude the word is used by Aquinas, who defines Devotion to be, A will readily to give up ones self to all those things which belong to the Service of God.

82. A. I.

This is what I here understand by Devotion, and of which I intend in the following Contemplations to assign the Grounds and Measures from the Nature of God, and the Nature of Man. But before I proceed to inforce and direct Devotion from these two particular Subjects of Contemplation, I think it not improper to consider a little by way of preparation, how much Contemplation or Meditation in general contributes to the advantage of Devotion.

X

They that make Ignorance the Mother of Devotion, cannot suppose Contemplation any great
friend to it. For the more a Man Contemplates,
the more he will know, and the wifer he grows,
the less apt upon their supposition he will be for
Devotion. But I would ask the Men of this fancy
this one Question. Is Devotion a Rational thing,
or is it not? If not, why then do they recommend Ignorance or any thing else in order to it?
For it may as well, nay better, be let alone. But
if it be a Rational thing, then they must either
say, that the more a Man considers, the less he
will discover the Reasons of it; or that the more
he discovers the Reasons of it, the less he will be

perswaded to the practice of it. Both which Propositions are absurd and ridiculous enough to be laught at, but too ridiculous to be seriously resured.

XI.

But to shew how much Contemplation serves to the advantage of Devotion, we need only confider, that Devotion is an act of the Will, that the Object of the Will is good apparent, or good understood, and consequently that every act of the Will is influenc'd and regulated by consideration. Devotion therefore is as much influenc'd by consideration as any other act of the Will is: And therefore I cannot but admire at the Disposers of the Angelical Hierarchies, for making the Seraphim excel in Love and Devotion, and the Cherubim in Knowledge. As if Knowledge were not the best preparative for Devotion.

XII.

h

e

V

th

7

he

N

b

th

ve th

de

I deny not but that Knowledge and Devotion often go asunder, and the Wifest are not always the Devoutest. But then this is not owing to the natural and direct influence of Knowledg, but comes to pass only occasionally and accidentally, by reafon of some other impediment : Suppose Pride, Lust, Covetouineis, or some such indisposition of Mind, which is of more force and prevalency to lett our Devotion, than Knowledge is to further And then no wonder that the heavier Scale weighs down. But still Knowledge has a natural aptness to excite Devotion, and will infallibly do it if not hinder'd by some other cause. So that we may take this for a never-failing Rule, That all other things being equal, the more knowing and confidering, still the more Devout. And in this sense also that of the Pfalmist will be verifi'd, while I was musing the fire kindled.

P[41. 39

The great God so inlighten my Mind, and so govern my Pen, that by these my Meditations I may illustrate his ineffable Excellence, and kindle holy flames of Devotion, both in my Self, and in my Reader. To him therefore I pray in the words of Mofes, I befeech thee, shew me thy glory. Amen.

9

d.

1t d of

ìy d

ρf 4m

ſŧ

f-

ne

1-25

2+ e,

of

0

r

e

al

0

t

it

g

n

Contemplation I.

Of the general Idea of God.

OD never at any time discover'd so much of himself in so sew words, as when he said to Moses, enquiring by what Name he should stile him, to make him known to the Children of Ifrael, Thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel, I A M hath fent me unto you, הוה אשר אהוה i. e. not as we render it in English, I am that I am, but, I am that am, or, I am he that am. And so the Seventy read it, 'End out & dy, I am he that is.

This is the Sacred and Incommunicable Name of the Great God, that which contains in it war To Angona The Seotnie, all the Fulnes of the Godhead, all the Treasures of the Divinity. By this Name he is diftinguish'd not only from false Gods, but from all other Beings whatfoever. I am be that am, fays God, implying that he is after some very Eminent and Peculiar Manner, and that nothing else besides him truly is. This therefore denotes the great Eminence and Peculiarity both

both of the Effence and of the Existence of God. Here therefore I shall take my ground, and shall hence deduce and unfold, 1. The general Idea of Notion of God. 2ly. Some of those chief Excellencies and Perfections of his which may have a more strong and immediate Instruction upon our Piety and Devotion.

III.

In the present Contemplation I am concern'd for no more than only to fix the general Idea or Notion of God. This is of extraordinary moment to the clearness and distinctness of our following Contemplations; for unless we take the right thred at first, the whole progress will be nothing else but error and confusion.

IV.

Now as to the general Idea or first Conception of God, this has been Universally understood to be, that he is a Being absolutely perfect. This I say has been made the general Notion of God in all the Metaphysics and Divinity that I have yet seen. And particularly it has been imbraced by two Authors of extraordinary Speculation, Gartesius and Dr. More, whose Authority in this matter claims a peculiar deference, because they are Men that Philosophize with a free and unadditted Genius, and write not as they read, but as they think.

V.

This Opinion, because embraced by many, and some of them great Patrons, I once took for a Theorem of unquestionable Truth. But upon a more narrow inspection, I find it necessary to distent from it, tho' it be call'd fingularity to do so. For however plausible it may at first view appear, I think there is no less an Objection than plain De-

monstration

th

fo

t

te

to

O

716

V

tŀ

in

fo

CE

n

n

if

th

W

fa

21

C

П

W pk

monstration against it. Which I make out upon these grounds.

d.

10

n-

a

UŤ

b

or

nt

ng

ht

ng

nc

tò

all

n.

und

ns

at

IS,

br

ere

nt

OF

T

en

VI.

pirst I consider that the idea of a thing is that formal conception or inward word of the Mind, which expresses or represents the Essence or Nature of a thing. Then again, by the Essence of a thing, is meant that which ought to be first conceived in a thing, and to which all other things are understood as superadded. I say, which ought to be first conceived, because in fixing the Essence of a thing, not the arbitrary or accidental, but the natural order of Conception to is be attended to. Whence it follows, that the Idea of a thing is that which expresses that which is first of a thing in order of conception.

VII.

This being granted, it does hence evidently follow, That that which is not first to be conceived in the nature of a thing, but supposes somewhat there before it in order of conception, cannot be the Idea of that thing, tho' it be never so necessarily and inseparably joyn'd with it. For if it were, then something would be the Idea of a thing, which is not first in order of conception; which is against the definition of such an Idea.

VIII.

For, to illustrate this by an example, Who will fay that the Idea of a Triangle consists in this, that any two of its sides, taken together, are greater than the third remaining? This is, indeed, a necessary affection of a triangle, but it must by no means be allow'd to be its Idea, because 'tis not what we first conceive in it, that being this, viz. that which is comprehended by three right Lines: Which

Which being the first thing conceiv'd, is therefore the true Idea of a Triangle.

IX.

th

lo

th

F

th

Id

to

cc

Ri

be

he

Te.

Be

Ta

h

ľ

th

e

Now that to be a Being absolutely perfect, is not the first conceivable in God, but supposes some. thing before it in the Divine Nature, is plain from hence, because it may be proved a priori, or by way of a caufal dependence from something in the fame Divine Nature. That it may be thus proved I shall make appear in my fecond Contemplation, where I shall make it my profest business actually to prove it. At present I suppose it, and upon that supposition do, I think, rightly conclude, that to be a Being absolutely perfect, cannot be properly the Idea of God. For the Consequence of my Argument will, I suppose, be acknowledg'd by all, the only difficulty is concerning the Propofition it felf: But the Proof of this I referve to the next Contemplation.

X.

If it be in the mean time objected, That to be a Being absolutely Perfect, is involv'd in the Notion of God; and that 'tis an Idea that can belong to no other Being, and that therefore it must be the Idea of God. To this I answer, 1. That a thing may be involv'd in the Notion of a thing either Formally and Explicitely, or . else Vertually Whatever is involv'd Formally and Implicitly. and Explicitly in any Idea, is Essential to that But not what is involv'd only vertually and implicitly. Thus 'tis vertually and implicitly involv'd in the Idea of a Triangle, that it has three Angles equal to two Right ones, and yet we don't therefore make this the Idea of a Triangle, because 'tis not there Formally and Explicitly, but ere-

, is

ne.

om by

the

ro-

ess

and

on-

not

g'd

PO-

the

be

10-

be.

it

nat

ng

elly

lly

lly

it-

et

n-

ly,

but only Vertually and Potentially. But now to be a Being absolutely perfect is not involved in the Notion of God Formally and Explicitly, but only Vertually and Potentially (as will appear in the next Contemplation) and consequently its hence more rightly concluded, that the Idea of God does not consist in this, that he is a Being Absolutely Perfect.

XI.

To the fecond part of the Objection I answer. that 'tis not enough to make a thing the Idea of a thing, because it can belong to no other Being For then to be Circumscriptively in a place must be the Idea of a Body, and to be Rifible must be the Idea of a Man, for these are supposed to belong to no other Beings. No, the most that ought to concluded hence, is, that fuch things are xvews ina frist properties, such as immediately and necessarily flow from the Essence of the subject; not that they are the very Essence it felf. When therefore tis faid that to be a Being absolutely perfect can belong to no other but God, all that may be hence concluded is, that 'tis an Effential, and confequently Incommunicable Property of God to be a Being absolutely perfect, but not that therein the Idea of God does confift.

XII.

If then this be not the Idea of God, wherein thall we fix it? It must be in something which we first conceive in God, and which is the Basis and Foundation of whatever we ascribe to him, whatever we think or say of him. It must be in something that in some measure expresses and represents his Essence. And where shall we look for this but in his Name, that great Name whereby he

reveal'd himself to Moses, and whereby Mose was to make him known to his own People, who were then ignorant of him? In this Name of God I suppose his Essence and Idea to be couch'd.

XIIL

Here therefore I find my felf ingaged in the two confiderations. 'First, Why the Idea of Go should be lodg'd in his Name. Secondly, What this Idea of God is, which is there lodg'd. Tha the Idea of God is lodg'd in his Name, I am indu ced to believe upon these grounds. First, Because I think it highly reasonable to suppose in general That whenever God gives a name to any thing 'tis fuch as expresses its nature. Not that word fignifie naturally, but that God makes choice of fuch a word whose fignification naturally expresfes the thing, tho'twas thro' Arbitrary instituti on that it first came by such a signification. this fense, I say, 'tis highly reasonable to believe that God always names things according to their natures. For is it consistent with the Accuracy of Infinite Wisdom to mis-call any thing? No, as h knows the number of things, so he is as well skill in their natures. And therefore, fays the Pfalmif. He telleth the number of the stars, and calleth them a by their names, That is, by fuch fignificant name as express their several Powers and Influences. XIV.

PGI. 147.

Secondly, I confider, That as tis highly decorous and reasonable in general, that God should call things by such names as express their natures so there is here a particular reason why he should express his own Essence in this his name. For thu

stood the case: The Children of Israel had been now along while conversant among the Egyptian who

Mofe who were the greatest Idolaters and Polysheifts in whe the World, there they had been used to va-God riety of Gods, who were also call'd by variety of names. Hereupon, fays Mofes to God, Behold, when I come unto the children of Ifrael, and fhall fay their unto them, the God of your Fathers hath fent me unto you; and they shall say to me, What is his Name? What shall I say unto them? Implying that it was necessary (as indeed it was) that God should notihimself by such a Name, as would diftinguish him from all the Gods of the Heathen, that is, by Proper and Effential Name. Whereupon, fays God to Moses, I am that um. Thus shalt show say ento the children of Ifrael I am hath fent me unto you. W. K. Kaller mi ed fliw.

God

Vhat

Tha

ndu

auli

eral

ing

ord

e o

oref

tuti

eve

hei

y o

as h

Mi

mift

71 4

me

eco

ould

res

ouk

thu

pea

ans

w ho

.

It being therefore concluded, that the idea of God must be lodg'd in this his Name; let us now onlider what this idea of God is which is there odg'd. And for this we must attend in the first place to the true sense and fignification of this Name of God, I am that am, or, I went. Now this an fignifie no other, but Being it felf, or Univeral Being or Being in General, Being in the Abstract, without any refriction or limitation. As if God ad faid. You enquire who I am, and by what Name I would be distinguish'd. Know then, that, am he that am, I am Being it felf. This therefore pust express the Essence, and consequently this nust be the Formal Conception and Idea of God.

XVI.

But this must be further explained, before it be further confirm'd. In order to which, I confider, that as, in every panticular order or kind of Being there is a Universal Nature, under which all lingulars are comprehended, and whereof they all

all partake: As for instance, there is the Natu or Essence of a Circle or Triangle in commo as well as this or that particular Circle or Tria gle. So in Beings confider'd as Beings, there Being in general, Universal Being, Being it fel or the Essence of Being, as well as this or the Being in particular.

XVII.

Again I consider, that all other Universal Abstract Essences are really distinct from, and e ift out of those fingulars whose Essences they ar This must of necessity be allow'd, whatever the Peripateticks remonstrate to the contrary. Thin must exist in Idea before they do in Nature, other wife 'twill be impossible to give an intelligible a count of the fability of Science, and of Propolit ons of Evernal Truth. But this I have alread *vid. Col. demonstrated in my * Metaphysical Effay, and shi lection of have an occasion further to discourse of it when come to treat of the Omniscience of God. He therefore supposing it, I say, That as all other Universals or Abstract Essences are really distin from, and exist out of those Singulars whose I fences they are; so in like manner there is & ing it felf, or the Essence of Being, really distint

and separately existing from all particular Being XVIII.

And now that the Essence and Idea of God de confift in this Being it felf, or this Effence of Being will be further confirm'd from this Confideration That as all other Universal Natures or Essena Met. Esa, are nothing else (as I have already elsewhen and shall hereafter again prove) but the Intelle of God, which as variously imitable, or partic pable, exhibits all the general Orders and Ni

Miscella

nies.

b

t

f

C

V

tures of things; fo this Being it felf, or this Effence of Being, what can it be elfe, but the very Effence of God, containing in it the whole Plenitude and Possibility of being, all that is, or can be?

atu

mo

ria

ere

t fel

th

ale

id e

y an

r ti

hine

the

lea

Olit

read

(ha

hen

Her

oth

ftin

C E

SB

tind

gnis

do

Bein

Dist

ena

hen

elle

TLIC

Ni

And that this is the true Idea and Essence of God, to be Being it self, is further plain, because its the first conceivable in God. For the proof of this, That it is the first conceivable in God, I might appeal to experience. For, let any Contemplative Person try whether this be not the first thing he conceives when he hears the Name of God. But I demonstrate it thus. The first thing which is conceivable in God, must be the first thing that can absolutely be conceiv'd. But Being it self is the first thing that can absolutely be conceiv'd. Therefore Being it self is the first thing which is conceivable in God.

XX.

The Assumption I suppose, will pass unquestion'd with all. For what can'we possibly conceive before Being it self? The Proposition I prove thus. God is the sirst; or, there is nothing before God. And therefore the first thing which is conceivable in God, must be the first thing that can absolutely be conceived. Otherwise there would be something before God, which is against the supposition. The conclusion therefore follows, That Being it self is the first thing which is conceivable in God; and consequently, that the true Idea of God, is Being it self; which was the thing to be proved.

The Use of this to Devotion.

If then God be Being it self, there is Infinite reafon why we should Love, Fear, Reverence and Adore

Adore him. Por what an inlarged, indeterminant orascendental, universalized thing is Being it self There is a vast Amplitude in the degrees of particuler Beings, and inconceivable almost is the difproportion between an Intelligence of the highest order, and a piece of dead impoverish'd Matter. But what is this to the difproportion between the highest particular Being, nay all the particular Beingsthat are or can be, and Being it felf? This is that which truly is, all other things are but for dows and phantafins. Being it felf is its own Bali and Foundation, the great contrariety to nothing the Reddy and immost support and establishmen of all things that have Being, and the fountain of all that can be. 'Tis an Ocean without a Shore a Depth without a Bottom. In fhort, 'tis fuch a immense Amplitude as a Man cannot duly think of without the profoundest impressions of awe and reverence, humility, and felf-annihilation, low and wonder, fear and great joy.

The Aspiration.

ar

he

Thou whose Name is Jehovah, who art the very Effence of Being, who art Being it self, how can I ever sufficiently love, sear, reverence and Adore thee! Thou art above all the Affections of my Heart, all the motions of my Will, yea and at the conceptions of my Understanding; No soone do I begin to think of thee, but I am plunged be yond my depth; my thoughts are all swallows up and overwhelm'd in their first Approach to the Essence, and I shall sooner lose my felf that find thee.

O dreadful Excellence, I tremble to think of the

Essence; my Soul turns her self from thee, She cannot look forward, She pants, She burns, She languishes, is beaten back with the light of thy Glories, and returns to the familiarity of her own Darknes, not because She chuses it, but because She is Weary.

O Sovereign greatness, how am I impoverished, how am I contracted, how am I annihilated in thy Presence! Thou only art, I am not, Thou art all, I am nothing. But 'tis well, O my God, that I am nothing, so thou art all; 'tis well I am not in my

felf, fo I am in thee.

if!

ti

if.

eft

er.

che

lat

his

ha.

ali

ing,

cal

a of

ore,

n an

k of

200

OVE

the felf.

and ns of

one

d be owld

than

fth

nce

O Being it felf, 'tis in thee that I live, move, and have my being. Out of thee I am nothing, I have nothing, I can do nothing. I am but little and inconsiderable with thee, and what then should I be without thee? To thee therefore I devote and dedicate my whole self, for I am wholly thine. I will ever live to thee, since I must ever live in thee, And oh let my Beloved be ever Mine, as I am, and ever will be His. Amen.

Contemplation II.

That God is a Being absolutely Perfect, proved from the Preceding general Idea of God:

Rom the Essences of things flow all their Attributes and Perfections. Having therefore in the preceding Contemplation fix'd the Essence and Idea of God in Being it felf, I shall now in the first place hence deduce that he is a Being absolutely perfect. This has been hitherto taken for the very formal conception and Idea of God, and accordingly has been made the ultimate ground and foundation to prove all his other Perfections, but has rather been supposed than proved it self. But now I make this the first general Astribute of God, to be a Being absolutely Perfect. The proof and deduction of which, from his Idea, is the concern of the present Contemplation.

II.

Let us therefore reassume the Idea of God, which if we attend to, we shall differ that absolute perfection is vertually involv'd in it, and confequently that Godis a Being absolutely perfect. The Idea of God, as we have shewn, is Being it self. Now I consider in the first place that Being it self contains in it all the degrees of Being, and consequently all possible Perfection. The Argument in form runs thus. Whatever has all the degrees of Being, has all Perfection.

But Being it self has all the degrees of Being. Therefore Being it self has all Persection.

III.

The proof of the first Proposition will depend upon this, that Perfection is nothing elle but degree of Being. If this be once made out, then it plainly follows that whatever has all the degrees of Being has all Perfection. Now to prove that Perfection is nothing else but degree of Being, I consider first that all Perfection is by Addition. For the more perfect any thing is, the more it has. But now all Addition is by the Accession of something that really is. For nothing, tho' never so often repeated, will add nothing. And therefore Perfection is nothing else but a further degree of Being.

P

6-

ne.

0-

ba

15,

If.

of

of

be

od.

fo-

on-

ect. i it

ing

and

ent

rees

g.

end

gret

inly

eing

tion

firft

nore

BOW

that

ated s no

17.

and confequally the of LVI that whaterenhas, all This I confirm by confidering further that every thing is perfect just fo far as it is, and according to the mode of Being, fo is the mode of perfection. Thus Vertue is no otherwise the perfection of a Man than as he is vertuous, not firength than he is ftrong. And fo in all other instances according as any thing is, fo is its Perfection, And fo on the contrary, all imperfection is want of being, and every thing is just so far imperfect as it is not. some way or other. Now if every thing be so far perfect or imperfect respectively as it either is, or is not, then it clearly follows that perfection it felf is nothing else but degree of Being.

Again I consider, that 'tis impossible that perfection should be any thing else but degree of being. For there is nothing in the World but Being. If therefore one thing be more perfect than another, it must be, it can be for no other reason but because it has more degrees of Being, there not being any thing elfe whereby it may exceed. For I think the case is here as in Numbers. One number exceeds another not by any vertue or quality of another kind, but only by the multiplication of the fame units. And fo one Being exceeds, or is more perfect than another, not by any thing which is not Being, but only by having more units or degrees of Being. Bare, meer Being is as 'twere an unit, the lowest perfection; and the only way to make it more perfect is multiplication, by adding to it more of the fame units. And confequently perfection is nothing elfe but degree of Being.

This Proposition being sufficiently clear'd, that C 2 PerPerfection is nothing else but a Degree of Being, and consequently the other, that whatever has all the degrees of Being has all Perfection; let us now consider whether Being it self has all the Degrees of Being. That it has so, will thus appear: Being it self is indeterminate in being, and consequently has all the degrees of Being. The Argument reduced to Form is this: Whatever is indeterminate in being has all the Degrees of Being.

But Being it self is indeterminate in being: Therefore Being it self has all the degrees of

Being.

VII

And first, that whatever is indeterminate in Being has all the degrees of Being is certain, for the whole Power and Plenitude of Being can be but indeterminate or infinite, there is nothing beyond that, and therefore that which is indeterminate in Being, is adequate to the whole Power and Plenitude of Being; and consequently has all the Degrees of Being.

VIII.

And now that Being it felf is indeterminate in being is as certain. For what should bound it? Nothing can be bounded but by something that is before it: Which I thus demonstrate. To bound or limit a thing is to give it such a definite Portion of Being and no more. Now to give such a definite portion of Being and no more, implies giving of Being Simply, and that is the same as to be a Cause, and every Cause is before the effect, and consequently nothing can be bounded but by something that is before it. But now what is before Being it self? Being it self is the first thing

g, 111

us

er:

e-

r-

n-

of.

g:

of

in

or

be

e-

er-

er:

all

in

it?

at

Го

ite

ch

ies

to

ct,

by

be-

ng ac

that can be conceived: And therefore as that which is First in any kind, cannot be bounded by any thing in that kind, fo Being it felf, which is Absolutely First, cannot be bounded by any thing at all, because is before all things. Indeed this or that particular Being may well be bounded, because it partakes so much and no more of Being it felf, or the Essence of Being. But Being it felf having nothing before it from whence it might receive limits, must of necessity. be unlimited in being, and confequently have all the Degrees of Being A To started your started

to his most hape. XIt degree, And that Being it felf has all the Degrees of Being, I further deduce from this Confideration; All Absolute and Universal Natures or Esfences, have all the Perfection of their respective Orders and kinds. And it cannot be otherwise, fince they are abstracted from particular Subjects, whose deficiency in receiving is the ground of all that defect and imperfection which is in all Concrete Entities. Thus this or that particular Mufical Composition, or this or that particular Vertuous Man, are of a limited excellence, because they partake of Harmony and Vertue to fuch a certain Measure. But now suppose Harmony it felf, or Vertue it felf, should exist Separately in the very Essence, they would necessarily have all the possible degrees of Harmony and Vertue. And accordingly 'tis as reasonable to conclude that Being it self has all the degrees of Being. Whence it follows, that Being it felf has all Perfection, and consequently that God, who is Being it self, is Absolutely perfect.

New if to this it be Objected, That those things whith only are, are the most imperfect, and that therefore Being it felf is most imper fect : and that therefore if God be Being it felf, he will be fo far from being Absolutely Perfect, that he will be the most imperfect Being of any. I answer. That if those things which only are, were therefore imperfect because of the imperfection of Being Absolutely, as the Objection Suppoles, the Confequence would then be as is obiched. But they are therefore only imperfect, because they partake of Absolute Being, according to its most imperfect degree, which is only to exist, They are not therefore imperfect as they are, but as they are not. As they are they are Perfett, for to be is to be fo far Perfect, and to be Absolutely is to be Absolutely Persect. God therefore who Absolutely is Absolutely Perfect.

XI.

The same Conclusion I further demonstrate by this Order or Reasoning. That must needs be Absolutely Persect which has no impersection: All impersection is want of Being, and therefore that must needs have no impersection which is utterly removed from not being, and that must needs be utterly removed from not being which has all Being, and that must needs have all Being, which is Being it self; and therefore that which is Being it self must needs be absolutely Persect; God therefore who is Being it self, is also an absolutely Persect Being. Which was the Proposition to be proved.

a

1

p

k

Q

h

h

fi

ij

P

p

a

ti

W

e

a

W

I

The Use of this to Devotion.

はいのいいのはい

Cop W

y is Td

d

0

9

15

i-

n d

E

9

g

26

If then Perfection be only degree of Being, and if God be Infinite in Being because Being it felf, and confequently has all the degrees of Being, and confequently all Perfections, it will hence follow, that we own and acknowledge this absolute Perfection of his by a suitable exercise of all our Powers and Faculties. For this is but frict Justice. And that therefore we contemplate his Superlative Excellence with the deepest Awe, Reverence, and Admiration; that we love and defire him with the full bent and fpring of our Souls; that we fix and concenter upon him all our Passions and Affections; that we make him our end and center, the center of our defires, and the end of our actions; that we despise our selves and the whole Creation in comparison of him; and that lastly we so study to please this absolutely Perfect Being, that we may at last be admitted to the glorious Communications of his Infinite Perfections.

The Aspiration.

Mr Lord and my God, with what awful apprehensions do I contemplate thy Perfections! How am I struck, dazled, and confounded with the light of thy Glories! Thy Being standeth like the strong Mountains, and thy Perfections are like the great Deep. How can I think of thee without wonder and astonishment, and how can I think of any thing else but thee!

O thou Circle of Excellency, thou endless Orb of Persection, where shall I begin to love thee? Thou art altogether Lovely; oh that I were also altogether Love! My God, I desire nothing but to love thee, and to be loved by thee. Thou art all Fair, my Love, there is no spot in thee. My beloved is Light, and in him is no Darkness at all, Let him therefore his me with the kisses of his

mouth, for his love is better than wine.

My great God, how do I despise my self and the whole Creation when I once think upon thee! Whom have I in Heaven but thee, and there is none upon Earth that I desire in comparison of thee. Thou alone dost so fill my Thoughts, so ravish my Affections, that I can contemplate nothing but thee, I can admire nothing but thee, and I can love nothing but thee. Nor do I think my Soul straitned in being confined to thee, for thou, O my God art All.

O my God, I have look for thee in holiness, that I might behold this thy power and thy glory. I can now see it but in a Glass darkly, but thou hast told us that those who are pure in heart shall hereafter see thee face to face. Grant therefore I may so love, fear and serve thee here, that I may behold thee, and enjoy thee, as thou art in thy Infinite St., for ever hereafter, Amen.

coffin Glodes Thy a

the reas Detp.

Pial. 63.

B

CI

0

oi h:

В

В

Contemplation III.

the state of

on 1y

U.

bis

nd

e!

one

ee.

ifh

out

ove

luo

0

hat

an

aft re-

e l

ay

In-

1

יווכ

That therefore all the Perfections of particular Beings exist in God, and that after a more excellent manner than they do in particular Beings themselves.

particular Beings them? Ives can. Are if Being

In the preceding Contemplations it has been shewn, that the Essence and Idea of God confists in Being it self, and that therefore God is a Being absolutely Perfect. I now further consider, that from the same ground it may be concluded, that, all the Perfections of particular Beings exist in God, and that after a more excellent manner than they do in particular Beings themselves. And first, that all the Perfections of particular Beings do exist in God.

II.

This I collect thus from the Idea of God. If Being it felf be therefore absolutely Perfect because it has all the degrees of Being, as has been proved, then by the same proportion it plainly follows, that if Being it self has all the degrees of Being that are in all particular Beings, it must have all the Perfection that is in all particular Beings. This consequence I say is undeniable, because Perfection is nothing else but degree of Being. And that Being it self has all the degrees of Being that are in all particular Beings, might be sufficiently concluded from this, That it has

all the degrees of Being Simply and Abfolutely; which was proved before. But I further infer it thus:

III.

Being it felf is the cause of all particular Beings, for all particular Beings are what they are by partaking of Being it felf: and if Being it felf be the cause of all particular Beings, then it must be also the cause of all the degrees of Being that are in particular Beings, for these can no more rife up into act from themselves, than the particular Beings themselves can. And if Being it felf be the cause of all the degrees of Being that are in particular Beings, then it must have in it felf all those degrees; for nothing can communicate what it has not. The fhort is, Whatever is in the effect must pre-exist some way or other in shat cause upon which it wholly depends. But now if Being it felf be the cause of all the degrees of Being that are in particular Beings, then particular Beings wholly depend upon Being it felf; and confequently whatever Perfection is in particular Beings, must exist in Being it felf, which was the first Proposition to be proved.

IV:

The next is, That the Perfections of particular Beings do exist in God after a more excellent manner than they do in the particular Beings themselves. For the Prerogative of God above his Creatures does not consist meerly in this, That there are more Perfections in God than he ever did, or will, or can communicate to his Creatures, but that he has also those very Perfections which shey have in a more eminent manner.

y; fer

Be-

are

it

it

ng

no

he

ing

O

ave

can

is

VAY

de-

of

lar

noc

rfd

ing

be

CUH MS ng

OVC

hat

ver

rea-

Ons

V.

There is indeed a great deal of Perfection and Beauty in the World, enough to affect the Curiwith the greatest Pleasure, and the Religious with the greatest Devotion. And therefore St. suffin discoursing upon that place of St. Paul to he Romans, where the Geneiles are faid to know sed, but yet not to glorific him as God; Whence pald they know him? fays he, From the things wish he has made? For do but ask the Beauty of the en, ask the Beauty of the dilated and diffused Air, sk the Beauty of the Heavens, ask the Order of the tars, ask the Sun clarifying the Day with his Brightefs, ask the Moon tempering the darkness of the folwing Night with ber Splendor, ask the Animals hich move in the Waters, on the Earth, and in the fir. The Souls which lie hid, the Bodies that are erspicuous, the visible things that are to be govern'd, nd the invisible Governours; Ask all these, they will Il of them give Answer, Behald, look upon us, we Their Beauty is their Confession. Who re Fair. nade these Mutable Fairs, but the Immutable Fair? but he that would be more fenfibly affected with he Beauty of the Universe, let him consult that xcellent Draught which the Roman Orator has Deorum. iven of it, which I take to be as fine a Descrip- Lib. 2. on as ever was made, either by bis or any other

And 'tis highly reasonable, that there should e a great deal of Beauty in the Creation, fince the World was made by him who is Being it elf, and confequently Beauty it felf, and who must needs imprint his own likeness upon the things which he has made. For if God must have all the

the Perfections which are in the Creature, the God can communicate no other Perfections u the Creature than what he has himfelf, (he him felf having all) and consequently the Creatur and must partake of the likeness of God. And then fore it may be faid, That God made not on Man, but the whole World, in a larger fense, a ter his own Image: And as Art imitates Natur fo Nature imitates God. The short is, if Go has All of the Creature, then the Creature mul have something of God, and therefore must he fome degree resemble him. And says Platon Be his Timans, warta bri maxisa i Benide yireadan was ma Thiosa auto. He would have all things come a in nigh himself as might be. And to the same effet . Aquinas, Res omnes create sunt quedam Imagin primi Agentis; All created things are certain Ima

Gent, c.19, ger of the first Agent.

But the Nature imitates God, yet it happen me here, as in most other imitations, the Extras comes far fhort of the Original. God does not is only excell the Creatures in having degrees of Being, which he will not, cannot communicate to them, but also in having their Persections is a more excellent manner than they have them felves. Thus that Beauty which charms the Eyes of the Amorous, exists more excellently in God than in the sweetest Face which they admire. And that Harmony which dissolves the Soul into Raptures and Extalies, has a much mon perfect Existence in God than in the most agree able Sounds that can possibly conspire together The Creature is very unlike God, even where it resembles him, and accordingly the Scripture fome.

Dy

As

Ł

m

Be

N

the cometimes makes mention of a likeness that is sau between God and his Creatures, as when it fays, him Let us make man after our own likeness. Again at Gen. t. atu mother time it utterly disowns it, as when it then fays, To whom then will ye liken God, or what like- Isa. 40.18. only me [s will ye compare unto bim?

e, al

cate

s ii

me-

tan And that things should thus fall short of Ged. Go even in that very respect wherein they resemble mul bim, is no more than what Reason will con-A dude necessary. For God being the very Essence of wois Being, or Being it felf, and therefore indeterminate in Being, and therefore also in Perfection, it follows that he has not only all Kinds of Per-like ection, but that every Kind of Perfection, which give has must needs be as excellent as is possible in Int that Kind. Thus for instance, The Beauty that in God must be as perfect as 'tis possible for Beauty to be, and fo the Harmony that is in God pen must be as perfect as 'cis possible for Harmony to trat be. That is in other words, the Beauty which not is in God must be Beauty it felf, and the Harmo-

s of my which is in God must be Harmony it self.

IX.

But now 'tis impossible that things should em exist in the Creature after such a rate as this. the Asthey are not Being it felf, but Particular Beyin ings, so every Perfection that is in them is not ad that Perfection it self, in the Abstract, but only the Particular, Derivative and Concrete. They are non Beautiful and Harmonical, but not Beauty it ree felf, nor Harmony it self. Beauty it felf can no her more be Communicated to the Creature than e it Being it self can. All the Essences and Abstract ture Natures of things are in God, or rather the very

fame with God (as I shall shew when I consider the Omniscience of God) and they are but On they cannot be Communicated or Multiplied Their Images indeed may, but they themselve cannot, for they are the same with God. Then may be many Beautiful, or particular Beauties but there can be but one Beauty it felf.

The Beauty therefore that is in the Creaton is only a flender Shadow or Reflection of the Beauty it felf which is in God, who is the Ida or Essence of Beauty. And as it is Derivative from it, fo it exists continually by it, and in it, and is every way as much depending upon it as the Reflection in the Glass is upon the Face whole Reflection it is. And as Beauty has a more en cellent way of existence in the Face it self, that in the Glass, so has it a far more perfect way o fablifting in God than in any Face or thing what foever. For all things are Reflections from him and the whole Creation is but as 'twere one great Mirrour or Glass of the Divinity.

I end this Contemplation with a very remark able passage to this purpose out of Saint Austin Tu ergo Domine fecisti ea qui pulcher es, pul Confes. c.4. chra funt enim. Qui bonus es, bona funt enim Qui es, funt enim. Nec ita pulchra funt, ne ita funt sicut tu Conditor eorum, cui Comparata nec pulchra funt, nec bona funt. Thou therefor O Lord hast made these things, who art fair, for they are fair. Who art good, for they are good Who Art, for they are. But neither are they fo fair, neither are they so good, neither are they so as thou t heir

Lib. II.

their Maker, in Comparison of whom, they are neither fair, nor good, nor are they at all.

fide

Om, plied

elva hen

ities,

aton

thu

Ide

from

and

s th

hok

e ex

that

270

hat

him great

ark

ustin

pul

nia

net

rata

efon

, for

good

fair

thos

t heir

The Use of this to Devotion.

His may be very much improv'd to the advantage of Devotion. For the great Let to Devotion is our Love of Particular and Sensible good. 'Tis a Charge that may be fasten'd upon the best of us all, more or less, that we are Lovers of Pleasure more than Lovers of God. And the Love of Pleasure Naturally alienates us from the Love of God. And therefore fays Saint John, Love noothe world, neither the things Joh. 2. 14. that are in the morld ... And to shew the great inconfistency that is between the Love of the World and the Love of God, he further tells us, If any man love the world, the love of the Father is not in him. But now if we could be but once periwaded that all the Perfections of Particular Beings exist in God, and not only so, but after a more excellent manner than they do in Particular Beings themselves, we should certainly be very much taken off from the love of Particular and Sensible good; we should not be such gross Idolaters as we are in adoring Created Beauty, but should adhere to God with more Unity and intireness of Affection. Sure I am that there is great Reason we should do so, when we consider, that let the good of the Creature be never fo Charming, the very same we may find in God with great Perfection. We can propose nothing to our felves in the Creature, but what God has more perfettly and more abundantly. To what purpose then should we go off from him, since Change

Change it felf can give us no variety, and we can only Court a New Objett, not find a New Happi ness.

The Aspiration.

NO, My fair Delight, I will never be drawn off from the Love of thee by the Charms of any of thy Creatures. Thou art not only infinitely more excellent than they, but hast their very Excellencies in a more perfect manner than they have or can have. What Temptation then can I have to leave thee? No, O my Fairest, I want Temptation to recommend my Love to thee. 'Tis too easie and too cheap a fidelity to adhere to thee, My first Love, when by Chang-

Thou, O Sovereign Fair, hast adorn'd thy

ing I can gain no more.

Creation with a Tincture of thy Brightness, thou hast shin'd upon it with the light of thy Divine Glory, and hast pour'd forth thy Beauty upon all thy Works. But they are not fair as Thou art Fair, their Beauty is not as Thy Beauty. Thou art Fairer, O my God, than the Children of Men, or the Orders of Angels, and the Arrows of thy Love are Sharper than theirs. They are indeed, My God; thy Arrows are very Sharp, and were we not too fecurely fenc'd about with our thick Houses of Clay, would wound us deeper than the Keenest Charms of thy Created Beauties. But these every Day Wound us, while we stand proof against thy Divine Artillery, because these are Sensible, and thine only Intelligible, these are visible to our Eyes, thine only to our Minds, which we feldom convert to the Contemplation of thy Beauties.

Pfal. 45.

Pfal. 45.

E

a (

f ti

0

m

no

th

of

in

At

rei

no

the

fen

Pe

ou

fity

30

vn

ns

nir an

en I to

to g.

hy fs, hy

ty as

u-

il-

he

rs.

ery

ut

125

ed

ile

re-

gi.

to

U.

pf

But O thou Infinite Fair, did we but once taffe and see, did we but Contemplate thy Original Beauty, as we do those faint Images of it that are reflected up and down among our fellow Creatures, as thy Charms infinitely exceed theirs, so would our Love to thee be Wonderful, passing the Love of Women.

Contemplation IV.

Of the Attributes of God in general; particularly of the Unity of God: Which is proved from his Idea.

T.

Oncerning the Attributes of God in general, I have no more to offer than what is commonly taught in the Schools, from which I find no reason to vary, and of which this I think is the summ and substance, first, That the Essence of God is in it self, one only general, simple and intire Persection, and that therefore the Divine Attributes are not to be considered as Accidents really distinct from the Divine Essence, and if not from the Divine Essence, then not from themselves neither.

11.

But however, Secondly, Since this Divine Effence which in it felf is one and the same general Perfection, does exert and display it self variously in its Operations, by reason of the Diversity of Objects, the Attributes of God are by us

conceiv'd distinctly. Not that they are so in respect of God, with whom they are really one and the same, and consequently so also among themfelves, but only with respect to our manner of conception.

III.

t

ŀ

C

f

d

1

N

01

be

pa

th

th

gi

fh

G

af

ab

fre

G

it

W

bu

Be

For, Thirdly, The Essence of God displays it felf variously (as was observ'd before) according to the diversity of Objects. But now the narrowness of our Faculties will not permit us to represent such a various and manifold display of Perfection in one, simple and adequate concepti-We are fain therefore to supply this defect, by framing several madequate conceptions, whereby we represent God partially and imperfectly; and which we found upon his different Operations; every one of which is conceived as proceeding from a different Perfection in God, corresponding to that particular Operation.

IV.

Now tho' this diversity be not real with respect to God, who is one and the same nature, without composition or complication of Being, but only with respect to variety of Objects and Modes of Operation, yet this is a fufficient ground for diftinct conceptions; every one of which may admit of a peculiar definition or explication. The fum is, The Attributes of God are all one and the same as to the thing signified but not as to the manner of fignification. Or, to word it more Scholastically, they may be muttally affirm'd of one another in fensu identico, but not in sensu formati. And thus must we be contented to think and talk of God while we fe him

enas

him here in a Glass darkly, till he shall reveal himself to us more persectly, and we shall see him as he is, and know him as we our selves are known.

d

n-

of

T-

he

to

of

ti-

le-

tind

fe. ei.

in

ra.

re-

ire,

ng,

and

ent

of

God God

fied,

, to

atu-

but

100

fe

him

V.

This being premised concerning the Attributes of God in general, I proceed now to Contemplate some of those particular Attributes of his, which may derive the greatest influence upon our Piety and Devotion. And among these, I first consider the Unity of God, by which I understand not a Generical, or Specifical, but a Numerical Unity, in opposition to Plurality or Multiplication. That is, That there is One, and One Only God.

VI.

The Unity of God has been ever more questioned than his Existence, and there have always been more Polytheists than Atheists. But for my part, I should sooner be an Atheist than a Polytheist, for I think it a greater absurdity, that there should be none at all. And I cannot imagine how such a wretched absurdity as Polytheism should ever obtain so much as it has both in the Gentile and Christian World, since without the assistance of any other considerations, it may abundantly be resuted and concluded impossible from the very idea and Formal conception of God.

VII.

The Idea of God, is Being it felf, and Being it felf can be but One; which I thus demonstrate. Whatsoever is Infinite in Being can be but One; but Being it felf is Infinite in Being; Therefore Being it felf can be but One. That Being it felf D 2

is Infinite in Being, we have proved in the Second Contemplation. The Proposition, That whatfoever is Infinite in Being can be but one, is plain. For if there were more Infinities, one must be distinguished from another, otherwise they could not be more: for not to be diffing uished is to be the same. And if one must be distinguished from another, then one must have some degree of Being which the other has not; For by what elfe can any thing be diftinguished? And if one must have some degree of Being, which the other has not, then to every one of these supposed Infinites, some degree of Being must be wanting, namely, that whereby they are distinguished. And if so, that none of them would be infinite in Being. Therefore Plurality of Infinities in Being, is a contradictious felfinconsistent Notion, and such as cannot be admitted by any person that knows what he affirms.

I

b

b

tl

P

V

d

ŧŧ

M

th

01

VIII.

But further, Being it self has all the degrees of Being, as all other Abstract and universal Natures have all the persection of their respective Orders. But now what has all the degrees of Being cannot possibly be multiplied. For 'tisa stat contradiction that more than one should have all the degrees of Being. Indeed it may be communicated, and there may result as many Paticular and Derivative Beings, as Being it self is capable of being Participated. But it cannot be multiplied, because it has all the degrees of Being. And thus 'tis in all other Abstract Effences, they may be Communicated, but they can't be multiplied. Thus there may be many Participated.

6-

at

is

ne

fe h-

n-

ne

or

St

g,

of

ng

ey

m

li-

lf-

d-

he

of

a

ve

of

s a ald be

elf

ot

of

Ef.

nt

CU-

lar Beautles by the various participation of Beauty it felf. But suppose Beauty it felf to exist, it could not be multiplied; there can be but one Beauty it felf, because it has all the degrees of Persection belonging to its Nature. And beyond all there is nothing.

IX.

For to him that would add another Beauty it felf, I would propose this Question. Has this Superadded Beauty all the Perfection of the first. or has it not? If not, then 'tis not Beauty it felf, for that is supposed to have all the Perfection belonging to Beauty. If it has, then 'tis the very fame with the other, and confequently 'cis impossible there should be any more than one Beauty it felf. And why is the multiplication of Individuals impossible, but only for this reason, because every Individual has all the Perfection belonging to that Individuated Nature, therefore does not admit of Plurality or Multi-And confequently Being it felf having as much all the degrees of Being as any Individual has all the Perfection of that Individuated Nature, is no more capable of Plurality or Multiplication than any Individual is. There is therefore but one being it felf, and therefore but one God, which was the thing to be prov'd.

The use of this to Devotion.

Since then there can be but one God, as we have reason in the first place to admire and adore that universal Persection of his Nature, which renders him ancapable of multiplication, so D 3

in the next place we may be hence admonish'd how reasonable it is that we should rest and depend wholly upon him, disclaiming and renouncing all false Gods. And that lastly, we should love him intirely and undividedly, with all our Heart, Mind and Strength, without admitting any other into Partnership or Rivalship with him: Which unity and intireness of Devotion we could not maintain, were there more Gods than one. For no one could then have right to exact all our services, nor could we be obliged, or able, to direct all our services to any one of them. And much less could we do so to all, since (as our Saviour tell us) no man can serve even two Masters, Mat. 6, 24.

2

a

t

a

A

The Aspiration,

Thou Mighty One-All, who art too great to be multiplied, and yet too full not to be communicated, what a Greatness, what a Fulness is this of thine! O Rich Solitude, how unlike is all Created Excellence to thine! Other things are to be admired for their Numbers, Thou for thy oneness and singularity; they glory in their multitudes, but 'tis the Prerogative of thy Perfection to be Alone.

In thee, my only Centre, I rest, upon thee I wholly depend, for I have none in Heaven but thee, and none upon Earth in comparison of thee. I utterly renounce therefore all absolute Power and Supremacy besides thine, and I will sear none but thee, and obey none but thee. Thou only shalt have Dominion over me, I am only thine, and thee only will I serve.

de-

on-

uld

our

ing

m:

uld

ne.

our di-

nd

Sa-

rs,

to m. his

rebe

les, be

e I

out

ec.

çr

ne

ıly

16,

ný

Many, O God, are the Beauties which thou hast made, and thy whole Creation is fill'd with thy Glory. There are threescore Queens, and four-score Concubines, and Virgins without number; But Cant. 6. my love, my undefiled, is but one. Take then to thy self the Empire of my Heart. For all that deserves the name of Love there shall be thine. O that it were more inlarged for thy reception: But thou shalt have it sil, and I will love thee with my whole Heart, tho' that whole be but sixtle.

O my only Delight, other Gods besides thee, and other Lords besides thee, have often usurp'd a Dominion over me. But my Heart is now six'd O God, my Heart is six'd. It is fix'd upon thee, and how can it ever wander out of the Sphere of thy Beauty! Or what Beauty is there whose influence may vye with thine? Or how can I love any but one, when that one, and none but that one, is infinitely Lovely.

Contemplation V.

Of the Omniscience and Omnipresence of God.

THE unity of God I have demonstrated in the preceeding Contemplation. And now to obviate a scruple that may thence arise, namely, how one single solitary Being should be sufficient to preside over all the Motions of the Natural, and all the Affairs of the Moral World, I thought it convenient to proceed next to some of those Attributes, which, when well consider'd, will D 4 make

make it plain, that this Being, tho' but one, is abundantly qualifi'd for the Government and Management of the whole Universe. And among these, the Omniscience and Omnipresence of God are most eminent and conspicuous, which I shall here therefore joyntly Contemplate.

II.

And first of the Omniscience of God. This is a most wonderful and amazing Attribute, consider it which way we will, for it denotes no less that a full knowledge and thorough comprehension of all the things that either are, have been, or shall be. But in the way that I shall now consider it, will appear clothed with peculiar Circumstances of Admiration, and is indeed a Theme more fit for the Contemplation of an Angel, than for the Pen of a mortal Theorist. Here therefore must beg the peculiar Attention of my Reader, and above all, the peculiar Assistance of that Spirit which searcheth all things, yea, the Deep things of God.

III.

(

1

V

I

t

I

ſ

Now in treating of Gods Omniscience, I shall do two things, First, prove that he is Omniscient; Secondly, represent the manner of his Omniscience. To shew that God is Omniscient, I must first consider what Knowledge is in general Now this I define to be in short, a Comprehense of Truth. For the clearer understanding of which, the Distinction of Truth must be attended to. Truth then is either of the Object, or of the Subject. And both these are again subdivided. For Truth of the Object is either Simple, whereby a Being is really what it is. Or Complex, which denotes those necessary Habituda

, is

and

one

God

is a

han n of

hall

rit

tan-

for

der.

Spi-

ingi

shall isci-

Om.

it, I

eral.

g of

end-

or of

ple

OD

nda

or Respects, whereby one thing stands affected towards another as to Assirmation or Negation. Then as for Truth of the Subject, we understand by it either a just Conformity between the Understanding and the Object, which is Logical Truth, or between the Words and the Understanding, which is Moral Truth or Veracity.

IV.

The Truth with which we are here concern'd, is Truth of the Object. For to know is fo to comprehend things both as to their Simple Natures, and as to their Habitudes and Relations. as to compound what is really Compounded, and to Divide what is really Divided. To have our Understandings thus accommodated to the Respects and Habitudes of things, is Knowledge, As for Truth of the Subject in the second fense, as it fignifies a Conformity between the Words and the Understanding, we have here nothing to do with it, as being altogether foreign to our present purpose. And in the first acceptation, as it fignifies a just conformity between the Understanding and the Object, 'ris but another word for Knowledge. For Truth of the Subject in this sense is the conformity of the Mind to Truth of the Object. And so also is Knowledg. To know therefore, is to think of things conformally to their Simple Natures and Mutual Habitudes, or, as I first defined it, to Comprehend Truth.

v.

This being premifed, That Knowledge is nothing else but a Comprehension of Truth, that is, the having things in the Mind with the same Relations of Composition or Division, as

they stand mutually affected in themselves, I thus argue: That Being which Comprehends all Truth is Omniscent.

But God Comprehends all Truth. Therefore God is Omniscient.

The first Proposition is plain from the Definition of Knowledge. The Conclusion therefore depends wholly upon the proof of the Second; namely, that God comprehends all Truth.

Now for the Demonstration of this Proposition. I defire but this one Postulatum, that there

are Eternal and Necessary Truths, that is, that there are eternal and immutable Relations and Habitudes of things toward one another, by way of Affirmation or Negation. This is what, I suppose, any body will give me for the asking, though I have no great reason to be over-thankful for it, it being a thing so very unquestionable, and withal a Proposition of this unlucky quality, that 'tis as much establish'd by the Denial of it, as by the Affirming it. For should any Sceptical Person be so hardy as to say, that there is no fuch thing as Eternal and Necessary truth, I would ask him this Question: Was that Propose tion always true, or was it not; If it was not always true, then there was once Eternal and Neceffary Truth, and if once fo, then ever fo: But if it was always True, then by his own Confesfion, there is fuch a thing as Eternal and Necessary Truth. This therefore must be allow'd.

It being therefore supposed that there are Eternal and Necessary Truths, the next Proposition that I shall lay down is this, that the simt

ſ

C ti

ti

2

it

U fu

in

ca te

m ift ous

uth

ini-

ore

oli.

ere

hat

and by

ing,

nk-

na-

nial

any

nere

:h,l

ofi-

al-

Ne-

But fel-

effa.

e E.

oofi-

fim-

ple Essences of things must be also Eternal and Necessary. For the proof of which Proposition I confider first, that as Truth of the Subject depends upon, and necessarily supposes Truth of the Object, so Truth of the Object Complex depends upon, and necessarily supposes Truth of the Object Simple: That is in other Words. The Habitudes and Relations of Simple Essences. depend upon and necessarily suppose the Reality of their respective Simple Essences. As therefore there can be no fuch thing as Truth of the Subject, without Truth of the Object, to which it may be conformable; so neither can there be Truth of the Object Complex without Truth of the Object Simple, that is, there can be no mutual Habitudes or Relations of things as to Affirmation or Negation, without the Reality of the things themselves.

VIII.

For I consider that these Habitudes and Respects, as to Affirmation or Negation, wherein consists objective Truth Complex, do result from the Simple Essences, and can no more subsist by themselves than any other relations can; for the existence of which the Schools themselves make it necessary that they have their subject and serm, upon the Position of which they immediately result, and upon the destruction of which they as immediately cease. As other relations therefore cannot subsist without the existence of subject and term, so neither can these Habitudes as to Affirmation or Negation, subsist without the real existence of the Essences themselves so related.

IX.

But this perhaps will be better illustrated by a particular Instance. Let then this be the objective. Truth Gomplex, Two Circles touching one another inwardly cannot have the fame common Center. This is a true Proposition. But I here demand How can it possibly have this certain habitude of Division or Negation, unless there be two such distinct simple Essences as Circle and Center! Certainly there can be no Reference or Relation where there is nothing real to support it.

X.

tl

ty

ci

co

th

an

de

(a

th

th

H

the

the

con

Pr

T

lar

his

his

This Point therefore being gain'd, That truth of the Object Complex depends upon, and neces farily supposes truth of the Object Simple, and that there can be no mutual habitudes or relati ons of Essences, as to composition and division, without the Simple Essences themselves; Hena it will necessarily follow, that when soever the on does exist, the other must exist also; and conse quently, if the one be Eternal, the other mul be also Eternal. And thus (to recur to the former Instance) if this be a Proposition of Eterna Truth. viz. Two Circles touching one another in wardly cannot have the same common Center, then the two distinct Simple Essences of Circle and Center must have an eternal and necessary existence. The short is, there can be no connexion or relation between things that are not, or that do not exist, for being with this or that habitud to another thing, implies simple Being, and for one thing to be another, infers and supposes it be simply. And if there can be no Connexion a relation between things that are not, then all there can be no Eternal connexion or relation be tweet

tween things that have not an Eternal Existence. For things cannot be related before they are. But there are such Eternal habitudes and relations, therefore the simple Essences of things are also Eternal. Which was the Proposition next to be proved.

tive

t ber

eter.

and,

e of

uch

er!

tion

uth

cef.

and

ati

ion

ence

one

nfe.

nul

for-

rna

18

hen

and

exi

tois

that

ibu

for

ita

n a

alfo

be

reel

XI.

I know very well this is not according to the Decrees of the Peripateric School, which has long fince condemn'd it as Heretical Doctrin, to fay, that the Essences of things do exist from Eternity. But I have Meditated much upon these things, and I must needs fay, that I think it a very certain and very useful Theorem, and that its utterly impossible to give an intelligible account of the Stability of Science, or how there should be Propositions of Eternal Truth, but upon this Hypothesis. And I should be thankful to any of the Peripatetick Dissenters who would undertake to show me how there may.

XII.

I know they endeavour to do it by telling us (and 'tis the only Plea they have to offer) that these habitudes are not attributed absolutely to the simple Essences as in actual being, but only Hypothetically, that whensoever they shall exist, they shall also carry such relations to one another. There is, says the Peripatetic, only a conditional connexion between the Subject and the Predicate, not an absolute position of either. This goes smoothly down with the young Scholar at his Logic Lecture, and the Tutor applauds his distinction, and thinks he has thereby quitted his hands of a very dangerous Heresse.

XIII.

But now to this I return the fame Answer (for I need give no other) that I have in my Metaphy. First, I say, that these habitudes are not (as is supposed) only by way of Hypothesis but absolutely attributed to the simple Essences, as actually existing. For, when I say, for infrance, that every part of a Circle is equally difrant from the Center; this Proposition does not hang in suspence, then to be actually verified when the things shall exist in Nature, but is at presen actually true, as actually true as ever it will or can be; and confequently I may thence infer, that the things themselves already are. is no necessity, I confess, they should exist in Na ture, which is all that the Objection proves, but exist they must. For of nothing there can be no affection.

XIV.

ſ

1

V

u

a

in

n

n

f

f

in

a

tl

b

tı

But, Secondly, Suppose I grant what the Ob jector would have, that these habitudes are not absolutely attributed to the simple Essences, but only by way of Hypothesis. Yet I don't set what he can gain by this concession. For certainly thus much at least is attributed to the simple Essences at present, that whensoever they shall exist, such and such habitudes will attend them. I say, thus much is attributed actually, and at present. But now let any Peripatetic of em all tell me how any thing can any way be faid of that which is not. And besides, 'tis a weak evasion to say that things are not related thus of thus as actually existing, but only conditionally, supposing their existence. For I deny that any thing can be any way related that does not actualy exil

for

phy.

are elis,

ces,

in-

di-

not hen

[em

or fer,

nere Na

but e no

40

not

but

fee

cer-

the

end

ally,

faid

reak

IS Of

ally,

any ually exif exist. And 'tis as good as a contradiction to say otherwise. When therefore the Peripatetic talks of a conditional connexion between the Subject and the Predicate, and that neither is put absolutely, I say, that the connexion is as absolute as it can or ever will be, and that a non-existing subject cannot have any Predicate, or be any way related. And all this I bind upon him by a Principle of his own, that of nothing there can be no affection. And let him unwind himself if he can.

XV.

Having thus far clear'd our way by making it evident, that the simple Essences of things are Eternal. The next thing that I consider is, that since they are not Eternal in their Natural substitutes, they must be Eternal in some other way of subsisting. And that must be in some understanding, or by way of Ideal subsistence.

XVI.

For there are but two conceivable ways how any thing may exist, either out of all understanding, or within some understanding. If therefore the simple Essences of things are Eternal, but not out of all understanding, it remains they must have an Eternal existence in some understanding. Which is what I call an Ideal subsence. There is therefore another way of existing besides that in Rerum Natura, namely in the Mundus Archetypus, or the Ideal World, where all the Rationes rerum, or simple Essences of things have an Eternal and Immutable existence, before ever they enter upon the Stage of Nature.

XVII.

I further consider, that this understanding wherein the simple Essences of things have an Eternal existence, must be an Eternal understanding. For an Essence can no more Eternally exist in a Temporary understanding, than a Body can be infinitely extended in a finite space. Now this Eternal understanding can be no other than the understanding of God. The simple Essences of things therefore do Eternally exist in the understanding of God.

XVIII.

7

ď

a

ú

C

n

11

te

R

e

0

e

2

P

Ŝ

bu

all

T

w

m

But the mode of this must be further explain'd. For it being an unquestion'd Truth, that God is a simple and uncompounded Being; and confequently, that there is nothing in God that is not God himself, As the Schools also rightly have pronounced; We must not conceive these simple Essences as accidents inhering in God, or as Beings really distinct from God, this not comporting with the simplicity of the Divine Nature.

XIX.

The simple Essences of things therefore can be nothing else but the Divine Essence it self-considered with his Connotation, as variously representative or exhibitive of things, and a variously imitable or participable by them. At the Divine Essence, is thus or thus imitable or partakeable, so are the Essences of things distinguished specifically one from another; and according to the multifariousness of this Imitability, fo are the possibilities of Being. From the degrees of this Imitability, are the orders and degrees of Being, and from the variety of it, is their multiplicity.

ng 20

er.

lly

1 2

CE.

ner

ple

in

d.

dis

on-

c is

th

cfe

not

ine

Cal

feli

ully

25

A

OT

di

30

ity.

de de

XX

The simple Essences of things, thus existing in the Divine Essence, according to these modes of Imitability and Participation, are what we are taught in the Platonick School to call Idea's. Thefe, in the Language of the Divine Philosophers are mora roura, the first Intelligibles, and Worter ultes, the measures of the things that are, and Ta andere orta, the things that truly are, and aidrea meg Seiguala, Eternal patterns, and and ταῦτα κροιστως έχοντα, things which are always the Same and unchangeable, and Ta un nyvousva and and dera, things that are not generated, but are always ; and again μήτε γγνόμενα, μήπε απολλόμενα, that were neither generated, nor will be destroyed. Concerning which, thus Cicero, Hac Plato negat giga ni, sed semper effe, & Ratione & Intelligentia contimeri. These, Plato denies ever to have been generated, but that they always ure, and are contain'd in Reason and Intelligence.

XXI.

Further, these Essences of things, or Ideas thus existing in God, are the true and proper Objects of all Sciences, and (if I may use the Apostle's expression in another case) sund is sequent the Apostle's expression in another case) sund is sequent to account for the Stability of Science, and for Propositions of Eternal Truth, but without them 'tis absolutely impossible. For all things in their Natural Subsistencies are Temporary, Flux, Mutable and Corruptible, and what is so can never stand under Eternal and Immutable relations.

XXII

L

XXII.

Further, These Essences are the Specifical Mo. dels and Platforms of all the things that are in this Estypal World. According to these Eternal Exemplars were they made; and as is their Conformity to these Measures, so is their Perfection, For 'tis impossible that God should make a World with Counsel and Delign, unless he make it according to fomething, and that can be nothing elfe but fomething existing within himself, fomething in this Ideal and Archetypal World. For as Aquinas well observes, In all things that are not made by chance, the Form must necessarily be the end of Generation. But now the Agent does not act for the Form, but only as far as the Similitude of Ar. Prim. the Form is in him.

Prim. Part.Q.15.

XXIII.

And what if I should further fay, that this Ideal World, this Essence of God considered a variously exhibitive and representative of things is no other than the Divine x629, the Second Person in the ever Blessed Trinity. This I think highly agreeable to reason; for I know of m Hypothesis that would so intelligibly make out the Eternal Generation of the Son of God; For according to this account, the Son of God must be a Substantial and Multifarious thought of God the Father, and how this may be generated, and yet be co-eternal with God the Thinker, i not very hard to conceive. Since, if an Angel had been Eternal, his thought must have been f too.

XXIV.

I shall add in confirmation of this Notion, fignal passage of the great Platonist Marsilis

Ficinu

Ł

7

t

f

b

1

1

f

7

16

tte

: li

100

No.

his

Ex

on-

OI.

e.a

ake

no-

elf.

rld.

art

y be

200

le of

this

as

ngs,

ond

nink

no

akt

od:

God

o se

eted,

e, i

nge

n fe

n, 1

inu ...

Ficinus, thus discoursing of the Eternal Generation of the Son of God. Omnis vita prolem fuam, Tom ti &c. Every Life first generates its Off-Spring with- Pag. 18. in it self before it does abroad without it self; and cap. 13. by how much the more excellent the Life is, by fo much the more inwardly to its felf does it generate its off fpring. So the vegetative life both in Trees and in Animals generates first the Seed, and the Animal within its own Body, before it casts forth either abroad. So the Sensitive, which is more excellent than the Vegetative life, brings forth by the fancy an Image or Intension of things in it self, before it moves the Members and forms them in External Matter. But this first Birth of the fancy, because tis in the very Soul is therefore nearer to the Soul than the Birth of the Vegetative life, which is not in the Soul, but in the Body. So again, the Rational life, which is more excellent than the Sensitive, brings forth in it self the Reason both of things, and of it self, as it were an off-spring, before it brings it into the light, either by Speech or Action. This first Birth of Reason is nearer to the Soul than the Birth of Fancy. For the Rational Power is refletted upon its own Birth, and by that upon it felf, by seeking, knowing, and loving its own act and it self, which is not done by the Fancy. So again, the Angelical life, which is more excellent than the Rational, brings forth in it self, by a kind of Divine Instinct, the Notions of it self and of things, before it discharges them upon the Matter of the World. This Birth is more interior to an Angel, than Rea-Son is to her, because 'tis neither derived from External Objects, nor changed. Wherefore the Divine life being the most eminent and fruitful of all, must filis needs generate an Off-spring more like her felf than any

any of the rest: And this it generates in it self by widerstanding, before it brings forth any thing without. God therefore perfectly understanding himself, and in himself all things, he conceives in himself a perfect Notion of himself, and of all things, which is the equal and full Image of God, and the more than full Exemplar or Pattern of the World, &C.

XXV.

Thus is this Notion of the Ideal World, or of the Essence of God, being variously exhibitive and representative of the Essences of things, made use of by this great Theorist, to explain both the Nature of the Second Person of the Trinity, and the Mode of his Eternal Genera-And I think it does both much better than any other, and indeed as far as conceivable by human understanding. So highly use ful is this Doctrin of Idea's, when rightly understood, to unfold the profoundest Myste ries of the Christian Religion as well as of Phi losophy: And so great reason had Saint Aufin to fay, Tanta vis in Ideis constituitur, ut nisi hi intellectis, sapiens effe nemo possit, There's so mud moment in Idea's, that without the understand ing of these, no Man can be Wife.

Tom. 4. P.548. Q. 46.

XXVI.

And I further consider, that this is no les according to the Voice of Scripture than of Natural Reason. Saint John speaking of the second Person of the Trinity, says, In the beginning we the word, and the word was with God, and the wor was God. He says also that all things were mad by him, or according to him A dura. And he surther says, That he is the true light, that lights

2

t

C

1

k

b

И

th

G

CO

in

W

Et

ã.

TE

Po

the

Lo

the

every man that cometh into the world. Now what can this fignifie but this Ideal World, or the Effence of God as variously exhibitive and representative of things? For observe, he calls him xó20, which here signifies the same as the inward conception or Idea, he fays that he was in the Beginning, and that he was with God, and that he was God, and that all things were made by him; Thus far it must be allowed that the agreement is very exact. As for the last part of the character, How this can be faid to be the true Light which lightens every Man that comes into the World, this I shall explain when I come to consider the Nature of Man, who as I shall shew, sees and knows all that he sees and knows in this Ideal World, which may therefore be said to be his Light.

in

41

od,

the

or ibi-

gs,

am

the

ra.

ter

cei.

ufe.

hth

fte Phi

eftp

bs

nch

and-

lei

Na

con

194

TPON

nad

d h

XXVII.

Again, this fecond Person is said to be the Wisdom of his Father, to be the Character of his Person, both which expressions denote him to be the same with this Ideal World. And by him God is faid to have made the Worlds: That is ac- Heb. to cording to the eternal Exemplars or Platforms in this Ideal World. To which I may add by way of overplus, that noble Description of the Eternal and Substantial Wisdom given us in the Seventh Chapter of the Book of Wildom. ETPICTHE TE SEE SUVALLENE, ANDPONA THE TE MAYTORES. TEQ Sogne, Enather of the Del every cias, is elady of de yatornio aufe. The Breath (or Vapour) of the Power of God, and an effine (or Emanation) from the glory of the Almighty, a clear Mirrour (CS Looking-glass) of his active energetick vertue, and the Image of his goodness. And what can all this

be but the Essence of God as exhibitive, the Ideal World? Lastly, I would have it considered how what our Saviour says of himself, I am the Truth, and what the Apostle says of him, that he is the Wisdom of him Father, can be verified any other way but by this Hypothesis.

XXVIII.

Thave the longer infifted on this to shew not only the Truth of this Ideal World, and that the Essences of things have evernal Existence in it, but also how very useful this Notion is for the Establishment of the Divinity of Christ, and for the explication of his Evernal generation from the Father, which is also a further confirmation that the Notion is true and solid. So great a guard is true Platonism against Socioianism.

d

ce

at Ia

ti

bi

GA

Ar

un

on

ly

T

tuc

the

XXIX.

Hence also we may be instructed how to understand that common Axiom of the Schools that the Truth of every thing is its conformity is the Divine understanding: This must by no means be understood of the Mind of God as Conceptive, that is, as reflecting upon himself as Exhibitive for the Truth of the Divine Intellect as Conceptive, depends upon its conformity with the truth of things, not the truth of things upor that. But it must be meant of the Mind of God as Exhibitive, that is, of this Ideal World, for upon this all Truth depends, and every thing and every Proposition is so far true as 'tis con formable with it. For indeed the intellect of God as Exhibitive is the Cause and Measured Af Truth XXX

MAN door proque to XXX.

he

le-

am

nat

ny

not

the

it.

the

bar

om

ion

t I

un-

ols.

y to

eans

ive,

ve:

Con

the

god God for

ing

COD

t of

re d

XX

And, 'twas for want of the help of this Notion that that Keen Wit Discartes blundered fo horribly in stating the dependance of Propositions of Eternal Truth, upon the Intellect of God. He faw 'twas necessary (as indeed it is) to make God the cause of Truth, and that Truth must some way or other depend upon him. But then he makes it depend upon the Mind of God as Conceptive, and that things are to only because God is pleased so to conceive them. And this he carries so high, as to fay, that even in a Triangle, three Angels would not have been equal to two Right ones, had not God been pleased so to conceive and make it. Now I am for the dependance of truth upon the Divine Intellect as well as he, but not so as to make it Arbitrary and Contingent, and Confequently not upon the Divine Intellect as Conceptive, but only as Exhibitive. That is, that things are therefore True in as much as they are conformable to those standing and immutable Ideas, which are in the mind of God as Exhibitive, and Representative of all the whole Possibility of Being.

XXXI.

Now if after all, this Ideal way of things subfishing from all Eternity in God, should seem strange (as I suppose it will to those who are unexercised in these Contemplations) I shall only further say, First, that it must be infinitely more strange that there should be Eternal Truths, that is, Eternal Relations and Habitudes of simple Essence, or things, without the Co-eternal existence of the things themselves

E 4

fo related. For what should support such Relations? The simple Essences therefore must exist eternally, if their Relations do; and where can that be but in the Mind of God?

XXXII.

Secondly, I say that this Ideal way of subsit ing, ought not to feem fuch a Bugbear as for make it, fince 'tis necessary not only for the fall ving of Propositions of Eternal Truth, before their Subjects exist in Nature, but even who they do. For even while things have a Natura Subsistence, the Propositions concerning then are not, cannot be verified according to the Natural, but according to their Ideal subfifter cies. Thus we demonstrate several Proposition concerning a Right Line, a Circle, &c. who yet in the mean time 'tis most certain, that now of these are to be found in Nature, according to that exactness supposed in our Demonstrati on. Such and fuch Affections therefore do no belong to them, as they are in Nature, and therefore they must belong to them as they an in the Ideal World, or not at all.

XXXIII.

(

1

1

j

And if this be true in Propositions, who Subjects exist in Nature, much more is it in ternal Propositions, whose simple Essences has not always a Natural existence. These cannot rwise stand, but by supposing the Coeternal existence of Simple Essences in the Ida World.

XXXIV.

I shall add but this one Consideration more upon this Head, that there is no greater signs the soundness of a Truth, than when its po

Rela

exil

e cai

blit

fom

e fal

efon

whe

tura

then their

ften

tion

whe

non

din

rati

DO C

an

1 211

hox

in E

han

nn

eta Ida

non

Die o

fd

fest Adversaries do unawares fall in with it. And this I take to be the case here. The Schoolmen who stand devoted to the Authority of Aristotle, do notwithstanding by a kind of blind Parturiency, light oftentimes upon fuch Notions, which if thoroughly fifted must needs come to the fame with what we have hitherto contended for. For I would fain know what they can mean elfe by the celebrated Glass of the Divinity? What can this be but the Ideal World representing all the Essences of things? And what elfe can they mean when they fay (as they commonly do) of Science, that it is not of Singulars, as being flux, temporary, mutable and contingent, but of Abstratt and Universal Natures? What is this but in other words to confess the necessity of Eternal Effences or Ideas existing out of and before those Singulars whose Essences they are, in order to the falving the stability of Science ? Thus do these Men stumble upon Truth blindfold, but not discerning her through her veil, they let her go again.

XXXV.

Nay, even Aristotle himself after all his zealous opposition of Plato's Ideas has in the fourth of his Metaphysicks come about to him again, and crossed the very Road which he studied so carefully to avoid. For discoursing against the Sarpticks who allowed no certainty of Science, he first shews the ground of their mistake to consist in this, that they thought Singulars and Sensibles existing without, to be the only Objects of Science. His words are, arrive the stiffs rivers, &c. The Original of these Mens mistake was this, became Truth is to be looks for in things, and they conceived the

the only things to be Sensibles, in which it is certain there is much of the Indeterminate Nature. Where fore they perceiving all the Nature of Sensibles to be moveable, or in perpetual flux and mutation, since mothing can possibly be verified or constantly affirmed concerning that which is not the same, but change whee, concluded what there should be no Truth a all, nor certainty of Science. Those things which are the only Objects of it, never continuing the same.

La reflection .IVXXXEllences of things?

fe h

è,

ec

tu

BO

th

bi

C

L

p

O

t

E

M

Thus having opened the grounds of the Sceptical Doctrin, in opposition to it, he thus adds the opened division to it, he thus adds the opened division, because we would have these Men therefore to know, that there is another kind of Effence of things, besides that of Sensibles, to which belongeth, neither motion, nor convention, nor any generation at all.

XXXVII.

Observe here, that Aristotle does not deny the Consequence of the Sceptick's Argument. No, he allows if the Essences of things are not steddy and immutable, that there can be no certainty of Science. But he denies his minor Proposition, and tells him that he goes upon a wrong Hypothefis, in supposing that there are no other Es fences of things but Singulars and Sensibles, in opposition to which he says, that there are other Essences of things which are immoveable, incorruptible, and ingenerable. And that 'tis upon these that all Science is founded. Now who would defire a better Establishment of Platonic Ideas, than what Aristotle himself has here given? Let any Intelligent Person judge whe ther this be not a plain giving up the Cause So

o hard is it for a Man not to contradict bimelf, when once he comes to contradict Trmh. XXXVIII.

bere.

to be

(ince

rmel inge

b 4

bich

the

pti

dds

ATE

ano.

Sen-

cor-

the

he

idy

nty

ion,

200

Eſ.

in

her

or.

Ot

ho

ick

gi.

16

se. So

It being now from the Premifes fufficiently concluded, that the Ideas or Simple Effences of things have an Eternal existence in God, and the manner of this their existence being sufficiently explained, the next advance of my Concomplation is this, that fince all the Simple Effences of things do exist in the mind of God. there must also be the Repository of all their feveral Habitudes and Respects, these naturally arising from the other, by way of Natural Refult. For as the Relations of Essences cannot exist without the Essences themselves, so neither can the Essences exist without being accompanied with fuch their Essential Relations. And as before we argued from the Polition of the Habitudes to the Polition of the Simple Essences, fo now we may as well argue from the Polition of the Simple Essences to the Position of their Habitudes.

XXXIX.

Thus for instance; as from this Eternal Habitude, viz. that a Circle of such a determinate Circumference will have such a determinate Diameter, I may conclude, that the Essence of such a Circle does eternally exist; so spain supposing such a Circle to exist, it is as necessary it should retain such a Diameter. And so in all other instances, the Essence argues and infers the Habitude, as well as the Habitude does the Essence. For its here as in all other Relations which immediately result upon the position of the Subjest and Term.

XL.

This admitted, I now consider that there is now nothing wanting to infer the Omnisciency of God. For since the simple Essences of things do all exist in God, and since these are ever at company'd with all their Habitudes and Relations, and since these are nothing else but Trush it follows that all Truth is in God. I say Go comprehending within himself all the Ideas and Essences of things with all their possible Reservences and Respects, comprehends all Truth, the whole field of Truth within himself, which is the same as to be Omniscient, Knowledge being no thing else but a Comprehension of Truth, as we before defined.

XLI.

n

iı

i

0

b

f

c

1

a

d

And thus we have not only proved the Omniscience of God, but in a great measure represent ed the manner of it. Concerning this therefore I shall only further remarque that the manner of the Divine Knowledge is the most Noble and Perfect, that can possibly be conceiv'd. For 'tis not by any derivative Phantasms, or Secondary Ima ges of things, but per αυτοπαρισίαν το πράγμαθο by the very immediate and essential Presence of the things themselves. He has all his Knowledge at the first hand, he fees, nay he is possest of the very Effence of things, he is the very Source and Fountain of all Truth, nay he is Truth it fell And besides, his Knowledge is all simple and uncompounded, without Reasoning and inferring, premiling and concluding, for he baseve before him in one simple view the whole Field Truth, and with one single Act of Intuition glatces through the whole Possibility of Being. this

re is

eng

ing

r ac Rela

ruth

God

and

, the

s the

no

Was

mni

Cent.

fort

erol

Per-

not

ma

10.

e of

edge

the

and

felf.

and fer-

ever

do

lan-

For

this

this Word of God, this Nove, who is the Essential Wisdom of his Father, is quick and powerful, and sharper than any two edged Sword, piercing even to the dividing asunder of Soul and Spirit, and of the joyuts and marrow, and is a discerner of the thoughts and intents of the Heart. Neither is there any Creature that is not manifest in his sight. But all things are naked and open unto the eyes of him, with whom we have to do.

Heb.13.12

XLII.

Thus is this own single solitary Being, God, qualified for the government of the World upon the account of his Knowledge. He is so also in respect of his being Present to the World he is to govern. For he is Omnipresent, as well as Omniscient: Which is the next Attribute of God, which in this Contemplation I undertook to consider.

XLIII.

The Omnipresence of God has been more questioned than any one of his Attributes, both among the Ancients, and among the Moderns. Aristotle in his Metaphysicks says that the first Mover must necessarily be either in the Center, or in the Circle or Circumference, That is, according to him, either in the middle of the Earth, or in the Extream Heaven. And the latter has by most of the old Philosophers been assigned for his Residence. In the Church, the more Ancient Jews confined him to the Temple of Ferusalem. And of late years this Doctrin of the Partial and limited Presence of God has been renewed by Voorsting, Professor of Divinity at Leyden, who afferts that God is only in Heaven as to his Effence, and elsewhere only in respect of his Wisdom, Power and Providence. XLIV.

XLIV.

But that God is every where Essentially Present, both in every part of the World, and all in all Extra-mundan Spaces, is most certain from the very Idea of God. 'Tis impossible that Being it self should be excluded from any part of Bring. For every part of Being partakes of, an subsists in and by Being it self. And Beside Being it self is indeterminate in Being (as we proved in the second Contemplation) but not what is indeterminate in Being, is also indeterminate in existing, for existing follows Being the Act of it, and to exist indeterminately is a same as to be Omnipresent. God therefore we is Being it self, is also an Omnipresent Being.

XLV.

bf

h

l

ve

re

the

bs.

cat

nel

one

Concerning the manner of this Omnipresen of God, I think I may fafely determine that he every where present, not only Vertually and Effu cioully by his Wifdom and Power, but also Subfta tially and Essentially. For Power and Wisdom not any thing really different from the Essence Neither can the Power of God be co ceived to be any where, but his Essence musti there too. But after what special manner th Essence of God is Omnipresent, whether by w of an Infinite Amplitude and Extension, as for think, or elfe by way of a Point, fo as tol whole in the whole, and whole in every parts the World, as others will have it, I neith know, nor shall offer to determine. Such Km ledge is too wonderful and excellent for me, I cam attain unto it. 'Tis fufficient to know, that Go is fo every way Immense and Omnipresent, not to be included in any place, nor to be exch

Pre

l all fro Bein

f B

20

fide

W

110

ete

ng

is th

w

.

(en

hei

Effici

bsta

om

ce

COL

ufti

r th

y wi

for

tol

arti

eith

Cnos

cann

t Go

ar, i

led from any. For the reft, we must fay with: he Pfalmist, Great is the Lord, and greatly so Pfal. 145. e praised: And his greatness his unsearchable, 10

The use of this to Devotion.

and has neither Authorn THE Consideration of the Divine Omniscience and Omnipresence, is of excellent use o all the purposes of a Christian life, as well s to the Interest of Devotion in particular. I am the Almighty God, walk before me, and be thom Gen. 17. erfelt, fays God to Abraham, Implying that he best Method of Perfection, was to live as in the fight and presence of God. And so the Pfalmist, I have fet God always before me, there- Pfal. 16fore I shall not fall, Implying, that if any thing would keep him from falling, this would. an there be any greater restraint upon our ctions, any stronger preservative against sin, han duly to confider the Presence and Inspection of God? In virtutis oculis vivendum; fo again he Ancients, We must live as in the Eyes of Vertue. They thought it an excellent expedient against Vice to have the Idea of Vertue lways before one: And so no doubt it is. Nay. we fee les will do, and that the Morals of Men re very much secured by living in the Eye of the World: Nay Seneca goes lower yet, and tells Epiff. 11. is, That Magna pars peccatorum tolleretur, si peccaturis testis assisteret. A great deal of our wickedness would be prevented, if as Men were about to sin, one single witness were to stand by. But alas, what are fuch expedients as thefe to the Omniscience and Omnipresence of God? No consideration certainly

mit Murther in the open Court, before the Face of his Judge? Nay, shall the presence of a Chill divert thee from finning? A Child that know not the difference between good and evil, that wants understanding to censure and condemi what he fees, and has neither Authority no Power to punish thee. Shall fuch a one dem thee from fin, and dash in pieces the frame of thy ill defigns, and dareft thou fin before the God? Darest thou do works of Darkness in the presence of him who is pure Light, and in who there is no Darkness at all? Darest thou rush of when the Angel of God's Presence stands in the Pfal. 114 way with a drawn Sword? The Pfalmift fay Tremble thon earth at the presence of God, and dare thou fin in his Presence? No, thou wouldst m dare, if thou didst well consider it. 'Tis acon fideration, this, That if well heeded, and a tended to, would give a Law to our privace and retirements, compose the immost recesses of of Minds, and not fuffer a Thought or Passion rebel. We should then stand in awe and not si and be as Composed in our Closets as in a The ter, or a Religious Assembly. For, indeed,! him that confiders God as every where present and a strict observer of him and his actions, en ry place is a Temple, and accordingly he will p off his Shooes from his Feet, cleanfe and puri his affections, because the place whereon he stand is Holy Ground.

Thus advantagious is the influence of the two Confiderations to good life in general; for their special usefulness to Devotion, we make the collect, First, That we ought to have

Min

0

tl

01

bi

di

ho

fe:

Minds always in a Divine Frame and Temper, and always composed with the greatest Awefulaness and Reverence, Seriousness, Gravity and Silence of Spirit, as being ever in the Presence, and under the direct Inspection of the Great God.

Secondly, That we ought to pray to him with all Humility and Reverence, both of Soul and Body, and with an humble confidence of being heard by him where-ever we are, or however we deliver our felves, whether by Vocal or Mental

Prayer.

com.

Face

Chill

now

tha

lemi

deta

ne o

e th

n th

vhon

ifh or

in th

fays

ard

t no

3 CO

d a

Vack

of or

nc t

ot fi

The

ed, t

efen

, en

II pr

orit

Ctan

the

e m

re of

Mip

Thirdly, That we ought in our Prayers to use great fixedness and attention of Mind, without any wandrings, or impertinent mixtures of so-reign thoughts, which are never more ready to croud into our Minds, than when we are at our Devotions, and yet are never so absurd as then.

Fourthly, That we ever commend our Cause to God, who sees and knows all things, and be well satisfied with his Judgment and Approbation, when ever our Innocence is falsy charged by the World.

And, Lastly, That we ought never to repine, or be discontented at the Affairs of the World, but rather trust and rely upon the all-wise conduct of him who sees from end to end, knows how to bring Light out of Darkness, and disposes all things sweetly.

The Aspiration.

O Lord, thou hast fearched me out, and known me, thou knowest my down-sitting, and mine uprising,

up-rising, thou understandest my thoughts long before Thou art about my Path, and about my Bed, and spiest out all my ways. For, lo, there is not a word in my Tongue, but thou, O Lord, knowest it altoge. ther. Thou hast fashioned me behind and before, and laid thine Hand upon me. Whither shall I go then from thy Spirit? Or whither shall I go then from thy Presence? If I climb up into Heaven, thou art there, If I go down to Hell, thou art there allo. If I take the Wings of the Morning, and remain in the uttermost parts of the Sea, even there also shall thy Hand lead me, and thy right Hand shall hold me If I say, peradventure the Darkness shall cover me, then shall my Night be turned to Day. Yea, the Dark ness is no Darkness with thee, but the Night is a clear as the Day; the Darkness and Light to the are both alike.

Do thou then, O my God, so imprint the Sense of this thy Omniscience and Omnipresend upon every Faculty and Power of my Soul, that I may ever think, speak, and ast as in the Light of thy All-seeing Eye, and as immediately surrounded, and intimately possessed with the Glory of thy Presence. O fill me with the prosoundest Awe and Reverence, compose my levitic, confirm my doubtfulness, and fix my wandrings, and make me ever satisfied with the Methods of thy Wise Providence.

And when by the Meditation of this thy Knowledge and Prefence, I shall learn to demean my felf in any measure as I ought; Grant that up on the same consideration, I may content my self with thy Divine Approbation and Allowance whatever I am thought of in Mans Judgment. For mally, O my God, Grant I may so fee thee before

fore.

and

ogeand

then from thou

also. in in shal

me.

me,

ark.

15 A

the

the

ena

that

ight

fur-

Glo

oup.

ities,

ings

dsol

NOD.

m

t up

y fel

ance

. F

efort

me here, that I may not be afraid to appear before thee hereafter. Amen.

Contemplation VI.

Of the Omnipotence of God.

T.

THE next Attribute, whereby this One God becomes qualified for the Government of the Universe, is his Omnipotence. Whereby may be understood, and commonly is, a Power of doing whatsoever is possible to be done. But in this there is some difficulty, from which we must disengage our Notion.

II.

For, whereas every thing that is possible, is made the Object of the Divine Power, a nice enquirer may here demand, what do you mean by Possible? For Possible has its denomination from Power, and therefore must be measured either in relation to created Power, or in relation to increated Power. If in relation to the first, then for God to be able to do all that is possible, will amount to no more, Than that he can do whatever a Creature can do. But if in relation to the second, then for God to be able to do all that is possible, will be the same as to say, that he can do whatever he can do. Which would be a very notable discovery. And, beides, according to this measure, a Man might truly fay, that God were Omnipotent; tho' at the fame time he should deny that he could F 2 Create

Create any thing besides the present World: Because he could then do all that is possible, there being nothing then but this World so denominated from the Divine Power, as Suarez rightly Sed. 17. infere

III.

To fatisfie therefore this difficulty, we must find out another sense of the word Possible, than what is taken from denomination to any Power, I consider, therefore, that a thing may be said to be possible Absolutely and Negatively, from the habitude of the simple Idea's themselves, as well as from relation to any Power which may so deno-My meaning is, That there are fome minate it. Idea's whose habitude is such to one another. that they may admit of Composition: There are others, again, whose habitude is such that they cannot admit of Composition, but stand necessarily divided. The first of these I call Possible the fecond I call Impossible. Possible, therefore in this fense, is the same as that which involve no repugnance. And therefore to avoid all Ambiguity, fetting afide the Word Possible, I shall chuse rather to express the Omnipotence of God by calling it a Power of doing whatever involved no repugnancy or contradiction.

t

t

V

T

th

ut

hi

it

m

ou ha

bin

ou

the

Di

us,

OUE

IV.

Now, that God is thus Omnipotent (not to feek out after other Arguments) I thus demonstrate from the Idea of God. Being it felf is the proper, full and adequate cause of Being, so whatever is, so far as it is, it partakes of Being it felf, as was before proved. Now being it felf be the proper, full and adequate cause of Being, then its effects must extend to all those things

e.

ıi-

uft

an

er,

to

he

10-

me

er, are

ney Na-

ole,

ore

ves

m.

hall

iod

ves

: to

OIL

the

for

ing

e of

nose

ings

things which are not repugnant to the Nature and Reason of Being. For if it did extend only to some certain Ones, then Being it self would not be the proper, full, and adequate cause of Being, as is supposed, but only of this or that particular Being. And if it extends to all things that are not repugnant to the Reason of Being, then it must extend to all but not being: For not being only is repugnant to the Reason of Being. God, therefore, who is Being it self, can do all, that does not involve in it the Reason of not Being; but these are only contradictions: God therefore can do whatever does not imply a contradiction, and is therefore Omnipotent. Which was the thing to be proved.

The Use of this to Devotion.

Rom the Omnipotence of God, we may take occasion to make these Conclusions. First, That we ought to revere and fear him above all the things in the World, and endeavour by the utmost services of a well-ordered life to make him our Friend, considering what a fearful thing it is to fall into the Hands of an Omnipotent Enemy. I will forwarn you whom you shall fear, says our Saviour, fear him, which after he has killed, Luk. 12.5. has power to cast into hell, yea I say unto you, Fear him.

Secondly, That when once we have made God our Friend, and engaged him on our fide, we then fear no Created Power, whether Human or Diabolical. For if an Omnipotent God be with us, what need we care who is against us. We ought rather to say with the Psalmist, tho' I walk Psal. 23.4.

F 3 through

through the valley of the shadow of death, I will see no evil: For thou art with me, thy rod and thy see

comfort me .

Laftly, We ought upon Confideration of this great Attribute of God, to repose a firm true and confidence in all his Promises, the never secontrary to the Ordinary Laws of Nature, and the common Measures of Human Probability. Sinc Luk. 1.37, our concern is with him, who is the God of Nature, and with whom (as the Angel tells us) no thing shall be impossible.

The Aspiration.

7 Ith thee, Omy God, is Power and Strength

al

vh

Go

ren

ut

or

W

al.

at

nd

Vo

VV and with thee ought to be Dominion as Fear. My flesh trembles for fear of thee: And am afraid of thy Judgments. Thou art Terrible Cant. 6.5, O my God, as well as Lovely, but thou art all Lovely in thy very Terror. Turn away thinees from mg, for they have overcome me; they have overcome me with their Dread, as well as with their Beauty; For, as thou art Beautiful, Os Love, as Tirzah, Comely, as Jerusalem; so a thou also Terrible, as an Army with Banners.

O my Omnipotent Love, with what safe as well as delight, do I sit under thy Shadou Thou hast brought me into thy Banquetting House, and thy Banner over me, is Power as we as Love, Thy Love is stronger than Death; who need I fear, thy lest Hand is under my Head, at thy right Hand does imbrace me; And why the should any dread approach me? The Lord is light and my salvation, whom then shall I fear? It is the strength of my Life, of whom then shall I faraid?

fea

fret

this

truk

er 6

id to

Na Na no

ngu an And

ibk

all

eex

har

wit

0

2

afen

low

ting

WC

whi

, 20

the

15 8

7 1

O, my God, why is not my Faith like thy Power? Thou canst do all things; And why is my Faith limited? Let me imitate thee, O my God, in this thy Infinity: And grant me such a Victorious, such an Omnipotent Faith, that as to thee nothing is too hard to do, so to me nothing may be too hard to believe. Amen.

Contemplation VII.

Of the Divine Justice and Veracity.

I

Rom the Omnipotence of God, I proceed to the Consideration of his Justice, this being as lecessary a qualification in the Governour of the whole World as the other. Now, by Justice in his place, I understand particular, not Universal Justice. And of particular Justice, not that which is Commutative (for this has no place in God; for, as the Apostle says, Who has first given to him, and it shall be recompensed to him again?) Rom. 11. but that which is Distributive, and consists in a constant will of dispensing to every Person according to his desert.

II.

This Justice of God is the same in the moral World, as Order and Proportion is in the Natural. 'Tis giving to every thing its due place and tation, and disposing it according to its Nature and Condition. For as the Beauty of the Natural World arises from Proportion, so does the Beauty

of

of the Moral World arise also from due Order and Proportion; and as God has strictly observed this Rule in the making of the World, having made all things in Number, Weight and Measure, so we may be sure he proceeds by the same Standard in the Government and conductor, though the exactness of this latter is not so obvious to our observation, as that of the somer, nor are we so well able to judge of the Maral, as of the Natural Geometry of God.

III.

Now that God is thus Just, always acting a cording to true Order and Proportion, may fuffic ently be made out from this fingle Confideration All Order and Proportion (as every one I for pose will readily grant) is, in it felf considered lovely and defirable. If fo, then it cannot be nill'd or refused for it felf, or as fuch. then whenever it is refused, it must be refuse for the fake of some other greater good. then this other greater good must be, eitherd private Interest of the Refuser, or some oth Private Interest, or the Publick Interest. neither of these can here find any admission. cannot be for the Private Interest of the Refuse who is here supposed to be a Being absolute Perfect; and consequently not capable of prop fing to himself any self-end. And cannot beh the Publick Interest, for the greatest Interest the Publick confifts in Order and Proportion, No ther can this Order be violated for the Interes of any other Private Person, because that is n a greater, but on the contrary, an infinitely good, Order and Proportion being the good the Publick, which is always greater than a

Private whatsoever. Since therefore Order and Proportion cannot be violated by God for any of these ends, nor for its own sake, it being as such lovely and desirable, as was supposed, it follows that Order and Proportion cannot possibly be violated by God at all, and consequently 'tis necessary that God should always effectually Will Order and Proportion, which is the same as to be

Just. IV.

rved

ving

Mea.

the

ad

t fo

for.

g ac

ffici

tion

fup

ered

f fo

fuse

[ff

r th

Bu

ufe

ute

ope

ef

A No

erd

s n y le

d

By this Juftice, or Will of following Order and Proportion, God ftands ingaged not to punish an Innocent Creature, or to afflict him with any evil greater than that good which he has conferred upon him: Within that compass indeed he may, for that is only to deduct from that Happiness, every degree of which was a free favour. But he cannot impose the least grain or scruple of evil upon him beyond the good conferred, without some demerit of the Creature. less will this Justice of God permit that he should predetermin an Innocent Creature, without refpect to any Crime, meerly for his own will and pleasure, to everlasting misery. He that can make this confiftent with God's Justice, or any Justice in the World, had need be a very good Reconciler.

But now whether God's Justice obliges him to punish the Sinner, as well as not to punish the Innocent, is a thing that will admit of more question. This has been argued with great Contention between some Schools, and is too disputable to be positively determined. For my part I am more inclined to think that the Nature of God obliges him to punish in some where or other, and that

vindicative Justice is Essential to him.

That it is fo far Essential to him that he cannot but punish an impenitent Sinner, few I believe will question. For nothing in the World can be imagined more against Order and Proportion than that a Sinner should be pardoned without Repentance. But further, 'tis highly probable, that fin could not have been pardoned even with Repentance, had there not also been Satisfaction made to God for it. 'Tis plain de facto, that God would not remit fin without fatisfaction, and that too the highest imaginable: Which makes it very probable that he could not. For is it reasonable to think that God would deliver up his only and beloved Son to that bitter dispensation, if, with the fafety of his Justice, he could have pardoned us, meerly for our Repentance, without fuch a costly Sacrifice? And that he could not, does not that Prayer of our Saviour argue, which he used in his Agony? Father, if it be possible, let this cup pass from me: Which is as much as if he had said, Father if the sin of Man may be remitted any other way than by way of suffering, I desire I may not suffer. This I think is the Obvious sense of the Words. But this Prayer of his, was not granted by the removal of the Cup, and may I not thence conclude that 'twas impossible it should be removed?

VII.

And I further consider that God necessarily hates sin with an infinite hatred, as a thing that is diametrically opposite to his own Essential sandity, and to those great Ends which he cannot but propose in the regulation of the Universe. But how he should thus hate it, and yet not shew

this his hatred 'by punishing it, is not easie to conceive. And besides it seems agreeable to the Laws of Order and Proportion, that so great a Discharmony as Sin, should never go wholly unpunished, but that the Publick happiness, of which Sin is a violation, should be both repaired and secured by the exaction of some satisfaction.

n.

ve

an

on

e-

at e-

on

at

nd

it

0-

n-

if,

r-

ut

ot,

ch

let

he

ed

ay of

qt

it

ly

at

n-

ot

e.

W

is

VIII.

If it be faid, that every one may remit as much as he please from his own Right, and that then much more may God, I answer, that Right, is either Right of Dominion, or Right of Office. From Right of Dominion, when alone, no doubt any Person may remit what he pleases, but not from Right of Office, or from Right of Dominion. when joyned with Right of Office. Now there is great reason to believe that the exacting of Punishment for sin, is not in God a Right of Dominion only, but also a Right of Office; that is that God does not punish only as supreme Lord, but as a Judge; and as a Judge, 'tis congruous to suppose that he may be obliged to punish. Obliged, not by any Law or Power Superiour to himfelf, but by the Essential Rectitude of his own Nature and Will: Which by obliging him to regard the Publick Order and Interest, may by consequence oblige him to animadvert upon those who transgress against it.

IX.

And thus far of the Justice of God, whereby he deals uprightly and equally with all his Creatures, and renders to every own his own, according to their Works, good or bad, without any Partiality or Respect of Persons. The next thing I consider in God is his veracity, whereby all

all his words are conformable to his Mind and Intention, and all his performances conformable to his words: Whereby he most assuredly make good all his Covenants, Promises and Threatnings, and cannot possibly deceive his Creature any more than he himself can be deceived.

X.

That there is this veracity in God we may be affured from the All-sufficiency and Perfection of his Being. For all Fraud and Deceit is grounded upon Indigence and Instrmity. No Man deceives meerly for deceiving sake, but to serve a turn, to relieve a Necessity. And such a Necessity to as cannot be relieved any other way. For Fraud is not only a Remedy, but the last Remedy, men never betake themselves to tricks, but when they can't compass their Ends by Plain-dealing. But now none of these things can be incident to God, who being above all Indigence and Instrmity, must of consequence be as much above all Falsbood and Deceit.

The Use of this to Devotion.

l

t

2

b

t

n

Onsidering then that God is thus strictly Just, True, and Faithful, 'tis rational hence to conclude, first, how much it concerns us to Fear him, and to beware how we render our selves Obnoxious to this his Justice.

Secondly, That we ought always to rest in tirely satisfied in the Divine Dispensations, knowing that 'tis impossible but that this Judge

of the whole Earth should do Right.

And lastly, That we ought readily and firmly to believe him in all the Manifestations of his Mind and

able

ake

reat.

ure

y be

n of

ive

1, to

too

raud

edy;

hen

ling.

at to

rmi-

all

aly

ence

s to

OUT

in-

OIIS,

dge

mly

his

lind

Mind and Will, and particularly that we ought to repose a strong Considence in his Covenants and Promises, being well assured that he is Faithful who has promised.

The Aspiration.

M' God, My Judge, who art Righteous in all thy ways, and Holy in all thy works, I delight to think of thee, tho' I am too guilty to contemplate thee, in this thy Attribute, without Fear and Trembling. For there is Judgment as well as Mercy with thee that thou should'st be Fear'd. O enter not into Judgment with thy Servant, for in thy sight shall no man living be Justified.

My God, how strangely Impious are they who dare say or think that the way of the Lord is not equal! My God, I am none of those, nor will I ever be of that prosane number. I will ever acquiesce in the Equity of thy Dispensations, whether I am able to comprehend it or no. For I know tho' Clouds and Darkness may sometimes be round about thee, yet Righteousness and Judgment are always the Habitation of thy Seat.

I readily and firmly assent, O my God, to all the Declarations thou hast made of thy Mind and Will. I believe all thy Predictions, all thy Promises, and all thy Threatnings, that they shall be fulfilled all in their Season. I know that nothing but Truth can proceed from thee who art Truth it self: I know that thou, O God, can'st not deceive us, O grant that we may not deceive our selves. Amen.

Con-

Contemplation VIII.

Of the Divine Goodness and Philanthropy.

Shall now close up these my Considerations of God, with a Meditation upon the Divim Goodness, by which I understand a Propension of doing good to his Creatures by the Communication tion of his own good or happiness. But her upon my first entrance, I find my felf plungel beyond my Depth. It is an immense Ocean which no Line can fathom, and where the Sight loses it felf in a long boundless Prospect. is that Attribute which in a peculiar manner a dorns and accomplishes the Divine Nature, and renders it Amiable and Lovely, as well as Ve nerable and Adorable. This is the highest Re past of Angels, and the peculiar entertainment of Contemplative Souls, many of which who had no other guide to follow but the Clue of their own Reasonings, have long since observed that Goodness is the Principal; and, as I may fan the Divinest Attribute of the Deity.

. II.

COI

u

er

wh Go

of

f

he

Go

but

elf

This is the Gemile Theology intended by making Love the most ancient of all the Gods. And accordingly we find in the Platonick Trinity (which is $\tau \delta \approx 200$, $r \approx 6$ and $40 \chi h$) that the first place is assigned to the $\tau \delta \approx 200$, which they conceive after the manner of an immense and most pure Light, continually diffusing and Communicating its invigorative Beams. And this was that which the fine Platonist Boetims alluded to

in that celebrated and graphical description of God, when he called him Fons Boni Lucidus, the Lucid Fountain of Good. And there is an ancient Cabalistical Table supposed to be borrowed from the Pythagoreans, which represents in a visible Scheme the Order of the Divine Perfection, wherein 'tis observable that Goodness is seated in the Supreme Circle, which they call Chether or the Crown, thereby intimating that Goodness presides over, and gives Laws and Measures to all the other Attributes of God.

S CI

Vim

n of

ica

nere

ged

cean

ight

This

ra

and

Ve-

Re

nent

had

heir

tha

fay,

ma.

And nity

firt

CON-

molt

mu-

Was

d to,

10

III.

And indeed it does fo: For thus God's Power ferves to execute the Dictates of his Wisdom, and his Wisdom is employed in finding out Obects, Methods and Occasions, for the exercise of his Goodness. Nay, even Justice it self, which at first seems to thwart and reprimand the Inclinations of Goodness, will notwithstanding be found upon nearer inspection, sweetly to conspire and accord with it, nay (what seems a greater Paradox) to be one of the Instances and exemplifications of it. For God never punishes but when Order, that is, the good of the Universe requires it, and consequently never but when upon the whole 'tis best to do so. So that God's goodness will still be the Chether, the Crown of all his Perfections.

IV.

Plato calls God Na 78 and the Idea or Effence of Goodness. A very high expression. But says not the Scripture also the same? For when it defines God, it does not say he is Wisdom or Power, but that he is Love. Not Loving, but Love it self. And our Saviour in Answer to him that call'd

call'd him good Master, tells us, There is non good but One, that is God. As if this Divine Attribute were that Honour, of which God is fail Ifa. 42, 8. by the Prophet to be so Jealous, that he will no give it to another; not to any of the Sons of Men, no not to the Son of Man.

And indeed God may well be Jealous of this his Honour, fince Goodness is that Attribut which does not only render the Deity most low ly to us, but is also most peculiarly beloved by Himself. 'Tis his Favourite and darling Excel lence, that which he feems most of all to deligh in, and to value as the very Flower and Beauty all his Excellence. And therefore when Mol defired to have a fight of his Glory, his Answ to him was, I will make my goodness pass before thee.

VI.

And how much God is in Love with this hi Attribute, we may conclude from the great of and exercise of it. God has exercised his God ness more than all the rest of his Attributes, that the Stream rifes almost as high as the Fou tain, and the Instances and Exemplifications it are almost as infinite as it felf. The Mater Fabrick of the World is the Emanation of Divine goodness, and who can tell how large th is, or where the utmost boundaries of it are in ed? Then as for the Intellectual part of the Cre tion, how fruitful ha sthe Divine goodness ber and what a Numerous Progeny has it brough Dan. 7.10. forth! Who can Number the Lords Hoft? The sand thousands minister unto him, and ten thousa times ten thousand stand before him. All these did

fu co of

bii ma

an

we

qu

none inef

faid

l no

f thi

i but

low

db

xce

ligh

atva

Mole

n (we

befor

nis hi

at u

Good

es,

Fou

ons

atery

of th

e th

re fi

Cre

ben

OUD

Thu

oula

drin

of the same mexhaustible Weil of Life, of this Lucid fountain of good; and with perpetual Anthems of Praise celebrate the bounty of their Maker.

But altho those higher Orders of Spirits, who are feated near the Spring-head of Blifs, enjoy a greater share of the Divine Goodness, and being as it were in a direct Position to that All-glorious Sun, must needs drink in more plentiful and more vigorous Effusions of his Light, yet Man, the Younger Brother, feems in some respects to be the Darling of Heaven, and to be Priviledg'd with fome peculiar Tokens of Favour. I shall chuse to instance in two. One is, That Man is admitted to the Grace of Repentance, and has the advantage of Second thoughts, whereas God spared not the Angels that finn'd. The other is, That Man had 2 Pet. 2.4. the Honour to be Hypostatically United with the the Second Person of the Blessed Trinity. So that what was figuratively spoken by God in the Case of Adam, is here in some measure really verify'd, Behold Man is become as one of us; where- Heb. 2.16. as he refused to take upon him the Nature of Angels.

VIII.

These indeed are the two greater Lights that shine most conspicuously in the Firmament, and such as when alone consider'd, would wind up a contemplative Spirit to that Extatic Admiration of the Psalmist, Lord what is man that thou art Psal. 8. mindful of him and the son of man that thou visitest him! But there are also a multitude of lesser Stars, many of which we do not observe, tho we feel and thrive under their Instuence; and those which we do we cannot number. God's Favours are too quick for our Accounts, and the Heavenly Manna G falls

falls fo thick about our Tents, that we want opportunity to gather it up.

IX.

But that I may Sail by some Compass in so wide and boundless an Ocean, I consider that the Effects of Gods Goodness to Man may be distributed into these two Kinds in general, Giving and Forgiving. Those of giving again are of two sorts: Either such as are to be conferred upon us after our Work is done, by which I understand the Rewards of Heaven; or such as are given us by way of Earnest, or Anticipation.

b

n

i

t

a

1

W

ft

in

ne

fu

fu

ft

fu

ed

di

to

as

li

A

gr

fr

be

X.

I begin with the last of these, where the first thing that offers it felf to our confideration is the Collation of our Being; which I do not understand as 'tis generally taken in the Schools, namely, For naked and abstract Existence: For thus to Be Absolutely has no manner of intrinsick good in it, but is only a Foundation or Capacity of a good or evil State Indifferently. And this methinks is fo very plain, that I should much wonder how so many Metaphysical Heads could espouse the contrary, were it not found to be a convenient Device for the Maintenance of that abfurd Paradox, that 'tis better to Be, tho in extream Mifery, than not to be; which Proposition was also intended for the support of another, every whit as abfurd, viz. That God may confiftently with his Goodness and Justice, inflict eternal Misery upon an Innocent Creature. For fince he may (as all grant) Annihilate an Innocent Creature, twill follow that he may with less appearance of Injustice, inflict on him eternal Misery, Annihilation (according to these mensMetaphisicks)

being the greater evil of the two. And that for this notable Reason, because he that is, tho never so miserable, enjoys some good, viz. that of Existence, whereas he that is not, has none at all.

fo

he

ri-

WO

OB

er-

re

rft

is

1.

le-

US

bo

fa

16-

er

ife

ıi-

rd

G.

6

it

th

y

ly

e,

1.

5)

g

XI.

But now, belides that the good of Simple being, may be outweighed by Super-induced evils, and that then to Be all considered, would not be good but evil, as I could eafily shew were it my present concern further to ingage in that Controversie; I say, besides this, I do not allow the Truth of the first Assertion, that to be has any intrinsick good in it. And therefore when I begin the Catalogue of the Divine Favours, with the Collation of our Being, I do not understand by the Phrase meerly our being brought into Act indefinitely (existence as such including neither good nor evil in it) but our being made fuch certain Essences or Natures, consisting of fuch Powers and Faculties as are requifite to constitute such an Order of Beings as according to fuch a Mode of Imitability or Idea, is represented in the Divine Understanding, and which we distinguish by the Name of Mankind.

XII.

Now the Nature of Man involves much good and Perfection in it, and consequently for God to give it Existence is an Act of Goodness as well as of Power. For tho there be (as I suppose) little or no deference to be paid to that popular Argument, which would derive an Obligation of gratitude upon Children toward their Parents, from their receiving their Being from them, because there is no kindness here designed to those

G 2

Perfons

Persons who in the Event (perhaps) are profited, but before were not so much as known; yet our case is quite otherwise, as to our receiving our Being from the Father of Spirits. For he both knew whom he was to oblige, when he gave us Being, and intended it as a kindness to m, having no Interest of his own to promote by it. Which are the two Qualifications required by Seneca, in his Book De Benesiciis, to make up the Nature of such a Benesit as shall lay an Obligation upon the Receiver.

XIII.

Now both these Requisites being eminently found in God, it follows that his Kindness in gi ving us Being, receives its Estimate from the value and excellency of the thing bestowed, which cannot appear little if we confider, that fuch was the Dignity and Excellency of Human Nature that it occasioned deliberation in Heaven, and wa thought worthy of the Council of the Trinity. I we confider, that Man is the most Noble parted all the visible Creation, the Abstract, and Compendium of the Universe. That he is a Creatur formed after the Image of the Great God, et dowed with an excellent and immortal Spirit and refembling his Maker, as in other respects, fo in some measure in this, that he can and mul needs be happy both in the direct Operations of his Nature, and in the reflective acts of Content plation upon the dignity of his Essence. Togin therefore Being to fuch an accomplished Creatur as this, is ipfo facto, without Consideration of an further delign, a very fignal act of Love and Be neficence.

MC

OUT

oth

W

ing

ich

0

the

th

ich

WH

ore,

Wa.

H

t of

OM-

ure

er-

rit

ets.

dag

s of

en-

riv.

un

ani

Be

CIV.

XIV.

Another very fignal instance of the Divine Goodness to Man, is our Preservation, whether we consider it in the more Metaphysical way of the Schools, as that uninterrupted Influx, which they call Continued Creation, whereon we depend as Essentially as the Image in the Glass does upon the Object; or whether we consider it after the more popular acceptation, as it denotes the Conduct and Superintendency of Gods Providence, whereby he so disposes of the Events and Issues of things, as either to keep off from us what would incommode our welfare, or to work out a more important good from those evils which he suffers to befall us.

XV.

And here would be matter of wonderful curiofity, and pleafing aftonishment, could we but discern from end to end those manifold turns and fetches, those Stratagems and Intrigues, that Plot of Providence which is engaged for our preservation through the various Occurrences of Life. Could we but fee what a Labyrinth, what a Maze we tread, and what reason there is for every turning; were but our Eyes open'd (as the Young mans were at the Prayer of Elisha) to see the 2 King.6.] Bright Host of Auxiliary Spirits that incamp about us, to fee with what care and concern the good Angels contest on our behalf against the Powers of Darkness, as the Guardian Angel of Dan. 10. the Jews did against the Prince of Persia, and how many dangers both Ghostly and bodily we escape through their Protection, could we I say fee all this ____ But we may be content to want the curiofity, fo long as we enjoy the Benefit, and G 3 rest

rest satisfied with what the Psalmist affores m of in general, that the Angel of the Lord tarrieth Pfal. 34. about them that fear him, and delivereth them.

> Another considerable instance of the Divine Goodness to Man, is seen in the Provision made by Providence for the necessaries and conveniences of Life, fuch as Food and Raiment, and the like. This was first exemplified in the Order of the Creation, wherein it is to be observed that the Creation of Man was referved for the work of the Sixth-day, till the World was both Created and Furnished for his reception; till the Heavenly bodies were prepared to guide him by their Light, and the Earth to feed him with her Fruit, and then God brings in Man into the World, like a Noble gueft to a Table richly spread and fet out with Delicacies.

> > XVII.

I dare not heighten this consideration so far as fome do, who affirm all things to have been made meerly for the use of Man. For although (as 'tis well noted by the French Philosopher) Phil. P. 50. upon a moral account, it be of good use to say that God made all things for our fakes, it being a confideration that would ferve to excite in us a greater Love and Gratitude towards him, and although in some corrected Sense it be true, in as much as we may make use of all things to fome good purpose or other, either as Objects to employ our Philosophy upon, or as Occasions to Magnifie the Goodness and Power of our Creator, yet to fay that all things were fo precifely made for us as to exclude all other purposes, besides that 'tis too boldly to determin concerning the

Princip.

Ends of God, and to indulge a fond opinion of our felves, 'tis also plainly absurd and unphilosophical, there being questionless many things in the World fo far from affording any real use to Man, that they never have been or fhall be fo much as feen or understood by him.

ieth

7ine

ade

eni.

and

der

hat

ork

rea

lea-

neir

tits.

rld.

and

far

een

igh

er)

Say

ing

US

ind

ip

to

to

to

or,

de

les

he

ds

XVIII.

However thus far we may venture to determin, and more we need not require, that God had a special regard to Man in the Creation of the World, whom he has constituted Lord of the inferiour part of it, that (as the Pfalmift fays) he covered the Heavens with Clouds, and prepared Plal. 147; Rain for the Earth, and made the Grass to grow upon the Mountains, and Herbs for the use of Man.

XIX.

But besides this General and Primary designation of things for the use of Man, there is a more Particular and Secondary work of Providence to be observ'd in the so managing and Ordering of Affairs, that every Man may have a tolerable Portion of the good things of this Life. And this is effected not by leaving all things in Common, or giving every Man a right to every thing, for this would be of pernicious consequence, as tending both to the perpetual disturbance of the Publick Peace, and to the utter neglett and Difimprovement of Nature; but by the limits and inclosures of Property, whereby care is taken that every Man shall either have something of his own, or be maintain'd by the Provisions of those that So that some way or other God provides for every member of this his great Family; and though he does not always at our defire bring Quails,

F

t

W

C

D

in

the

the

Di

ler

s

co

tio

hi

an

Pfal, 105. Quails, and fill us with the Bread of Heaven, yet he furnishes every one that travels in this Wilderness with a Viaticum sufficient to carry him thro'his Journey; and tho he does not grant him his own wish, yet he grants him that of a Wh

Prov. 30. fer Man, and feeds him with food convenient for him. XX.

> But these are but Prefatory Favours, Dawnings of Goodness, and little Essays of the Divine Love if Compared with those last displays of his Bounty, those Consummations of Kindness which attend Man in the other World, when God shall give him everlafting felicity, and make him

Pfal, 21. glad with the joy of his Countenance. When he shall withdraw his Hand from the Clift of the Rock, and shew him all his Glory. When he Exod. 33.

shall remove the Bounds from the Mount of his Presence, and admit him to the Comprehension of an Intuitive Beatitude. This is that great Portion, that Final Patrimony which is laid up for Man, and which (as our Saviour fays) shall

Mar. 10. be given to those, for whom it is prepared. those, who do not by their own default forfeit their Inheritance with the Saints in Light.

XXI.

And thus far of those effects of the Divine Goodness to Man, which are manifested by giving. The next is that of forgiving. This is that peculiar Instance of Favour, whereby Man stands distinguished from the rest of the Sons of God, as the great Favorite of Heaven. For though the Angels were all Partakers of God's Love and Bounty, yet ?twas Man alone that was made choice of to be the Object of his Mercy. Mirg ras o artgomos Tor hoyinar staperor Exe To ou, from

De Nat. Hom. p. 2.2. co,

П

ant Vi-

125,

vn-

ine

his

nall

ig

he the

he his

eat

up

To

feit

ine

gi

nat

ads

od,

he

and

ade

9

41

er To peravolis acias Says Nemelius. For 'twas Man alone, among all Rational Beings, who had the Priviledge of being pardon'd by Repentance. Favour extraordinary, whether we consider the great Benefit that accrues to Man by it, in being freed from the Curse of the Law, and restored to a Capacity of arriving to that Happinels, for which he was first designed, or the wonderful means of effecting it. For that God should bow the Heavens and come down, empty himself by taking upon him the Form of a Servant, and humble himself yet further, by becoming Obedient even unto Death, this is that Stupendious unutterable instance of Mercy, that Mystery of Goodness, which the Angels desire to look into. which they admire and cannot Comprehend, found and cannot Fathom, and which while they Contemplate, Man enjoys.

The use of this to Devotion.

Aving now tasted and seen in some Measure how good and gracious the Lord is, let us now apply this speculation to the advantage of This I shall do, First, by consider-Devotion. ing what may be collected to this purpose from the Goodness of God in General; Secondly, by the shewing how the several Instances of the Divine Goodness point out to us the exercise of feveral Devotional vertues. And first, since God s so good a Being, and so good to Man, 'twill become us in the first place to banish all superstitious slavish Fears and jealous apprehensions of him, considering that 'tis more for the Honour, and more according to the Will of fo Good a Being,

Being, to be heartily loved, than fervilely fearl and that 'tis Love and not Fear that has the

Honour to fulfil the whole Law.

Secondly, God being fo Good, and having fhewn fo much Goodness to us, 'twill highly be come us in the next place to acknowledge this hi Goodness by all the ways we can, especially be these Three, Praying to him, Depending on him, and Praising him. By every one of these, we acknow ledge God's Goodness, either directly, or by con sequence; but most of all by the last, which ough therefore to be principally regarded. This Ith rather take notice of, because 'tis a thing where we are generally defective, for we are all apt be more zealously affected in our peritionary Pra ers, than in our giving Thanks. And the reason, Suppose, is, because our Prayers are for our selve but giving Thanks is to God. But certainly this is great fault, and proceeds from that root of evil, felf-love; we ought rather to address or felves to God with more Application and Devot on in our Praises than in our Prayers. For he the Praifes, glorifies God more than he that Pray for he that Prays, does only hope that God w be good to him, but he that Praises, does actual acknowledge that he is already fo. more excellence in Praise than we are common aware of. To Believe, Pray and Trust is the work of Earth, but to Adore and Praise is the work of Heaven. But not so as to be reserve till we come thither. No, we must begin here, or we shall never do it hereafter. 'Tist only retribution God expects from us for all in Goodness, to be blessed for his Blessings; unless we do this, we shall be guilty of the high

ie

tot

ga

on

or

im

Her

ha

Th:

es :

truf

an

rel

the

ing

be

s hi

y bi

COL

ugh

I th

erai

ot to

Pra

on,

loc

s is

of a

OI

VOU

the

ays

dn

tuak

rei

only s th

in i

11 6

high

est injustice and ingratitude imaginable, and of such a vileness, as all the Praying in the World will never countervail.

But as we are obliged to act thus from God's Goodness in general, so the several Instances of the Divine Goodness point out to us the exercise of several Devotional Vertues. For example, when a Man confiders God as the Author and Preserver of his Being, what inference can be more natural, than that he should present unto him himself, his Soul and Body to be a reasonable, holy and lively Sacrifice, that he should employ ll his Powers and Faculties, in the Service, and to the Glory of him that gave them, and love him with all his Heart Mind, Soul and Strength? Again, when he considers the guard which Gods Holy Angels keep over him, and the many Deiverances vouchsafed him through their Proection, What inference can be more obvious than that he rest secure under this defence of the most High, and abide with confidence under the shadow of the Almighty, that he sing Praises to God in the multitude of these his strong Merties, and be ever mindful of that faying, Grieve not the Angel, lest he smite thee: Do nothing gainst him, lest he forsake thee. Again, when he considers the plentiful provision God has made for him as to this Life, that his Lot is fallen to him in a fair ground, and that he has a goodly Heritage, what is more naturally to be inferred than that he offer up to God the Sacrifice of Thanksgiving, for all the Methods, Conveyanes and Instruments of his Bounty, and that he trust his Providential care for his future maintenance? Again, when he considers that weight of Glory

Glory prepared for him in the other Work what can be more natural for him, than win Angels and Archangels, and all the Company Heaven, to Laud and Magnifie his Glorion Name, and to press forward to some degrees of excellency, in the Service of him who has thus pre vented him with fuch excesses of Kindness, for depths of unfearchable Love? Laftly, when h confiders those astonishing Miracles of the Di vine Mercy and Condescension in the Redemni on of the World, in the Assumption of our N ture, and the humble submission of our Bless Lord to the Pains and Dishonours of the Cross what can be more natural, than that after a Hymn of Praise and Adoration to him that is eth on the Throne, and to the Lamb, he low upon himself now as no longer his own, but a bought with a Price; and accordingly glorif God in his Body and Spirit, which are God that he dishonour not that Nature which is mad one with the Divinity, and advanced above Seraphims; and that lastly, he endeavour to a py out some of the imitable strokes of his San ours Humility, and (in the Apostle's Phrase) the same mind be in him which was in Christ Jesus

I Cor. 6.

Ti

n

n

k

u

ſ

b

lo

to

W

m

ar

di

m

fee

my

ev

A

fin ti I k ab Co

far pra

The Aspiration.

with y d

profud no ham Di no ham Di

t a

the co

Tel

O My great and good God, who art good in all thy Greatness, and whose chiefest greatness is to be Good; How can I possibly thinkermiss of thee, distrust thee, or harbour any jealous apprehensions concerning thee? And how unworthy should I be of this thy Goodness if I should!

But, O God, my Love, 'tis my infirmity to be afraid of that Excellence which I should rather love, for my love of thee is not yet perfect enough to cast out all fear; but blessed be thy Goodness, who in the midst of my fears and doubtful surmises art pleased to remind me of thy Nature, and to say to my Soul, as thou didst once to the

diffident Disciples, It is I, be not afraid.

The Voice of my Beloved! I will therefore turn my fears to love, and love more than I ever yet feared or loved. I will also magnifie thee, O God, Pfal. 145. my King: And I will praise thy Name for ever and ever. Every day will I give thanks unto thee: And praise thy Name for ever and ever. For I have tasted and seen how gracious thou art, and I find it is a good thing to Praise thee: And that 'tis a joyful and pleasant thing to be Thankful. I know, O my God, that thy Goodness is as much above my Praise as thy Greatness is above my Comprehension. My Praises can add nothing to thee, neither can I Praise thee according to thy But, O my God, I will Praise thee Goodness. ccording to my frength, and I know that the same Goodness of thine, which is too great to be praised worthily, is also too great not to accept our unworthy Praises. Mv

My God, I know thou requireft from me only the Praises of a Man, but I am troubled that cannot Praise thee as an Angel. O that I wen now in Heaven, if 'twere only that I might Praise thee as thy Angels Praise thee: This, 0 my God, I will do hereafter; my Gratitude had run then as high as theirs, and it shall be as lasting too; it shall last as long as thy Goodness and my Being lasts; and as thy mercy, so my Praise shall endure for ever.

THE

THE SECOND PART.

Wherein the

Grounds and Measures

OF

DEVOTION

Are Consider'd from the

Nature of Man.

G G G G Grounds and Measures the war acception of the central production fo he co or pl

Contemplation I.

Of Man, consider'd as a Creature.

T

N Man, as thus consider'd, I find these four things involv'd, First, That he was once nothing. Secondly, That from nothing he became Something. Thirdly, That he was made Something, and is what he is by and from God. Fourthly, That he so depends upon Gods continual Influence for the continuation of that Being which he receiv'd from him, that should God but never so little withdraw it, he must necessarily sail back into his first Nothing.

II.

First, then I consider that Man was once Nothing, which is the same as to say, That once he was not, or that he was not always. This is too acknowledg'd a Proposition to need any laborious Proof; but however for satisfaction sake, I thus demonstrate it. If Man were always, he would be a necessary Being. For since every thing is necessary while it is, and since there is no assignable Point of Duration wherein that which always is, is not, it follows that if Man were always, he would be a necessary Being. But now that Man is not a necessary Being I prove thus:

III.

Man has not his Being from himself, but from fome other Being; For if he had it from himself, he would never have limited his own Being, and consequently would have had all other Persections as well as Existence. But that he has not is plain, because he is an Amorom and Desiring Be-

н

ing, and is continually reaching out and aspiring to some further Excellence, which is a certain Argument of *Indigency*. Whence it follows, the he had not Being from himself.

IV.

He must therefore have it from some other Being, that is, He must therefore exist, because some other Being will have him to exist. If the the Ground and Reason of mans existing be the Will and Pleasure of some other Being, the Man must so far exist necessarily, as 'cis necessary that that other Being should will his existence. Since the necessary of the Effects depends upon the necessary of the Cause: To show therefore the Man does not necessarily exist, 'twill be enough to show that 'tis not necessary that any such being should will his Existence; which I do thus:

1

F

b

M

p fi

B

th

fo

bi

in

DI

W

Mal

at

he

th

N

V.

'Tis not necessary that any Being should effects ally will that which is not necessarily Lovely. But Man is not necessarily Lovely; therefore 'tis not necessary that any Being should effectually wil the Being of Man. The first Proposition is Selfevident. The fecond will be made fo, by confe dering that necessary Loveliness is the highest de gree of Loveliness, and the highest degree of Loveliness, supposes the highest degree of Excellence; that which is lovely in the highest do gree, must be excellent in the highest degree, every thing being lovely fo far as it is excellent But now Man is not Excellent in the highest de gree, because he aspires to higher excellence (# was faid before) and therefore neither is h Lovely in the highest degree, and therefore no Neccesarily Lovely. Which was the Minor Pro polition

position. The Conclusion therefore follows; that 'tis not necessary that any Being should effectually will the being of Man. And therefore also 'tis not necessary that Man should exist, the reason of Mans existing being sounded upon the will of some other Being, as was supposed. And if Man does not exist necessarily, then he did not exist always; and if not always, then once he was not, which was the thing to be here made out.

thar

Be

auk the

th

tha effi-

nα

th

tha

uel

n Be

ats:

Bu

not

will Self-

onside

e of

Ex.

de

ree

lent

de

(8

s h

not Pro

100

VI

The next thing to be considered is that Man became Something from Nothing: Which is the fame as to fay that he was not made out of any Pre-existent Matter or Substance. This, tho it be more strictly verify'd of the Soul of Man, which in no fense was raised into being from any pre-existent substance, but came immediately from Nothing to be what it is, yet it is also verify'd to all intents and purposes in respect of his Body, which tho it be not immediately from Nothing as the Soul is, yet Mediately it is, it being form'd not from Matter eternally Pre-existing, but from Matter which once was nothing, it being impossible that there should be any eternally pre-existing Matter, or that Matter should always have been, for the very same Reason that Man could not have been always; which having already fet down, I shall not again repeat it.

VII.

The third thing involv'd in Mans being a Crcature, is that he was made something, and is what he is by and from God. This will be thus Demonstrated. All Being is either Being Essentially, that is, Being it self, or Being by Participation. Now Being it self is God, as has been shewn be-

fore: And there can be but one Being it felf, a was also shewn before. Therefore all Beings be sides this one Being it felf, besides God, are Beings by Participation. Now whatever is in any thing by Participation, is caused in it by that to which it essentially belongs. Man therefore being a Being by Participation, must necessarily be from and by Being it self; that is, from and by God.

Should it be here Objected that Nothing hisders, but that a thing may be found without that which is not of the Reason or Essence of it, as Man suppose without Learning. And that this Habitude of being canfed by Being it felf, or God is not of the essence of Beings, because they may be conceiv'd without it. And that therefore no thing hinders but that there may be fome Being that are not from God. To this I answer, The tho this Habitude does not make any part of the Idea or Essence of those things which are caused yet it is necessarily consequent to it. For to b a Being by Participation does as much vertually involve its being caused, as a Triangle involve this Affection, that any two fides of it taken to gether are greater than the third. So that find a Being can no more exist without being canfel than a Triangle can exist without this Affection But whereas the Habitude of being caused, is no of the Reason of Being Simply, or as such, there fore there is a Certain being that is not caused which is God.

IX.

The same Conclusion, that Man has his Being from God, may be further proved from the Consideration, that none can possibly Create by (

t

(

t

t

1

C

r

r

0

0

tl

to

n

m

įr

be

Be-

any

tto

ing

On

od.

hin-

hat

251

this

God

may

110-

ing

That

the

fed

o b

ally

lve

1 10

foct wfed tion

10

nere

rfed

God, The truth of which Proposition is generally founded upon the Distance that is between Being and not Being, which they fay is infinite, and therefore it must require an Infinite Power to reduce a thing from one to the other. this is an obscure way of arguing, and I must profels that I do not so clearly understand it as to be fatisfy'd whether it be conclusive or no; and therefore I shall rather chuse to say, that the most universal effect must have the most univerfal cause. But now among all Effects, to make a thing to be Simply is the most Universal. this is Creation, which implies not only a production of this or that Being, or of a Being according to this or that Nature or Quality, (for this is also done in Generation and Alteration) but also of Being Absolutely: For the immediate Terms of Creation are from not being to be, and then afterwards comes in to be this or that, thus or thus. Creation therefore is the most Universal Effect that is, and confequently it must be reduced into the most Universal Cause that is, which is God. Therefore God only can Create; therefore all Creatures are from God, and consequently Man receives his Being from no other but God.

'Tis now further to be consider'd, that as Man receives his being from God, so he depends upon God's continual influence for the continuation of it, insomuch, that should God never so little withdraw it, he must necessarily fall back into his First Nothing. For besides, that to continue in being is as much an Universal Effect as to make to be, and consequently must be resolv'd into the same Universal Cause, which is God.

1

.6

t ł

1

r

١

1

t

O

p

t

0

b

p

di

0

al

C

M

n

sh

th

ti

th

I further consider, that Being by Participation wholly and intirely from Being it felf. Now eve ry Effect depends upon its Cause as far as it is in Caule. If a Partial Caufe, then it depends upon it Partially ; if an Intire Cause, then it depend upon it Intirely. Since therefore Being by Participation is wholly and intirely from Being felf, it follows that it must depend wholly and intirely upon it, and if fo, then it must depend upon it for every minute of its Existence, as well as for the very first Instant of it; otherwise i would not depend upon it wholly and intirely (as is supposed) there being something in refe rence to which it would be Independent.

XI.

Prima Phi-

Medit. De I further consider with Cartesius, that fine lofop. Medit. the Time of our Life confifts of innumerable Parts, every one of which does by no means do pend upon that which went before, from our a isting a little before it, does no way follow the we shall exist now. I say, it does no way follow, that because we existed a little before, we shall therefore exist now; or that because of our est isting now, we shall exist afterwards, there be ing no necessary Connexion between the Mo ments themselves, whereof our Duration is made If therefore we do exist in several Instant or Nows of Time, this must be from some Cank which conferves us, and as it were gives us being in every one of those Nows or Moments. But the cannot be our felves, first, for the reason alledge by Cartefins, because we are not Conscious of an fuch Power, which undoubtedly we should k if we had it. And Secondly, because we might then have given our felves the First New or Mo

IOD I

eve.

is in

upon

endi

Par.

ngi

and

pend

wel

fe i

irch

refe.

fine

abk

s de

r es-

tha

OW,

fhal

13

bo Mo

ade

anti ank eing this lg'l

anj

be

gh

egi

ment of existence as well as any of the Rest. For the first Now of Existence differs no otherwise from any of the rest but only as to Novitas Effendi, or the Newness of existing, which is only an extrinsical Relation, and such as adds nothing to the difficulty or greatness of the Effect; which being the same on both hands, the Cause must also be of equal Force and Vertue. But we could not give Being to our felves (as was before proved) and therefore neither are we able to Con-The Cause therefore ferve our felves in being. by which we are conserved in being, must be the fame which gave us Being, that is, God; without whose continued Influence we can no more go on in our Existence, than we could at First Be. XII.

This I cannot better illustrate than by that dependence which an Image in the Glass has upon the Face whose Reslexion it is. The Image is not only caused by the access of the Face to the Glass, but does also so necessarily and substantially depend upon and subsist by its Presence, that at the first removal of it, it immediately vanishes and disappears. And so 'tis with us, we are not only at first brought into being by God, but do also all along so depend upon his Instuence for the carrying on our being thro' the several Distinct Moments of time, that should this Instuence but never so little be withdrawn or intercepted, we should immediately sink down into our first nothing.

XIII.

And were it not thus, 'twould be impossible that God should ever Annihilate. For Annihilation cannot be done by any Positive Act, because the Term of Annihilation is, not being Simply.

H 4

But

But now every Politive Act tends to being. So that even Physical Corruption is not a Politic Motion, but only in as much as at the Exclusion of one Form another is introduced by way of Communacy. Much less therefore can Annihilate be Politive. If therefore God beable to Annihilate it can be only by Privation, that is, by so pending that Instruce upon which we depende for every Moment of our Existence; and without which we cannot exist. And thus Tob express the Mode of Annihilation, when he says, O the it would please God to destroy me, that he would be loose his hand, and cut me off.

XIV.

As for the Particular Mode of our dependen upon God, and what this Divine Influence whereby we are Conferv'd in being, this is Theory much above our Capacity to Compn hend, and therefore I shall not much employ m Curiofity about it. But might I have leavet Divine, I would fay, That the Creature depend upon God after some such way as the Image the Glass does upon the Face. That this Earn World is only the Image or Reflexion of the A chetypal or Ideal World, and fo depends upon and fublifts by it, as all other Images do upo their Originals. And that the Presentialness this Ideal World must be supposed to be some wa or other intercepted in order to the Annihilation either this whole Ettypal World, or of any part cular Creature in it. And this feems to have good foundation in Scripture, which fays, the all things are upheld, or born by the World God's Power, that is, by the Divine Air G. th Ideal or Archerypal World; by whom also inth

Heb. 1.

Tob. 6.

fame place, the Worlds are faid to have been made.

fitir

ufior

Con ation

y fol

nde

thou

reffe

) the

146

lend

cei

is

npm

y m

re to

e i

ypi

Ar-

I pos

fs a

WZ

n d

arti han

tha

10

am

The Use of this to Devotion.

Reat is the advantage that may be made I hence, to the purpoles of Devotion, For, first, if Man was once nothing, this lays a very proper and reasonable ground for Humility and 'Tis usually thought a very Poverty of Spirit. humbling confideration, to remind a Person of the meanness of his Original, But, now, what Original can be fo mean as to come from nothing? Now this is the condition of Man. He had his Rife from nothing, and derives his Pedegree by his Mothers side, from Darkness and Emptiness. And tho'now by the Omnipotence of his Creator he is fomething, yet still he holds his being as precariously as he first receiv'd it, and depends as much for his existence upon the Will of his Creator, as Light does upon the Sun. God fpake the word, indeed, before he was made; but to unmake. him, he need only be filent, and not fustain him by the Word of his Power. And shall that Being be Proud which was once nothing, and needs only a meer Negative to bring him to nothing again? No, fays the wife Man, Pride was not made for man: Nor furious Anger for them that are born of a woman.

Secondly, As this affords us grounds of Humiliation, as to our felves, so we have hence Reason to adore and magnific that Power which was so great as to be able, and that Goodness which was so great as to be willing to bring us from nothing to something.

And

And fince all this proceeds wholly from God, to whom we not only owe our beings, but our whole perseverance in being; hence in the last place appears the great equity of giving up our whole selves, our Soul, Body and Spirit, to the Service and Glory of that God in whom we live, more, and have our being; which, considering the great Benefit of Creation, and the Right which God thereby acquires over us, must needs be a very reasonable Service and Sacrifice.

The Aspiration.

No Creator, how can I be ever sufficiently humble, when I consider that I one was not; when I consider that even thou with all thy Omnipotence can'st not reduce me to a lower degree of nothing than that from whene thou took'st me! When I consider that I still so depend upon thee, that I cannot subsist one moment withou thee! What a vanity, what a standar, what a nothing then am I, who once was not, and now am only because Thou art, and can no longer stand in being than supported by the Arm of thy Power!

O my God, I know not whether of the twolought more to Adore and Magnifie, either that Power that could raise me from nothing, to be what I am, or that Goodness which could determine that Power to so strange and wonderful a Production. One deep, O my God, calleth upon another, and my thoughts are all lost and swal-

i

i

h

0

b

low'd up in both.

Praise and Adoration be to thee, O my great and good God, for twas from thy Power and Goodness Goodness that I receiv'd my Being. Thou art he that took me out of my Mothers Womb, and thou also wast my Hope when I hanged yet upon my Mothers Breast. I have been also left unto thee ever since I was born: Thou art my God even from my Mothers Womb. My Soul still hangeth upon thee: Thy right Hand does uphold me. Thou holdest my Soul in life,

and sufferest not my Feet to Slip.

d, to

hole

ap.

via

OVE,

reat

God

very

uff.

ona

vith

toa

ence

11 fo

mofb4.

can the

o l hat

be

er-

la

pon

val-

eat

and

es

To thee then, O Father of Spirits, I give up and devote my whole felf, for I am intirely from thee, intirely by thee, and therefore intirely thine. How then can I ever offend thee, or rebel against thee, with whose Powers which thou hast given me, and dost still uphold and maintain in me! My God, I will not, but as thou art he whose I am, so thou shalt be he whom I will ever serve. Free me therefore, O God, from my Passions, and make me but once my Own, and I will then ever be Thine. Amen.

Contemplation II.

Of Man consider'd as an Intelligent Creature.

.

In the Creation of Man there are two things chiefly to be remarked. First, The Councel and Deliberation of the Blessed Trinity, expressed in these words: Let us make man. Secondly, The immediate Pattern or Platform, according to which he was to be made, expressed in these words, In our Image, after our own likness. Now both these denote the peculiar excellency of human Nature; but especially the latter: For what can make more

more for the excellency of Man's composition than to fay, that he was made after the Divin

Likeness.

This Divine likeness, not to mention any other instances of resemblance, I take to be most con spicuous in this, that as in the Divine Natur there are two Processions; one by way of Intellet which is the x620, or Word, and the other b way of Love, which is the Holy Spirit. So like wife in the human Nature there are as it were two Processions, and that of the same kind too? in the Divine Understanding and Love. By the two Noble and Divine Powers branching for from the Soul, Man chiefly refembles God, and becomes a little Image of the Trinity.

T

I

t t

fe

C E

tl

C

a

W

b

t

it

Y

R

fe

CI

1

R

tl tł

My bufiness at present is only with the first

these, namely, the Understanding of Man, or w consider Man as an Intelligent Creature. therefore I shall shew, first, the Kinds of huma Knowledge; Secondly, the Mode of it. Now, a to the Kinds of human Knowledge, I confiden Contemp. 5. that fince Knowledge in general (as was before noted) is a Comprehention of Truth, as many was as a Man may comprehend Truth, fo many wan he may be faid to know. Now a Man may comprehend Truth, either as to Simple Effences, or to their Complex Habitudes, or as to the Depadence that is between one Habitude and another The first of these is what we usually call Appro hension; the second is what we call Judgment and the last is what we call Discourie. Thus w are authorized to speak by the Schools, who a cribe Judgment and Discourse to the Understand ing; tho' I am rather of Monsieur Malebrand

t ion

other

COD.

atom

ellea

r by

like

Were

00 2

thek

orti

and

ft a

Here

mas

der.

for

vaji Vaji

on-

perher. preent, we

nch his his mind, that there is no other Operation of the Intellect but only Perseption, and that Judgment rend.verit. and Discourse more properly belong to the Will, 1. 1. cap. 2. as being an embracing of, and an adhesion to Truth. But then, withal, it must be acknowledged, that there is a threefold Perception. One whereby I perceive a simple Object, without any relation which may be called a simple Perception. Another, when I perceive the relations of simple Essences, which may be called a Judicial Perception. And a third, when I perceive the relation that is between those relations, which may be called a Rational Perception. This is the whole Latitude, and full Compass of the Intellect, and that which belongs to Intellect in common, whether Human or Divine.

IV.

For I think it no absurdity to fay, that in this fense God has Reason and Discourse. For 'tis most certain that he does not only perceive the simple Essences of things, and their relations, but also the relation that is between those relations. Only there is this difference, that God perceives all this at once, with one intire simple view; whereas Man is fain to open his Prospect by degrees, by advancing step by step from one proposition to another in the field of Truth. Which, tho' it has appropriated to it felf the name of Reason, yet I think it does not belong to the nature of Reason in general, but is rather an accidental defelt of it, such as proceeds from the narrowness of created, or of fuch or such created Capacities. This must not therefore be made necessary to Reason (that being sufficiently falv'd in perceiving the relation that is between the Habitudes of things) but only to Human Reason.

V.

t

e

t

t

f

0

ti

of

PI

OI

th

po

in Al

all

th

ve

7

wi tai

are Ser

ali reli

are

are

And thus much as to the Kinds of huma Knowledge. I come now to explain the Mode it, by shewing how Man understands. This per haps will appear a desperate undertaking at fin fight; but I think the difficulty proceeds mon from the prejudices of our Education than from the remoteness of the Theory. For were were otherwise prepossest from the Principles of the vulgar Philosophy, what would be more familir and obvious than to conclude that we fee and know all things in God? This is a Notion which I very early lighted upon, by the Natural Pan riency of my own mind, before I had confulm with any Authors that might imbue me with it But afterwards I met with some that confirm'd m in it. For it is a Notion very frequently touch upon by Platonists; by Plotinus, by Proclus, by Marsilius Fiscinus, by St. Austin, by the late From Philosopher Du Hamel, in his Book De Mente Ha mana, and is sometimes glanced at by Aquin himself; but by none that I know of so copion ly, fo purposely, and so dexterously managed as by the incomparable Monsieur Malebranch who, I think, has established the truth of it be yond all cavil or exception, as well as reasonable doubting. I shall therefore, for the clearing this Argument, first give a short and summan account of what that excellent Person has med tated upon it, and then subjoin some further considerations of my own to the same purpose

De inquirend.verit.
1.3. part. 2. are without the Soul, cannot be perceived by
1.1. themselve ode o

pa

t firl

mon from

e no

iliz

and hid

art

olta h it

d m

, b

H

uju

ion

ged

nche t be-

able

gd

gan

edi

that le

own hid

b

IVE

themselves, but by the Mediation of Ideas. This Proposition is most unquestionable, taking Ideas in a large signification for Images or Representations of things. For things that are perceiv'd must be some way or other Present to the Soul, either by themselves or by their Representatives. And since they are not by themselves, they must by their Ideas. And so much is acknowledg'd on all sides. Here therefore being no Controversie, there needs no more Proof.

VII.

This premifed, he thus proceeds. It is therefore necessary that these Ideas which we have of Objects without, should either proceed from those Objects: Or that our Mind has a Power of producing those Ideas. Or that God should produce them with the Mind when he creates it, or that he should produce them as often as we think of any Object. Or that our Mind should possess in it self all the Persections which it sees in things. Or lastly, that it be united to some Absolutely persect Being, that includes in himself all the Persections of Created Beings. By one of these ways we must necessarily perceive whatever we perceive.

VIII.

The first is according to the vulgar Philosophy, which teaches that external Objects send forth certain Species like themselves, and that these Species are carried by the external Senses to the common Sensory, and that then they are refined, and spiritualized by the help of that which they call Intellettus Agens, and so become Intelligible, then are received into the Intellettus Passivus, and then are attually understood. This certainly is either very

profound sense, or very profound nonsense, on of the two, and is rather like the Anatomical secount how the Chyle is turn'd into Blood, than like a Metaphysical account of the way of understanding. But that this Hypothesis cannot be true, our shathor shews from the impossibility of Objects sending forth such Species, which he proves first from the Impenetrability of Bodies, Which must need hinder that these Species which are nothing est but Corporeal effluvias, should possess the same Whi, which yet must be, if, by them Objects are render'd visible, because the whole Medium, and every part of it, must be supposed full of them

This he proves, Secondly, from the changed variation of the Species. For 'tis most certain that the nearer the Object, the greater it shem But now what should afterwards diminish this Species, and what is become of those parts where of it consisted, when it appear'd greater? What is it that so suddenly augments it, who 'tis beheld through a Telescope?

P

I

de

ha

it, ag Pr

for

co

eit

an firi

as Inf

'n

he

X.

The same he proves further from the consideration of a perfect Cube, all the Species of whose sides are unequal, and yet the sides themselves at equally square. And Lastly, he censures it as a unconceivable supposition, that a Body should continually send forth Species every where, so to fill every Point of such vast spaces, and so not be sensibly diminished. This sirst Hypothes therefore cannot be true.

XI.

The fecond is, that our Mind has a Power Producing these Ideas. This he also shews to

falfe from the Absurdity that would thence follow, which is, that Man would then be able to Create. The consequence he proves by shewing that these Ideas are Real Beings, because they have real Properties, and differ one from another, and represent things really different. And that they are also Spiritual Beings, and that then Man would be able to Create more Noble Entities than the Material World, which is the workmanship of God.

Ac like ding Au-

elf

am

san

and

gea

tain

thi

nere

wha

fide

s an

25 2

100

for yo

thei

erd

tob

fall

XII.

Our Author further shews that our Mind would not use this Creative Power, though She had it, from the Instance of a Painter. For as a Painter, though never so skilful, cannot represent a Creature which he never saw, and whereof he has no Idea; so a Man cannot form the Idea of an Object, unless he first knows it, that is, unless he has an Idea of it, which does not depend upon his own Will. Now if he already has the Idea of that Object, certainly he knows it, and then it is to no purpose for him to form again a new Idea of it. This Power therefore of Producing Ideas is given to Man in vain, therefore it ought not to be given, therefore this second Hypothesis is not true,

XIII.

The third is, that God produces these Ideas, either with the Mind, or whenever we think of any Object. That this cannot be true as to the first part, he shews from the Institute number of Ideas which must be supposed in proportion to the Institute number of things, which he exemplifies in Mathematical sigures, and the Combinations thence arising. Tis not in the first place probable

ble that God should create so many things will every Soul: But Secondly, suppose he should, and the Mind, were a Magazine of all manner of less, yet 'twould be an invincible Difficulty is shew how the Mind among such an Instincte number of Ideas, should be able, and that so readily to pick out those which it has occasion for. It ought not therefore to be said that Ideas are Constitution in the said with its.

XIV.

And there is as little ground to suppose that God does every moment produce in us as man feveral Ideas, as we conceive things. For beside that this has already been sufficiently overthrow in the other, 'cis further to be consider'd, the we must then always actually have in us the idea of all things, seeing that at all times we can will to think of all things: Which we could not do if we had not already a confuse Perception of them that is, unless an Infinite number of Ideas we continually before our Minds. For we cannot have willing to think of that whereof we have no idea But we cannot have all this Infinity of Ideas a once in our selves, therefore this third Hypothesis is not true.

XV.

The fourth is that the Mind needs no other thing but it felf for the Perception of Objects, and that by Contemplating it felf and her own Perfections, She can perceive all External Objects. This is the boldest Assertion of all, and study full of Impiety as well as Absurdity. They that will maintain this must be obliged to say that the Mind of Man has in it self the Perfections of all things, since it cannot see in it self what it is

h P bi

G

AC

T

b

m

tl

P

al

to

0

n

W

b

with

, and

Ide

y to

um.

dilt.

Cn.

tha

nany

fide

own that

dea

will

t do

iem,

Weit

th

dea

5 2

the-

ther

als,

W

06

dis

hat

hat

s of

not

not in it felf, and then they would do well to consider whether this be not to make a God of the Soul; For 'tis God only who has in himself the Persections of all things, and who therefore sees by his own Light.

XVI.

Having thus overthrown these four Hypothefes concerning the Mode of our understanding, Our most ingenious Author proceeds to the remaining one, that we see all things in God. Which though it be fufficiently establish'd in the overthrow of the rest, yet he goes on to a more immediate and direct proof of it. In order to which he premises two Postulatums which he had before prov'd, First, that God has in himself the Ideas of all things. This he had before concluded from Gods creating all things, which he could not do without having in himself the Ideas of all things. And this I have also demonstrated in my fifth Contemplation, by a distinct Argument taken from the being of Necessary and Eternal Truths. The fecond Postulatum is, that God is intimately by his Presence united to our Minds, so that God may be faid to be the Place of Spirits, as Space is the Place of Bodies. These two things being supposed, it is most certain that the Mind may see all things in God, if God will be pleafed to difplay these Ideas to her, there being then nothing to hinder it. And that 'tis the Will and Pleafore of God fo to do, rather than create an Infinite number of Ideas in every Mind, he thus proves:

XVII.

First, from the general Oeconomy of the universe, wherein 'tis observable that God never does that by difficult ways, which may be done by simple and

W

tt

O

B

U

P

cl

ar

C

Ia

th

pr

Id

un

th

th

on

for

fin

ou

Bu

det

Be

O

Id

fo

to

easie ways: That is, God never does any thin vain, and without Cause: When therefore God may by himself open and exhibit to matchings barely by willing that we should see the Ideas which are in him, 'tis no way probable that to obtain the same end, he should product fuch an infinite Multitude of Ideas as are needing to that variety and multiplicity of Knowledge, that is in all Created Minds.

XVIII.

This Mode of Intelligence our Author further recommends, by considering that by this was Created Minds are placed in the greatest dependence upon God that can possibly be. For upon this Hypothesis we cannot only see nothing he what God will let us see, but we can also seem thing but what God exhibits to us to be seen thing but what God exhibits to us to be seen Neither can our Minds be said sufficiently to depend upon God in all its operations, if they seem supposed to have all that is necessary for action that is, to have in themselves the Ideas of a things always present.

XIX.

This again he more strongly inforces by a Argument taken from the Manner of our Mind perceiving all things. For we all find by certain experience that when we are minded to think any particular thing, we first cast our eyes about upon all Beings, and then at last, adhere to the consideration of that Object, which we intende to think upon. Now 'tis past all question the we cannot desire to see any Object, but we must see it already, although Confusely, and after a present kind of a way. So that since we can desire to see all Objects, now that, hence

will certainly follow, that all Beings are Present to our minds. But now all Beings cannot any other way be present to the mind, but because God is present to it, who in the Simplicity of his Being comprehends all beings. The same may be further confirm'd from the Perception of Universals. Which the mind could not well be supposed able to represent, unless it saw all Beings included in One. For since every Created thing is an Individual, no one can say that he perceives any thing Created, when he perceives, suppose, a Triangle in general. This well deserves to be considered.

thin efor ms a thoi babl odus ceffi non

wa leps upo sieces o de la strong fait

y z lind tai k d bod the the mud

3 8

elin

ei

wil

XX.

Again our Ingenious Author argues from the Idea which we have of Infinite. For 'tis plain that we perceive Infinite, though we do not comprehend it, and that our mind has a very Diffinct Idea of God, which it could not have but by its union with God. Since 'tis abfurd to suppose that the Idea of God should be from any thing that is Created.

XXI.

He further Considers that the Mind has not only an Idea of Instincte, but that it also has it before it has any Idea of sinite. For we conceive Instincte Being, barely by conceiving Being, without considering whether it be sinite or instincte. But now to conceive any sinite Being, we must detrait something from that general Notion of Being, which by consequence must be Antecedent. Our mind therefore perceives nothing but in the Idea which it has of Instincte. And this Idea is so far from being form'd from a Consuse heaping together of the Ideas of special Beings, as Philofophers

fophers commonly pretend, that all those Special Ideas are nothing else but Participations from the general Idea of Infinite Even as God does not hold his Being from the Creatures, but a Creatures subsist only by him.

XXII.

W

t

W

n

W

W

L

m

tl

W

V

H

ci

b

fa

kn

lig

m

lig

10

I,

A

S

fr

m M

St

He adds one Argument more which he think will go for Demonstration, with those who are used to Abstract ways of Reasoning. It is impos possible that God in any of his actions should have any Principal End different from bimfel This is a Common Notion with every Attention Thinker. And the Scripture suffers us not it doubt but that God made all things for himfell It is necessary therefore that not only our Nats ral Love, that is, the motion which he produce in us, should tend towards himself, but the moreover that Knowledge and Light, which h bestows upon our mind should open and exhibit to us something that is in himself. For whatle ver comes from God cannot be for any other be fides God. If God should Create a Mind and give it the Sun, suppose, for its Idea, or imme diate Object of Knowledge, God would the make that Mind for the Sun, and not for himfel XXIII.

God therefore cannot make a mind to know his Works, unless that mind do in some manustee God when it sees his Works; so that I may venture to say, that if we did not some way of other see God, we should see nothing at all. End as if we did not love God; that is, if God did not continually impress upon us the love of god in general, we should love nothing at all. Further support of the some with our Will, we can be seen that is the same with our Will, we can

peci-

from

dog

It all

ink

o an

pof-

ouk

nfelf.

otin it to

felf

atı

UC

tha h la nibi

for be and

me her

fell

not love or will any thing without him, fince we cannot love Particular goods but by determining towards those goods that motion of Love which God gives us towards himself. We love therefore nothing but by that necessary love by which we are moved towards God, and we fee nothing but by that Natural Knowledge which we have of God. And all those Special Ideas which we have of the Creatures, are nothing else but Limitations of the Idea of the Creature, as all the motion of our Will towards the Creatures are nothing else but Determinations of that motion which is toward the Creature.

XXIV.

He appeals last of all to Scripture, which in divers places gives abundant confirmation to this Hypothesis. As when we are said, not to be sufficient of our selves, to think any thing as of our selves, but that our sufficiency is of God. Again, God is Rom.1.19. said to have shewn unto the Gentiles what might be known of him. Again, God is call'd, the father of Jam. 1.17. lights. God is also said, by the Psilmist, to teach man Knowledge. Lastly, He is said, to be the true Joh. 1.9. light, which inlightens every man that comes into the world.

From all which, he concludes, that God is the Intelligible World, or the Place of Spirits, as the Material World is the place of Bodies. That these Spirits receive their Modifications, or Sensations, from his Power, and find their Idea's in his Wisdom, and by his Love are moved by all orderly motions; and that in God we have our Life, our Motion, and our Being. According to that of St. Paul, He is not far from every one of us: For in him Act. 17.28. we live, and move, and have our being.

I 2

XXVI.

XXVI.

tı

h

n

t

t

t

ti

0

t

t

0

1

q

E

nis

ſ

B

t

f

V

ŧ

And thus in as short a compass as I could conprize it, have I given a summary account of what the excellent Monsieur Malebranche has at land delivered upon this Theory, of our seeing all this in God. I shall now further establish it by some other considerations of my own.

XXVII.

That all our Intellectual Perception is by lo as, that is not by the immediate presence things themselves, but by something that in mately and immediately reprefents them to a mind, is a thing plain in it felf, and by all for And that all the Idea's of thing knowledged. with their respective habitudes and relations a in God, I have abundantly proved; and alfor to the manner explained in my Contemplations the Divine Omniscience. The thing now to confider'd is, whether we do not fee and know whatever we fee and know in God; that is, wh ther those Idea's which are in God, be not the very Idea's which we fee, and the immediate 0 iect of our Knowledge and Perception.

XXVIII.

That it is fo, besides what Monsieur Makbranche has offered upon this Argument, I further prove by considering, first, That since Knowledge is Comprehension of Truth, if the Truth which I comprehend be in God, and in him only, the I must be faid to see and know whatever I see and know in God. This is a plain and easie consequence. And that the Truth which I comprehend is in God only, I thus make out.

XXIX

The nature of Truth confifts in a certain me

con wha larg bing form

Ide

e d

ng ng an

D d

100

h

th

06

her

ich ich ich ind fe.

16

2

tual respect or habitude of simple Essences one to another. But these relations which I comprehend, and which are the fame with Truth, are not verified of the simple Essences, as they are in their External and Natural subsistencies, but as they are in the Divine Idea's. Ideny not but that there may be relation between things in their natural subsistencies, but I say that is not the relation which I primely and directly behold when I contemplate Truth, For, first, things according to their Natural Subliftencies are Temporary, and once were not, but the relation which I behold is Eternal, and was from everlasting; and consequently cannot be the relation of things according to their sublistence in Nature, Again, the Essences of things, as to their Natural sublistence, may cease to be, but the relation which I behold is Immutable and Immortal, and will be ever the fame. Again, things as they are in Nature, are not, even while they are, according to that exactuess according to which we discern some certain relations to belong to them. Thus for instance, when I define a right Line to be that which lies equally between its two Points, is there, can there be any fuch Line in Nature to which this relation may belong? This relation therefore is not the relation of any Line in Nature, but of a Line in Idea. And fo 'tis in all. other instances; the relations which we behold are not the relations of any Natural, but of Ideal Entities. These are the things which are properly related, other things are fo only by accident and reductively as they come under these. And 'tis the relation of these which we properly discern, and which are the prime, direct, and immediate obobject of our Perception, the relations of othe things come under a fecondary differenment, and they are only so far beheld, as they are beheld in these.

XXX.

And this is what the Schools themselves mustor necessity come to, if they would but attend to the consequence of what they affirm, when the say, That Science is not of Singulars, but of Viewersal and Abstract Natures. For where are the Universal Natures? Not in this Ectypal World Whatever is here, is Singular, this or that, It must be therefore in the Ideal or Architypal World, the is, in the Divine Nature, as exhibitive of the which is created, where these Universal Nature, which are the proper objects of Science, are to be found. And consequently, it is in God that we know all the Truth, which we know.

And this very Notion Aguinas had once plain

ly light upon, however he came afterwards to

lose it. For, says he, in express terms, It is me ceffary to say that the human Soul knows all things it their Eternal Reasons, by the participation of which we know all things. For that Intellectual Light which part 1, 2 is in us, is nothing else but a participated similinul 84. Ar. 5. of that increated Light in which the Eternal Reason are contain'd. This is almost as plain an acknowledgment of our feeing all things in God as one would wish; and differs little or nothing from that celebrated Definition Plato gives of Knowledge, which he calls, A Participation of Idea.

XXXII.

But to proceed, if the Truth which we feels not in God, I would fain know whence has it is

ti

ir

t

ti

1

4

a

p

n

h

b

i

i

e

0

P

O

held

fte

dto

They Uni.

hele

nuf

ha ha

res,

W

in.

to

116-

ich

W

1.

1

1

Unity and Identity, its Steddiness and Immutability. its Everlaftingness and Perpetuity? Whence is it that 'cis alike discern'd by different minds, and by the same mind at different times? We have nothing in our jelves but what is flux and mutable, and the things without us are as mutable and uncertain as we our felves. Again, whence is it that Truth is present in all places, and that independently upon our thinking or knowing? For, suppose all the Men and Angels in the World should fufpend thinking, yet the existence of Truth would not be thereby suspended, but remain as it was before For by thinking we do not make Truth, but only perceive it as it is in it felf, by attending to that Light which shines upon us, and is intimately present with us. Truth therefore will exist and be always the same, whether we think or no; which is a plain Argument that tho' it be in us, yet 'tis nothing of ours, nor is it at all dependent upon our Understandings, but only upon his who is necessarily, and is in all places, and is Truth it felf.

XXXIII.

This conclusion may be further inferr'd from the Permanency and Immutable Stability of simple Ideas. I find in my mind certain Idea's of a fix'd and unalterable Nature, such as I can neither add any thing to, or at all diminish from. Thus for instance, the Idea of a Triangle has a determinate and immutable Nature, such as is not in my power any way to alter. I can, indeed, cease to think of a Triangle, and convert my mind to the speculation of some other Figure. But whensoever I do think of a Triangle, I cannot help representing it to my mind after one and the same de-

determinate way. Which is a certain Argument that this Idea is not of my own raising or forming, for then it would be Arbitrary, and I might vary it at pleasure; but that 'tis an absolute Nature, distinct from, and independent on my linderstanding, and, indeed, that 'tis no other than the Divine Effence it self, after a special mode of Exhibition or Imitability: For nothing but Gold himself is absolutely Immutable. He only being that Father of Lights, in whom is no varying, nor shadow of turning.

XXXIV-

This, again, may be further argued, from our defire of Knowledge, and from the manner of our a. taining to it. As to the defire of Knowledge, I remark this, That among all the things which are knowable, there is not one which I may not, nay, which I do not actually defire to know. But now I cannot have any defire of that whereof! have no manner of Knowledge. For defire proceeds from Knowledge, and consequently presupposes it. I must therefore be supposed to have already some Knowledge of all that I desire to know; that is, I must have a confuse Knowledge of that which I defire to know clearly and disting. ly. And therefore fince I defire to know, or may defire to know every thing clearly and distinctly. I must be allowed to have a confuse Knowledge of every thing. But, now, how can this be, but by my having all things actually prefent to my mind? And how can this be, but by my having a confuse glance of that Being in whom are all things, and who is All?

XXXV.

Then as to the manner of our attaining to KnowTO.

ight

N4

Un

han

of

God

ing

ng,

TÚC

ich

ot,

0.

.9

VC.

ıy

Knowledge, 'tis a thing in the first place worth considering, How a Child comes to learn his first Language. To know a Language, is to know that fuch a word is to go as a fign for fuch a Now of words there are some to which the thing that answers is material and sensible. Others, again, there are, to which the things that answer, are purely Intellectual. This premised, I demand how a Child comes to understand the first Language which he learns? You will fay, by frequent hearing the word repeated, when at the fame time the thing is pointed to, he begins at length to collect that such a word is to go for fuch a thing; and fo to call a Table, a Table, and a Stool, a Stool. True, this ferves well enough to explain how we may learn the meaning of fuch words to which fomething fenfible answers. But this won't at all help us out in accounting for the understanding words which signific pure Intellectual Notions. For these cannot be pointed at when I hear the word, as in the other case, because not present, nor sensible, and therefore should the word be never so often said over to me, I might indeed grow familiar with the found, but I should never be able thence to understand that this word is to be joyned as a fign to fuch an Idea. As for instance, should I hear this word Vertue repeated to me daily, I should in a little time come to be acquainted with the found, fo as to know it again from any other found; but fure were I to hear it to Eternity, I should never thence be instructed among all those Intellectual Idea's which I have, which was fignifi'd by that word, neither of them being to be pointed to when I heard the found. And yet we find by experience

er

kî. Vî

M

ra

U

br

n

ge

no

by

tis

the

ng

ble

ron

WY

t

Cr

pi

or

bei

er

h

Ko

perience that Children do make a shift to find on this, and that they learn the meaning of find words whose Idea's are Intellectual, almost as for as they do the other, and that at an Age who their observation is next to none at all. But how they come to do this is an amazing thing to con And truly I have no other way to folk the difficulty, but by supposing that as often a they hear fuch words to which the Notions the answer are purely Intellectual, and consequently be not pointed at when the word is spoken : Go then, who is never wanting in necessaries, supplie the part of the Teacher, by exhibiting fuch a part of the Ideal World, to the mind of the Child, a is fignified by fuch an arbitrary fign. And this exhibition being thus occasionally vouchfafed by God whenever fuch words are repeated, has the fame effect to make the Child understand the meaning of words, whose Notions are purely in rellectual, as pointing to the fenfible Object has to make him know the meaning of words which is nifie things material and fenfible.

Pass we next from knowledge of words to knowledge of things. This knowledge we get and increase by Study. Now Study is nothing else, but a close application of mind to the speculation of Truth. The more intent we are in our view of Truth, the more we discover of it. And not only so, but the more intensity we speculate it, the more uniform we are in our judgment about it. The more we think, the more we come to agree in our thoughts. Now this plainly argue, that Truth is one absolute and separate Natura, independing upon our Understandings; and, you with

ou

fod

foor

vha

how

COD

olve

0 3

that

ntly

God

olie

part this the

the In

s to

lig.

r to

and

Ife,

ati-

TUO

Ind

ate

nti

me

jes,

rt,

yet

134

withal, intimately and constantly present to them:
For, otherwise, how could it be thus in our power at any time to apply our minds to the speculation of it? Now, what can this one independing, and ever-present Nature be, but God? To know Truth therefore is to know God: and Dimity is a larger Study than we are awate of.

XXXVII.

Further, I consider, that since God has made Man after his own Image and Likeness, 'cis highly rational to believe that we imitate him in our Understandings; Understanding being one of the principal parts of Man. And how can we duly mitate him in our Understandings, unless we be supposed to know and perceive after the same general way that God knows and perceives? But now the mode of the Divine Understanding, is by consulting the Ideal World, that is, himself as variously imitable and exhibitive of things. Thus tis most certain God knew and perceived before the Production of this Ettypal World, there beng then no other mode of Perception imagina-And thus he must be supposed to perceive now and ever, there being no varying or hadow of wring in God, much less from better to worfe, as it would be, should God be supposed before the Creation to know by and in himfelf, and afterpards by any created or foreign Ideas. It is therefore congruous to suppose that as God knows and perceives all things in himself, so Man who is afer the Divine image knows and perceives all hings in God.

XXXVIII.

Again, 'tis highly rational to believe that we know and Perceive Now after the same manner, tho'

E

B

g

W

25

ta

W

fu

na

T

qu

OF

m

th

PI

thi

to

bei

B

as I

for

Go

alf

elfe

nec

of (

my

tis

else

Pre

fe

this

tho' not in the same degree, as we shall berealing in Heaven. As the Eye fees after the same ma ner, tho not in the same measure, by nigh as it does by day. For the state of Glory is me the Destruction, but only the Perfection and L altation of the state of Nature. But now 'tisca tain this shall be the mode of our Vision and Pe ception bereafter, we shall then see all things God, for fays the Pfalmift, With thee is the mel life, and in thy light shall we see light. And then fore we may with reason conclude that this is the mode of our Present Intelligence, and that no also we fee Light, in the Light of God. And a cordingly fays the Apostle, now we fee thro a Gla darkly, but then face to face : Where observe the our Present Vision does not differ from a Future, as to the Object, but only as to the Dem of Charity. God is the Object of both, only no he is feen thro' a Glafs, that is, thro' the Veila our Mortal Flish, whereas then the Veil In be remov'd, and our vision of him shall be Che and Perfett.

XXXIX.

Lastly, I consider that I always think of Bing in general. Particular Beings indeed I think of or not think of at Pleasure, but Being in general is ever before my mind, and I cannot possibly remove it from me. For there is the same Proportion in our Understanding that is in our Low There is a variety and vicillitude in our love of Particular goods, sometimes we love them, sometimes we do not love them, sometimes we low this, sometimes that, sometimes more, and sometimes less; but our love of good in genul is necessary, constant, and uniform. And these

Pfal. 36.

is the same measure in our Thinking. Particular Beings we think of by intervals and with variety. But we always and uncessantly think of Being in general. And when we think of Particular Beings, we don't fo much depart from Being in general, as confine and determin our minds to some certain Perfections of it. Nay, when we think that we think of nothing, our mind is then most full and pregnant of wandring, Indeterminate . Indefinite Ideas of Being in general. This I evidently experiment in my felf, and I question not that whoever attends to the operations of his mind will find the fame: Now I demand whence should this come to pass that I am thus necessarily determin'd at all times, and in all Places to think of Being in general, but only from this, that Being in general is inseparably united to my mind, and intimately present to it, as being always, and every where.

on Es Co Per Sin ere the

nor as character of the control of t

Chal Lea

eia Ol

ibly Pro-

e d

me.

01

an

1674

ere

XL.

But now Being in general is the same with God, as has been shewn in the Former part. If therefore Being in general be united to my Soul, then God is united to my Soul. And not only so, but also more intimately united to it than any thing else can be, because there is nothing else that I necessarily think of, whereas I do necessarily think of God. And if God be so intimately united to my Soul, how can I otherwise conclude but that its in him that I see all that I see? For in what else can I see it, nothing being so intimately Presential to me as God? And in what else need I see it, God having in himself the Ideas of all things, as was before proved.

XLI.

Now us to Scripture-Authority, besides whe Monfieur Malebranche has alledged, I further d fer to be consider'd, First, that God is not only fi to enlighten our minds, and all our Illumination every where ascribed to him, but it is also part cularly ascribed to the second Hypostasis of the Bleffed Trinity, who is x620, the word or is ward conception of God, or the Ideal Work Thus in the 8th of the Proverbs, there is defait bed a Substantial Wisdom (which can be m other but the Divine x620, who is the Wife of the Father) concerning which it is faid, T Lord poffes'd me in the beginning of his way, before his works of old. I was fet up from everlasting, for the Beginning (and fo St. John, In the Beginning was the word) or ever the earth was : When in were no Depths I was brought forth (there's the en nal Generation) when there were no Fountain abounding with Water. Before the Mountains wa settled; before the Hills was I brought forth- Wil as yet he had not made the Earth, nor the Fields, " the highest part of the dust of the World. When prepared the Heavens I was there, when he fet a Cu pass upon the face of the Deep. When be established the Clouds above, when he Strengthn'd the found of the Deep. When he gave to the Sea his Dem that the Waters should not pass his Commandant when he appointed the Foundations of the Earth. The was I by him, as one brought up with him, and I w daily his delight, rejoycing always before him. Th I think will readily be acknowledged to be a plan and graphical Description of the Divine aby or Ideal World. Now of this same Divine And it is also said in the same Chapter, Counsels Min.

ſ

E

1

b

Mine, and found Wisdom, I am understanding. By Ver. 14. me Kings reign, and Princes decree Justice. By me Princes rule, and Nobles, even all the Judges of the Earth. And again, v. 20. I lead in the way of Righteousness, in the midst of the Paths of Judgment. And again chap. 9. says the same substantial Wisdom, Whoso in simple, let him turn in hither, (that is, to the Intellectual Feast which she is there said to have prepared) and to him that wants understanding she says, Come eat of my Bread, and drink of the Wine which I have mingled.

ion i

Contract of the second

XLII.

By this it is as plain as any thing can be, that is figuratively expressed, that all our illumination proceeds from the Divine 2620, the substantial wisdom of God. But St. John speaks more plainly; This is the true light which inlightens every man that comes into the world. Now true Light, is here the same as only Light, and implies that all other pretended Lights, are false ones. fays our Lord, I am the light of the world. And. I am the way, the truth, and the life. And again fays our Lord in his Prayer, Santtifie them thro' thy truth, thy word is truth: Which is not meant of the written Word, but of the substantial and eternal Word, as appears from the Context. Laftly, the Apostle says expresly of this Divine Word, that be is made unto us Wisdom, Which is I Cor. 30. exactly according to our Hypothesis that we see all things in the Ideal World, or Divine xivo.

XLIII.

I might add a great deal more to this purpose, but I think that from these considerations, joyn'd with those of Mr. Malebranche, 'tis clear, even to Demonstration, that Man is not his own Light,

K 2

A

fe

le

fe

W

th

or

Re Pr

We

gri

for

tha

reg

OW

we

00

fee

lity

or a Light to himself, and also that no other Creature can be a Light to him, but that he fee and knows all things in the Divine x620, or lde al World, which is that true Light within him fo much talkt of by Enthuliafts, who by a kind of blind Parturiency of mind have confusedly gland at what we have here more distinctly explain'd: That all our Light and Illumination proceeds wholly from him who at first faid, let there h light; that we fee so much of Truth as we fee of God; that the Ideas which are in God are theve ry Ideas which we fee, and that the Divine 2626 is our Wisdom, as well as the Wisdom of his Father. So absolutely necessary is the Doctrin of Idea, when rightly stated to the explaining the Mode both of Divine and Human Knowledge; without which I shall venture to affirm that they can neither of them be explained or understood.

The Use of this to Devotion.

Since then God is that Intelligible Light, in which we see and know, and since we see and know so much Truth as God is pleased to discover to us of himself, we may hence collect to the advantage of Devotion, First, What little Resson the Wisest of us all have to be proud of our understanding and knowledge. We are generally more apt to be proud of our Understandings than of any thing else about us; but this we have least reason to be proud of, there being according to the preceeding Hypothesis no other difference between a Wise Man and a Fool, but only that God is pleased by his in-dwelling Ideas to illuminate one more than another, or to discover more

of himself to one than he does to another. And if so, then to be proud of my knowledge, is to be proud that I am more dependent upon God than another Man is, which indeed is a very proper Argument for *Humility*, but a very Absurd one for *Pride*.

Hence again we may collect how reasonable its that we should Bless, Praise and Adore God as the sole Author of all our Light and Knowledg, as our immediate Teacher and Instructer, and that to him we should always address our

felves in Prayer for further Illumination.

of

4

of

0

u,

he a-

or

ly

an

ıft

to

e-

at

i.

re

Lastly, 'twill hence follow that we ought always most chearfully to attend to the Dictates of this Light within us, that we ought to look upon all Truth as Divine Revelation, and on our Reason as a Divine Monitor, as the Angel of God's Presence. And accordingly to be very careful how we transgress any of his clear Dictates, that we grieve not this Angel less the smite us, that we do nothing against him, less the forsake us.

The Aspiration.

MY God, my Light, what is Man that thou are mindful of him, and the son of Man that thou so regardest him? But much more, what is Man that he should so regard himself? that he should regard himself for that which is least of all his own, his Knowledge and Wisdom? For, O God, we are not a Light to our selves, but its thou, O God, art our Light, and in thy Light do we see Light.

O my Wonderful Counsellour, with what Humility and Poverty of spirit ought I to reflect upon the richest Endowments of my Mind, since I so only by thy Light, and depend upon thee so what I Know, as much as for what I am? And how unworthy should I be of thy Divine Light should I be pussed up through the Abundanced this thy Revelation?

Not unto me therefore, O my God, my Light not unto me, but to thy greatness and goodness be the Proise and the Glory For this the Wood

fo

29

CE

25

up

F

ner

Lo

ron

fee

ly, has

tha

nated whethat pet

Pfal. 119. be the Praise and the Glory. For 'tis iby Word, thy Eternal Word, that is a Lantern unio my fu.

Pfal. 27. and a light unio my paths. The Lord is my light at

my falvation, and it is he that teacheth Ma
Pfal. 16. Knowledge. I will therefore thank the Lord in
giving me warning, my reins also chaften me in the

night-season.

Lighten my Darkness I beseech thee, Oh ther of Lights, and shine upon me more m

Heb. 1. more with the Brightness of thy glory. O sends
Pal. 43. thy light and thy truth, that they may lead me, a
bring me unto thy holy Hill, and to thy dwelling.

Pfal. 119. Shew the light of thy countenance upon thy feron and teach me thy Statutes. O let the Angel of the Presence go always before me in this my Pilm mage, and grant that I may alway attend a give heed to his Counsel and Direction, that walking in thy Light here, I may for ever lin and for ever rejoyce in the full and open Light thy Countenance hereafter, Amen.

Contemplation III.

I fe

for And ight

ced

ight, inefi Vora, fee, t and Man d fu

e th

F

Liv

ıtı

Of Man confider'd as an Amorous Creature.

I.

T Ruth and Good employ the whole Capacity of Man, who feems to be purely designed and made for the contemplation of the former, and for the desire and fruition of the latter. Having therefore consider'd Man as an Intelligent Creature, or as he is a Contemplator of Truth, I shall now proceed to consider him as an Amorous Creature, or as he is a desirer of Good.

II

The management of this Subject ingages me upon the confideration of these four things. First, What Love or Desire is, or wherein the general Nature of it does consist? Secondly, That Love or Desire is in Man, or that Man is an Amorous Being. Thirdly, Whence Man has this Affection, or what is the proper cause of it. Fourthly, and Lastly, After what manner this Affection has it self, or how it stands proportion'd to that cause.

III

Now as to the First, I say that the general nature of Love consists in a motion of the Soul towards Good. But this I have sufficiently explained in a distinct Treatise upon this occasion, to Vid. Theory which I shall chuse rather to refer my Reader, and Regutant to trouble him or my self with needless re-lation of Love.

IV.

As to the Second, That there is such a moin in Man, I need say no more, but that we are timately conscious of it, as much as we are the motion of our Heart, or Lungs, or of a other Physical Impression in or about us, I therefore that I shall further insist upon shall the two last things: First, What is the propagate of this motion in Man. And, Secondly, I ter what peculiar manner this motion has it is or stands proportioned to that cause. To the two Enquiries I shall consine my present su templation.

V

f

t

1

a

F

I

F

*

e 1 2 C

As to the cause of this motion in Man, which we call Love or Defire, I confider that it multi the fame that is the cause of all the Physical M tion in the Universe. Now Physical Motion resolv'd into a double cause, an occasional can and an efficient cause. The occasional cause of Ph fical Motion is Emptiness or Vacuity. For in the which is absolutely full there can be no Motion because of the Impenetrability of Bodies. The efficient Caufe of Physical Motion is either pa ticular or universal. The particular is, the pre fure or impulse of particular Bodies one again The universal is, no other than Go himself, who in the Creation of the World the Cartesian Philosophy rightly supposes) spensed a certain Portion of Motion and Reft Matter, which he still preserves the same by Almighty Power. So that if one part of Matt cease to be moved, so much motion as was in the is transferred to another part : And if the m tion of one decreases or be diminished, it is con penfate

pensated in another. And so the same measure of motion is always conferved in the Universe. And unless God be supposed to be the Author of Motion, 'twill be impossible to give any account of the Original of it. For neither can Bodies move themselves, nor can they be moved by one another on to Infinity. We must therefore at . last come to a first Mover unmoved, which is God. And fo Aristotle calls God, To Teator never animor, the first Mover unmoved.

Op A Gallet

this Moon in the Physical Control of the Control of

ta

.IV the facillette And thus in the fame Proposition, the motion of Love is also resolvable into a double cause, an occasional Cause, and an efficient Cause. The occalional Cause of this motion, as of the other, is Emptiness or Vacuity. For Love or Desire is founded upon Indigence and Self-insufficiency of the Soul, which having not within it felf enough to content it, is forced to go out of it felf for Supplies. And so Aristotle in his Ethics, avantigwois & endoias in emiluula, Defire is the fulfilling of Indigence. And accordingly we find that the more weak and indigent any Person is, still the more abounding in desire. Thus Children are more profuse in their Desires than Adult Persons, Women than Men, and the Sick more than those who are in Health. This is well shadowed forth in Jotham's Parable, wherein the Bramble is re- Judg.9.15? presented as more ambitious than either the Olivetree, Fig-tree, or the Vine. For he presently accepted of that Empire which they had all declined. Where there is no Indigence there is no room for Defire; and accordingly God, who is an absolutely full Being, can no more admit of defire, than a place that is absolutely full can admit of motion. VII.

Gin

L

to

th

im

re

ve

to

G

or

T

go

10

no

81

an

OI

Pi

8

i

malated in another. Alvio

As to the efficient Cause of this Moral Motion it is also double as in Physical Motion. It is either Particular or Universal. The particular efficient Cause, are particular Goods, who ther Sensual or Intellectual; which act upon the Soul, and answer to the pressure or impusse of particular Bodies in Natural Motion. The Universal efficient Cause, is the Universal Good, or God, whom we suppose to have imprinted act tain stock of Motion upon the Intellectual World as he did upon the Natural. Which he also conferves and maintains by his Omnipotence, as he does the other.

VIII.

For, I confider, that there is the same News sity of a first Mover in Moral, as there is in Natural Motions: And upon the very same ground. But now 'tis impossible that there should be an other first Mover besides God. And therefore whatever intermediate Causes there may be of this motion, it must at last be resolved into a impression of God upon our Souls, whom therefore I call the Universal efficient cause of Love.

IX.

And so much for the Cause of this Motion in Man. I come now to consider the last Enquiry, namely, after what peculiar manner this motion has it self, or how it stands proportioned to its cause. I do not mean its occasional cause, the being not so proper a cause as a condition, but it efficient cause. Now this being double, Particular and Universal Good; the question in more explicite terms will be, after what peculiar manner our Love stands affected or proportioned to Particular and Universal Good.

tion

lt k

arti. Whe

the

e of

lni.

cer.

rid,

h

de

Now in answer to this, I consider, first, That since God is the first Mover in the motion of Love, he must necessarily determine this motion toward himself, or make himself the term of this motion, and the only term too; it being impossible that God should act for any end different from himself. Whence it follows, that Universal good, or good in general, is the only good to which we are directly and properly moved by God.

XI

Hence again it follows, that good in common, or God, must be the Primary and Adequate Term or Object of Love. This being the only good to which we are directly moved by God. I say directly, for God moves us to particular goods only by moving us to good in general, which is not to move us to them directly, but by accident and indirectly. God cannot move us directly to any thing but himself, that is, to universal good, or good in general, which therefore must be the Primary and Adequate Term or Object of Love.

And this we fensibly experiment as well as rationally conclude. For tis plain that we are conscious to our selves of our loving good as good, or good according to its common Nature, before we love this or that good in particular. And we are further conscious, that when we do love any particular good, 'tis only for the sake of the Universal good. We love it only because we find in it something of the common Nature of good, and the more we find of that, the more we love it. So that 'tis by that love whereby we love good

Ti

x

ny

niı

fa

on

ve

n

ton

he

ur

00

ef

no

Va

I

Gre

tu

en

vh

0

iec

ov

1 72

F

S

ve

va

in common, that we love any paricular god And were it nor for this Universal good a should be able to love nothing. Which by a way is a plain argument of the real existence of such Universal good, and consequently that it is a God.

XIII.

For, indeed, to speak out in short what would have, as we understand all things in Go fo 'tis in God we love whatever we love. And when we understand, the Divine Ideas are the which we directly and properly perceive, at Created Beings are only fo far perceiv'd as the are of a fimilar nature with those Ideas, and vertually contain'd in them. So when we Low universal good, good in common, or God, is the which we directly and properly love, and Cre ted goods, or particular goods are only for loved as as they refemble and participate of the nature of that universal good, to which the mo tion of our love is Directly and Primarily determined. So that Particular goods are as much low in the universal good, as Particular Beings aresa and perceiv'd in the universal Being.

XIV.

I further consider, that as we are determin'd a good in general Primarily and Directly, so also the motion whereby we are by God determin'd to it is necessary, invincible and irresistible. Then is nothing in nature more necessary, no nor so we cessary and invincible as that motion whereby we are carried forth to good in general. Here the Soul must not pretend to the least shadow of Liberty, having no more command over this motion, than she has over the motion of the Sun Tis

Tis not easie to conceive how God himself should in this motion, but 'tis plain that Man cannot my way command it.

300

20

tho

God that the

dí

Ost tha

re

fa

th

mo-

ter-

ved

to

16

De-

W¢

the

Li.

ti.

10.

Tis

Xv.

But there is not the same necessity of Deternination in our motion towards Particular good. I say not the same. M. Malebranche will allow sone, but 'tis plain that some there is. For since we are invincibly determin'd to the Love of good in general, we must needs love good as such, and consequently in every degree of Participation, the general Reason of good being in some measure or other found in every degree of Particular good. Loving therefore good as good we are neessarily determin'd to love every degree of good, and consequently every particular good, with a Natural Love, so far as we consider it as good.

XVI.

But because this Particular good is not the Greatest good, and consequently in some juntures may come into competition with a greater, sence it comes to pass that we may upon the whole have more reason to nill and resuse it, than to will and embrace it, and so are not determin'd necessarily to an Absolute, esseaual, and thorough ove of it, tho' yet we must love it as good with natural love as before.

XVII.

For 'tis impossible that we should ever nill Good, is we nill Evill, any more than we can will Evil as we will Good. But as our willing of Evil is always with a mixture of willing, tho' willing may n some junctures prevail, so our nilling of good is always with a mixture of willing, tho' in some unctures nilling may prevail: We cannot hate good

good with a Pure Harred, tho' it be only a segood, any more than we can love evil with a Love, tho' a leffer evil.

XVIII.

uti

w

m

to

re

is

Ь

Sec

ha

fer

25

de

ner Vei

doe

we

god

it v

fac

as 1

AE

no

lov

and lipo

Whenever therefore by the Competition goods we are ingaged to nill any particular goods we do also will it at the same time. But in different respects. We will it as good, and we it as a lesser good; we will it secondum quid; cording to a certain respect, and we nill it sin ly and Absolutely: That is, in other words, if we have some reason to will it, namely its progood, in which respect we necessarily will and consequently always, yet we have more tent to nill it in the present juncture, as standing competition with a greater good, and the strong transfer in the present juncture, as standing competition with a greater good, and the strong transfer in the present juncture, as standing competition with a greater good, and the strong transfer in the present juncture, as standing competition with a greater good, and the strong transfer in the present juncture, as standing competition with a greater good, and the strong transfer in the present juncture, as standing to the strong transfer in the present juncture.

XIX.

This I cannot better illustrate than by the ample of Weights in a Ballance. For tho that & which has most weight in it, weighs down, ye must needs be allow'd that the other Scale don so weigh and press downward, tho not effectual because otherwise as much weight would be quired to make it weigh effectually down asi were quite empty. And thus 'tis in the prefe case. Tho for the Prevalency of Reasons in to junctures the Scale may weigh down for the ling of good, yet the other Scale also pref tho not effectually. And this is what the Scho term a Velleity, or Natural Inclination. with this Velleity, or natural Inclination, we are necessarily determin'd to love of Particular good; but we are not necessarily termu

termin'd to love it absolutely and effectually, because there is no particular good, but what may come in Competition with a greater, and then there will be more Reason to nill it than to will it, and the heaviest Scale will weigh down.

XX.

And thus have I shewn after what peculiar manner our Love stands affected or proportion'd to Particular and Universal good. The difference confifts in these two things. Universal good is the Primary and Direct Object of our Love. but our Love tends towards Particular good only secundarily and indirectly, for the fake of what it has of the Universal. Then again there is a difference as to the Necessity of the Determination, as well as to the Primariness of it. There is indeed Necessity on both fides, but not in like manner. We are necessarily determin'd to Love universal good Absolutely and Thoroughly. The Scale does not only weigh here, but weighs down. But we are not determin'd to love any Particular good Absolutely and Thoroughly, but only to love it with a Natural Inclination or Velleity. And to fuch a love of it we are as necessarily determin'd, as we are to the love of univerfal good; but the Attual Choice of it is not necessary, there being no Particular good to the Absolute and effectual love, of which we are invincibly determin'd.

The use of this to Devotion.

THE Amorousues of Humane Nature, as we have here consider'd it, contains in it many and great incitements to Devotion. For first, fince the Occasional Cause of our Love is Indigence

iŧ

H

A

L

bi

th

ne

w th

m

m

VC

m

m

m

be

I

m

go

an

W

W

to

W

m

th

G

le

th

L

th

gence and Emptines, we have great reason to be humble and lowly in Spirit, especially on sidering that we are continually adminosified this our Indigence, as often as we are Conscion to our selves that we love.

Again, fince God is the Principal Efficience Cause of Love, and the first Mover in all Moras well as Natural Motion, it is highly reasonable that he should be principally loved by us frow whom we receive our Love, and that we should be mighty caseful how we pervert this Divimla pression to any undue object.

Again, since God moves us Directly and he marily only to himself, and since universal god is therefore the Primary and Direct Object of a Love, hence it will follow that we ought alway to make God the Primary and Direct Object of Love, and that we ought to Love nothing for

felf, but only in and for God.

And laftly, fince we are necessarily determined to love good in general, Absolutely and Effectually, by such a motion as we can neither resist, me any way Command or Moderate; hence it appears how highly necessary it is that we should expectly fix all that Love upon God, as having a that good in him to which we aspire with a Blind Confuse and Indefinite, tho Necessary Appetite.

The Aspiration.

MY God, My Love, how abfurd a thing is that an Amorous Creature should be a Proceeding that Indigence; My Love is occasion'd by my long gence, and I cannot Love, but I am minded that Indigence; how ill then would Pride become, having so much reason to be humble, and the reason so continually set before me!

Divine Fountain of Love, 'cis from thee I receive all my Love, and upon whom should I place it but upon thee? The fire that descends from Heaven, where should it be spent but upon the Altar? Thou hast a Right, O my God to all my Love, for I cannot love thee with any Love but what is thy own. O then do thou Regulate thisthy own Divine Impression, and grant I may never fin against thee, by the abuse of that Love which thou hast given me. I thank thee, O Father, Lord of Heaven and Earth, for doing fo much towards the guidance and Regulation of my Love, as to carry me directly only to Univerfal good, thereby teaching me that I ought to make thee the only Direct and Primary Object of my Love. My God, I will love as thou teachest me, the First and Direct Motion of Love shall be towards thee, and whatever I love besides thee, I will love only in and for thee.

I thank thee, also My God, for that thou hast made it so necessary for me to love universal good. Thou, O God, art this universal good, and I ought to love thee with the very same Love wherewith I love Happiness it self. O that I were as necessarily inclined to love thee, as I am to love Happiness! I do not desire to be trusted with any Liberty in the Love of thee. But this, my God, I cannot hope for, till I shall see thee as thou art. O let me therefore love thee to the utmost Capacity of a Free Creature. Thou, O God, hast set no Bounds to my love of thee, O let not me set any. My God, I do not, I love thee with all my Heart, Soul, Mind, and Strength. Lord thou knowest all things, thou knowest that I love

thee.

THE PARTY

CO

'd t

cien Iora

ions from

odi e In

Contemplation IV.

ne for ie

in og

era rd

re

pr

is

A

rea

hat

ert

one nfu

me

uft is (

nd

utur

is

din

ft

iol

God Goo

ng

A

ur

Man consider'd as an Irregular Lover.

HItherto we have considered Man as God man him. He was made by God, a Creature, Intelligent Creature, and an Amorous Creatur The two first of which import the Perfection God actually participated by him, in as much mi him he not only lives, moves and has his Bein but in him has all his Understanding also. Il last imports in him a tendency to the Divine Pe fection; which is also an actual Perfection of own Nature, and fuch as God also has therein planted. And thus far is Man wholly the Div that made him. Let us now confider him as has made himself, and is as it were his own Cream

Now thus to confider Man, is to confider his as an Irregular Lover. And to do this fully, a to the purpose intended, Three things will ben quifite. First, To shew what it is to be an Im

gular Lover. Secondly, How prone and apt M is to Love Irregularly. Thirdly, That Man him felf is the Author of this proneness of his to

regular Love.

In relation to the first, if it be demanded What it is to be an Irregular Lover? I answer one word, That tis to be a Fool. Sin and Fol Sinner and Fool, are words in Scripture of all ignification, and are indifferently used one for ne other. And we are taught in the Schools of Morality, that every Simmer is ignorant. The hazemest drown, says the Socratical Proverb. Indeed, in has its Birth in Folly, and every step of its rogress is Folly, and its conclusion is in Folly. But his will appear more distinctly from the consieration of these two things. First, Of the abordity and madness of the choice which every regular Lover makes. And, Secondly, The error and mistake that must necessarily precede in is Judgment, before he does or can make it.

IV.

The first in the same in the s

As for the absurdity of his choice, 'tis the reatest that can be imagined. For what is it hat he chuses?' Tis to do that which he must and ertainly will repent of, and wish he had never one, either in this World, for its illness and insulness; or, in the next, for its sad effects and insulness.' Tis to despite the Authority, Power, lustice and Goodness of God: 'Tis to transgress is Commands, which are good and equitable, and in keeping of which there is present, as well as it were reward. 'Tis to act against the Frame of is Rational Nature, and the Divine Law of his saind: 'Tis to disturb the Order and Harmony of the Creation, and by Extra-lineal motions to iolate the Sacred Interest of Society. 'Tis last, to incur the Anger of an Omnipotent and Just God, and to hazard falling from his Supreme Good and the last end of his Being, and the beng ruined in his best Interest to all Eternity.

V.

All this the Irregular Lover partly actually inurs, and partly puts to the hazard in every

id

wl

An

he

he

he

has

bi

t.

he

en

Con

en

Bal

am

ore

0

rii

nif

7

le

vhe

vi

en

ega of 1

he

nla PSA

18 4

wrong motion of his Love. And for what in this? Is it for any considerable interest, for a thing that bears something of proportion, a may pretend to competition and a rival We in the opposite Scale of the Ballance? No, only for a shadow, for a trisle, for the gracation of some baser appetite, for the acquiment of some little interest, which has not to divert us from adhering to that which is more best, but only that poor advantage of the present, tho' at the same time its vanity be present, they at the same time its vanity be present, it.

VI.

And now is this a choice for a wife Man, a Man of common Sense? Nay, is it a choice in Man in his right Wits to make? Were a Ma beg an Estate, would one need a better demonstration of a Man's being a Fool, than subprocedure as this? If therefore absurdity of the beany argument of folly, the Irregular Lais certainly a very great Fool.

VII.

But this folly will further appear if we meder, Secondly, the error and mistake that a necessarily precede in his Judgment before does or can make such a choice. All irregulate ty of Love is founded upon ignorance and stake. For as its impossible to chuse evil as a in general, so is it no less impossible to chuse will any particular kind of evil, as evil; and sequently, its impossible to will the evil of as such, (the Devil himself can't love such as such, (the Devil himself can't love such as such, and its such as a prearance of good, and its have this appearance no otherwise than as a such as a prearance no otherwise than a prearance no otherwise th

ei

R 写 管 宣 型 2

ref

for land

re

ula

d

5 0

ik O

of

in

e di

idered as a leffer evil, (for that's the only way whereby an evil may appear good or eligible.)
And so it must be consider'd before it be chosen.

VIII.

He therefore that chuses sin, considers it at the instant of commission, as a lesser evil. And therein consists his error and missake. He is either habitually or astually ignorant. He either has not the habitual knowledge of all those hings which should preserve him in his duty, or t least he has not the actual consideration of them. For 'tis that which must bring him to reentance. And 'tis impossible a Man should sin with the very same Thoughts, Convictions and Considerations about him, as he has when he reents. This I say is no more possible than for a sallance to move two contrary ways with the same Weight, and in the same Posture. He therefore that sins, wants that consideration at least to keep him in his duty, which when he repents, orings him to it. And is therefore ignorant and nistaken.

IX.

The sum of this matter is, whoever thinks sin lesser evil, is mistaken in his judgment. But whoever commits sin, does then think it a lesser vil: Therefore whoever commits sin is mistaken in his judgment; so great is the folly of Irregular Love, both in reference to the absurdity of the choice, and to the error and mistake of he chuser. And so great reason has every Irregular Lover to take up that confession of the Psalmist, So sooish was I, and ignorant: And even a beast before thee, Psal. 73.

lu

B

ly

li

E

W

it

h

A

3

al

H

L

fe

te

2

k

01

th

q

er

jo

CC

41

9

L

in

th

po

X.

Having thus confidered what it is to be an la regular Lover, let us now in the second place consider how prone and apt Man is to be guilt of Irregular Love. 'Tis the grand disadvantage of our Mortal condition, to have our Soul con forted with a disproportionate and uncompliant V. bicle, and to have her aspiring Wings pind down to the ground. We have a mixt confi tution, made up of two vaftly different fil stances, with Appetites and Inclination to different Objects, ferving to contrary Inc. refts, and fleering to opposite Points. Acon. pound of Flesh and Spirit, a thing between a Augel and a Beaft. We lug about with usal dy of fin; and the Earthly Tabernacle weigh down the mind. We are at perpetual Warm Defiance with our felves, divided like the Plan tary Orbs, between contrary motions and impefect tendencies, and like a factions State, & ftracted and difturbed with a swarm of jarring and rebellious Passions. The Spirit indeed willing, but then the Flesh is weak. We have cis true, a Law in our Minds, but then we have also another in our Members, which wars always and most times prevails against that of our Min and brings us into captivity to the Law of in fo that as the Apostle fays, we cannot doth things which we would.

XI. of bas

But, notwithstanding this strong invigues of the Animal Life, pushing us still on to the appropriate of sensible good, were our Intellected part always awake, and equally attentive to the Divine Light, which shines within her, Man would

would always love regularly, tho' with the reluctancy of an imperfect motion to the contrary. But'tis far otherwife. We do not always equally attend to the Divine Illumination, but the light of our Understandings is often under an Eclipse, and so does not shine upon our Wills with an equal and uniform brightness. Hence it comes to pass that our judgments and apprehensions of things are various and changeable. And from this variety and changeableness of our Judgments, proceeds great variety and changeableness in our Wills.

an h.

plac

guila

ntage

COL

t. Vs

inni

onfi

fot

tion

Inte.

COR.

44

eigh

10

per-

d.

ring

di

ave

ar

E 1 =

th

an

id

XII.

Now this being the condition of Man, he must needs be very prone and liable to Irregular Love. For being always strongly inclined to sensible good, and not having the Eye of his attention equally open and awake, he will be often apt to be actually ignorant of what he habitually knows, and (especially in the heat of a temptation) to judge sensible good a greater good than that which is Moral and Divine, and consequently the want of sensible good to be a greater evil than sin, and so rather than want the enjoyment of sensible good he will consent to the commission of sin, which through want of due attention he then erroneously thinks the lesser evil of the two.

XIII.

Thus apt and obnoxious is Man to Irregular Love. But that which most of all aggravates the badness of his condition, is that 'tis all owing to himself, and that he himself is the sole Author of this his proneness to Irregular Love.' Tis a point Universally received, That the present L 4

flate of Man is not that state wherein God find made him, but a state of degeneracy and deprays And indeed, 'cis no way congruous n Suppose that God could with the Honour of in Attributes fend fuch a piece of Work immedian ly out of his Hands, as Man is now. And if Go could not make Man at first in such a state ask is now in, then heither could he subject him it without fin. For, if he could subject hime it without fin, then he might as well have made him fo at first; but, 'tis supposed that he could not make him fo at first, and therefore neither could he subject him to this condition withou And if not without fin, then not withou fin really and truly committed by him. For, it Subject him to this condition for the fake of arbitrarily imputed only, is the same as to do t without any sin at all. 'Tis necessary therefor to pre-suppose some real sin or other in Mana the cause of this his depravation and gree proneness to Irregular Love.

XIV.

But, now, whether every Man finned in his own Person for himself, and so was his own Adam, according to the Hypothesis of the Prexistentiaries; or, whether one common Person sinned for all the rest, as 'tis more vulgarly held, I shall not here take upon me to determine.' Tis sufficient to say, in general, that 'tis next say to presuppose some Sin or other in man truly and properly Speaking, as the Cause of this his Deprayed and Miserable Condition. And they that can Intelligibly make out Original Sin, as 'tis usually term'd, to be such, man make use of that Hypothesis. But, if that the

Sit.

404

f hi

iate. God

os k

m to

ook

the

hor

hou

ff

OI

fore

03

real

WI

fon

rh

ine.

ef.

an,

na

av

10

not intelligible, then we must of necessity come to Pre-existence. However it be, this only I contend for at present, that some sin or other must be supposed in Man antecedent to this his condition, and that 'tis through his own fault that he is so prone to Ierregular Love.

The Use of this to Devotion.

THIS whole Contemplation ferves very much to the greatest Humiliation and Mortification of Man, both before God, and all his Fellow-Creatures. For, if Irregular Love be so monstrous a desormity, and so great a folly, and if Man be so very prone to Irregular Love, and is also himself the Author of that proneness, what stronger Combination of Argument can there be imagined, for Humility and Lowliness of Spirit? For this is the worst that can be said of any thing, and is the Sum and Abstract of all that's base and vile.

It may also, Secondly, be argued from the great evil of Irregular Love, and from our great proneness to be guilty of it, that it highly concerns us to have constant recourse to God in Prayer, for his Divine aid and assistance, against falling into that which is so great a Folly, and so great a Mischief, and which by an Insirmity of our own contracting we are so very app to fall into-

The Aspiration.

To thee, O my God belongs Praise and Adoration, for endowing me with those excellent lent Powers of Understanding and Love; but to me Shame and Confusion of face, for misapplying the one, and not attending to the Dictates of the other.

I blush, O my God, and am ashamed to think that my nature should stand so much inclined to irregular Love, a thing so full of Mischief and Folly, but much more that I my self should bring my self into such a state of impotence and depravation. My heart sheweth me the great Foulness and abominableness of Sin, and yet I find my self over-prone to commit it. So Foolish am I and Ignorant, and even as a Beast before thee.

But I desire, O my God, to be yet more vile. I am not vile enough in my own eyes, tho too much so in thine. Nor can I ever be vile enough in my Opinion, for being so vile in my Nature. Strike me then I beseech thee with a deep, and with a lively sense of my own Wretchedness.

and make me as Humble as I am Wicked.

And fince, thro' the Infirmity of my flesh, I am so apt to err in the conduct and application of my Love, O hold thou up my goings in thy Paths, that my Footsteps slip not. Make me always to attend to that Divine Light of thine within my Breast, and let the victorious smeetness of thy Grace out charm all the relishes of sensible good. But above all, Keep thy Servant from Presumptuous sins, less they get the Dominion over me. And let all these words of my mouth, and this whole meditation of my heart be always acceptable in thy sight, O Lord, my strength and my redeemer. Amen.

REFLECTIONS UPON THE CONDUCT

Human Life:

With reference to the Study of

Learning and Knowledg.

In a Letter to the Excellent Lady, the Lady Mashan.

To which is annex'd, a

Visitation SERMON.

SUCCESS. OMMENT In white the same of the ingano (non/cele in . " ter to the 1 cellent Lady, Collaboration. s bigor da el doid o a la

Viffersion S L R M O N.

MADAM,

INC E the great Happines or Misery of Human Life depends wholly upon the right or wrong Conduct of it, he that shall point out any of its Irregularities or Mistakes, ought to be looks upon as an Universal Friend, and a Promoter of the Public Happiness: And the more severe be is in his Censure (provided it be true and well-grounded) the more charitable is he in his Undertaking, and the more likely to be serviceable in his Performance.

But then especially will he be so, if the Irregularities which he singles out be such, as are not only Great and of ill Consequence, Popular and Frequent, Inveterate and of long standing; but such as lie secret and unobserved, and have all along passed under the Notion and Character of Excellencies, and been made rather matter of particular Commendation than of Disparagement. If the Light that is in thee be Darkness, said our Blessed Lord, how great is that Darkness! And so may I say, If those things that go for great Excellencies are real Faults, how great are such Faults, and how worthy to be censured! He therefore that restects upon such Misconducts as these, does a double piece of Service, and obliges by his Discovery as well as by his Reproof.

This Consideration, Madam, has engaged my present Censure upon that side of Human Life which respects the Study of Learning and Knowledg, the greatest Faults of which (if I mistake not) have been, and are still, by a kind of unaccountable Superstition, Canoniz'd for Vertues; and the really neither fewer nor less enormous than those of the Moral Part, yet have been so little Discern'd or Consider'd, or a least Animadverted upon, that a Censure of this Nature looks like a sort of a Desideratum in the Learned World, and such as even for the Singularity of

t

t

t

97

tr

be

73

kn

an

ai

go

CON

nec

vin this

ma

ver

thei

then

Tha

and

Scho

an i

it ought no longer to be Omitted.

The Truth is, the Faults of the Intellectual Way have this peculiar in them, that they are not so liable to be Discover'd. That Light which divulges other Miscarriages, will be sure to bide these: For besides that they are visible only to a few, like the private Slips of a Religious Convent, known only to those of the same Order; (for none can judge of the Faults of the Learned without Learning) I say besides this, those few that do discern them, have yet seldom Ingenuity enough to confess and acknowledg them. Fur either they are so Proud as not to be willing to our themselves to have been so long under a Mistake; or elfe fo Ill-natured that they don't care others should be directed to a better way than they themselves have travell'd in, but will have Pofterity trudge on inth Same dirty miry Road after their Forefathers.

How far I am from this narrow and illiberal Temper of Soul the following Reflections may give Evidence, in which according to that measure of Understanding God has given me, I have endeavour'd to mark out some of the grosser and less observ'd Misconducts of Human Life in reference to the Study of Learning and Knowledg, wherewith I my self have been too much and too long imposed upon, and which after all my Conviction, (so invincible are the Impressions of an early Prejudice) I can hardly yet find power to correct: For Education we the great Bias of Human Life, and there is this double

double Witch-craft in it, that 'tis along time before a Man can see any thing amis in a way which he has been used to, and when be does, 'tis not very easie as-

ter that to change it for a better.

f

ıs

te

of

of.

2-

171

1

30

al

ge

of

-

3

Ħ,

411

What Success these Resettions may have towards the Resorming the Abuses here toucht upon, is beyond the reach of my eye-sight to presage. I am satisfied that they carry Reason and Evidence enough with them to stand their ground against any Opposite Reason that may be offer d against them; but whether this will make them an equal Match for Authority and contrary Prescription, is not so certain. But let the Event be what it will, here I fancy will lie the Contest, between Reason on one side, and Education and Authority on the other. Now which of these will prevail, I know not: But I know which should.

In the mean while how some of the rigid Votaries and Professors of Old Learning will relish these Resections, I can more easily Divine. These are such Bigots in their way, that a Man were as good go to convert the Jews as to reason with any of these stiffnecked Gentlemen. I do not therefore expest to convince many of these. For the Reason may do great things, yet it can never work Miracles. And a Man may as soon put the Sun out of the Ecliptic, or the Rivers out of their Courses, as turn these Men out of their Way. They are Conjured into a Circle, and nothing less than a more powerful Magic can ever get

them out.

I do not therefore expect, I say, to do great Cures upon the Men of this Complexion, or to merit any Thanks from them. 'Tis well if I do not provoke them, and make them Angry with me for telling out of School. But if I happen to bring over here and there an ingenuous and uninstanced Spirit, some of those who

are not suck d in too far within the common Vortes of the World, I shall not think my Labour ill beston't nor much regard the Magisterial Censures of the State-sowr-Students, whose great and long Studyla had no better effect upon them, but only to make the

too wife for Conviction.

I do not take your Ladyship to be concern'd but at by in the last of these Reslections. The two former may give you Entertainment, but they are not intended for your Instruction. Your Ladyship is not to lean either the Object, or the Method of Study, but and to be slackned in your Vehement Prosecution of it. In take the right Path, but you run too fast in it, as are therefore desired to moderate your Pace, not after fear you should outstrip us, but lest you should gra too wise for your Self; and for the World you live and to your own great cost find that weighty Resessin of the Wise Man to be true, which you were him take upon his Authority, that in much Wisdom much Grief, and that he that increases Knowledg, increases Sorrow.

T HE

W

cui

me

fall

my

Im

late

Gen you Con gene quer fore little first

Fin Hum: which Happ

The First Reflection.

Wherein the general Conduct of Human Life is taxed, for placing Learning and Knowledge, in such things as are little or nothing perfective of the Understanding:

I

endears only Tu

DEING Naturally more than ordinarily dif-D posed to Thoughtfulness, and from the circumfrances of my present Solitude and Retirement further invited to it; I began one day to fall into a deep Meditation upon the Conduct of my own, and of Human Life. What Reflections I made upon my own, are too peculiarly Calculated for my proper Circumstances, to be of any General use, and therefore I shall not trouble you with them. But as for those past upon the Conduct of Human Life, I think they are of too general use, and withal of too Weighty Confequence, not to be Communicated. These therefore I shall think worth while to draw up into a little more orderly form than wherein they were first conceived, and present to your Ladyship's Confideration.

H.

First then I consider that the Conduct of Human Life must be to the End of Human Life, which is the same with the End of Man, which is Happiness. This Conduct therefore must be,

C

an

of

еп

th

ef

fin

th

va

on

or

H

Lo

tha

bei

Fai

ran

fer

on.

in

of

cut

pur

hav

mai

Ref

and necessarily is, in Gross to Happiness. But now whereas there are two Faculties or Powers of Man, by the right ordering of which this Happiness is to be attained, Understanding and Will therefore more immediately and distinctly, the Conduct of Human Life is in order to the Government and Exercise of these two Faculties, the due Regulation of which is the Immediate Entowhich Human Life is to be Conducted. There is therefore a double Conduct of Human Life, Intellectual and Moral.

III.

As to the Moral Conduct of Human Life, Idnot intend at present to spend any Resection upon it. Not because 'tis Unexceptionable, we because 'tis too Obnoxious, the general Impartinence and Irregularity of it being too open and exposed, to need any. And besides 'tis a But that has been shot at so often, ever since Preseing and Writing has been in the World, that is now so thick-set with holes, that there is seam room left to fasten a new Arrow in it.

IV.

Rut tho the Moral Conduct of Human Lik stands so much in the way, and has been so much reflected upon, yet it has fared otherwise with that which is here call'd Intellectual, which stand not so fair a Mark, nor has been so often in Not that 'tis really less faulty (for perhaps with that 'tis really less faulty (for perhaps with all be found to be as much out in the Conduct of our Understandings as in that of our William but because its faultines is less notorious, and is further in, and must be drawn forth into View by a Chain of Consequences, which not ow many have either discernment enough to make, a Patience enough to attend to.

sof

加中

this Go ies in the

6. 存其 四 5 年 6 5

ara

ud

ith

nd

bit,

bul

Ils)

lis

ier

W

, or

v.

This is the Reason why this part of Human Conduct has hitherto scaped so well the Censure and Animadversion not only of the vulgar, but of the Masters of Reason, who have generally emptied their Quivers upon the Moral part; and this is the Reason why I am not willing it should escape now. And I think a good Reason too, since next to the Greatness of an Irregularity, nothing renders it so fit for Resection, as its Privacy and Retirement.

VI.

The Subject therefore of the present Resections, is the Intellectual Conduct of Human Life, or as I express it in the Title, the Conduct of Human Life, with reference to the Study of Learning and Knowledge. It is here supposed that this Conduct is faulty and irregular, in its being made the Subject of Resection. What its Faults and Irregularities are, I shall shew, by ranging them into these three Orders, with reference to the End, Means, and Degree of Assertion.

 The placing of Learning and Knowledge in such things as are little or nothing Perfective of the Understanding.

2. The undue and irregular method of profe-

cuting what is really perfective of it.

3. The too Importunate and over-earnest

pursuit after Knowledge in General.

These are the three Cardinal Irregularities I have observed in the Intellectual Conduct of Human Life, and upon each of these I shall bestow a Resettion.

C

a

a

11

1

li

h

is

18

ta

21

Se

L

C

th

co

Li

44

u.

41

" C

"a

"p

" 1

44

blo

VII.

The business of this first Resection shall be to Tax the General Conduct of Human Life, for placing Learning and Knowledge, in fuch thing as are little or nothing Perfective of the Under standing. This I confess to be a Charge of mon than ordinary Severity and Boldness; because fastens an Imputation of Folly upon the Learni Order (For with them only is my present Concern) and not only fo, but also in that very thing wherein they think their Wisdom and Intellect al accomplishment consists, and upon which the value themselves above the rest of Mankind To question their conduct in any thing elk would be but a trivial Charge, and fuch as the would not only readily Pardon and Acknowledge, it being a common thing with Learned Menno only to own, but studiously to affect Ignorance things besides their Profession, as in Secular but ness, the common Affairs of Life, the Mysteris of Trades and the like: But to cenfure thema defective in that one thing they Pretend to, w make that their Blind Side, where they think the fee clearest; to maintain that they are not only not really wife and knowing, but that generally they don't fo much as know what true Know ledge is, and that they generally place it is fuch things as contribute little or nothing " the perfection of that, whereby they really exc the Brutes, and would be thought to excel the Common fort of Men; this is so high, and h disobliging a Charge, that I fear those who from the force of what shall be here urg'd, my be convinc'd of the Truth of it, will hardly for give the Boldness of it. VIII.

se to

for

ing

der-

non

fei

Conhing ectu-

the

cind

elk

the

det

not

en

buf-

Tio

m a

, 10

the

only

all

OF.

ti

0

xce

th

6

who

may

at the mobile is folder infe to

But as high a Charge as it is, I question not but that it may be, and will be here made good. And that it may appear to be true, we will first of all by way of Address or Preparation, consider what antecedent grounds of probability there are, that Men should generally place Learning and Knowledge in such things as are little or nothing perfective of the rational Parts and then in the second place we will proceed directly to prove that they do so.

IX.

As to the first, your Ladyship cannot be fo little acquainted either with hidden Springs, or entward Workings of Human Nature, as not to have observed that however strong and universal is the defire of Knowledge, yet Men are generally more in Love with the Fame and Repuration of it, than with the thing it felf. There are indeed here and there a few bumble retired Soils that are otherwise disposed, and like your Ladyship, are so far from loving the Fame and Credit of Knowledge before Knowledge it felf, that they don't love it at all, but are content to court Wifdom privately, and enjoy their own Light in the Dark. "For it may be they con-"fider, that be their Attainments what they will, "Fame is a thing of infinite uncertainty and "contingency, that it depends more upon the "Humours of Men, or some more secret unac-"countable Fate, than upon real excellency "and merit; that some have the luck to be po-"pular, and cry'd up for nothing, when in the "mean while others that are really and highly deserving, can scarce keep their Heads above blen. M 3 Contempt ;

ż

C

t

P

1

n

a

C

b

15

b

21

w

p

n

P

to

è

(V

W

Va

m

K

on

" Contempt; that the World is seldom just to " true Merit, and that nothing is weighed in " falfer Ballance than real Excellency, whether Moral or Intellectual; and that there is link " reason to expect it should ever be otherwise " as long as Envy and Ignorance hold the Scale "Then again it may be they confider with then " felves, that suppose true Worth were secured "Reputation, yet what a poor flender good "it! For what is it to be talk'd of, or pointedal "Should a Man be never fo Popular, the Amin " des will never hear of him; or if they de "what is he the better for what is faid of his "there? And should his Fame, like the Sun, To " vail round the whole Globe, besides that h "is but Mafter of a Point when he has All, hea "enjoy no more of it but just what he hear, "which is inconsiderable. And yet as inconsiderable. "rable as it is, 'tis like to be his whole Portion "For as for Posthumous Glory, it comes to "late to be any thing valuable. He will eith "not be fensible of it, or despise it. For at "tainly it must needs be mightily beneathth "improvements of a separate state to put an "value upon the injudicious praises of por "Mortals here below. Or suppose that a De "ceased Spirit could take any Delight in reflet "ing upon the Fame he has left behind him, ye "'tis to be confider'd that the Fashion of this Woll paffes away; that those in whose Praises heon "lives himself, must also shortly dye, and the "then his Fame will have a Funeral, as we "as himfelf. These perhaps, or fuch like Conderations, may prevail with your Ladyship and few more thinking Persons, to have but a very

cold and indifferent regard for the Reputation of Learning and Knowledge: But as for the generality of Mankind, it must be own'd that they are fond of it to a strange degree, and are more concerned to be accounted Wife and Learned. than really to be fo. Otherwise I question whether our Libraries would be fo full as they are.

uff to

d in a

nethe

little

TWI

cale;

hen

pred oodi

dal

latip.

da

bin

Tr

at h

ea

earl,

fide tion

to

the

CEF.

th

201

1000

De ed

yd

nli

h vel

di

But now, though the generality of men be for passionately and keenly fet upon the fame of being Learned and Knowing, yet (so little hath Nature deligned to gratifie this ambitions Hu-

mour) there are but a very few that have either a Genius and Inclination for Learning it felf, or a Capacity of attaining to it. Not an Inclination, because there is a great Variety in the Speculative, as well as Moral Inclinations of Men, one being naturally disposed to this fort of Study, and another to that; whereas true Knowledge whatever it be (which shall be considered in its due place) is of one determinate kind or nature in general, and confequently must require a certain peculiar frame and disposition of mind. Not a Capacity, because the generality of Men are known to have but indifferent Intellectuals, seited to the exigences of common Life, when as true Knowledge (whatever it be) must be supposed to be a thing of uncommon difficulty, and the fludy of it a Work fit only for sublimer Wits, the more ele-

vated and awakened part of Mankind.

Now put there two things together, that almost all men would fain be thought Learned and Knowing, and that there is but here and there one that is naturally made and fitted for true Know-

M 4

Knowledge, and then consider what is liketone the result of this Complication; Why, the Later. Few may succeed well in the search of was they were naturally qualified for, and having a tained to a Competency of true Knowledge, so as is perfective of the Understanding, they so themselves under no Temptation to place it in a thing else, or to bring into Credit any other so of Knowledge. Because having arrived so to they are either wise enough to undervalue to Fame of being Learned, or else despair not of taining it by their Proficiency in True Knowless. Here therefore they take up their Rest.

XII.

But now what shall we do with the others? A they to be perswaded that they are not of a Ma for the Study and attainment of Learning You'll find it tough Work to convince them But suppose it possible that they could made sensible that they are not like to Commen very Learned and Knowing, yet all the World shall never be able to perswade them to lay all that Natural Itch of being fo accounted. Bu you'll fay, the this Inclination be too Natur and Inbred to be quite laid afide, yet there's hope it may be Govern'd. No, nor that neither. The must put in for the Prize, and tis in vain todi fwade them from it. But they must despair of en winning it in a fair way, being supposed not all to reach the Tree of Knowledge, True, The have therefore but one way left, and that is," turn the Tables, and cry up something or other for Learning which they are capable of, h matter whether it deserve that Name, that 4 whether it be really perfective of the Ration tok

What gar

fin

201

for

far.

cdy.

ne

d te

orld

Bu

UTZ

pa ber

by by

s, n

No

Part or no, 'tis enough if they can reach it. For those that can't compass true Riches, and yet will have the Name and Credit of it, are put upon the Necessity of Coyning and Counterfeiting.

XIII.

And truly this Supposition seems to me so very reasonable, that were Experience altogether Silent in the Case, and were Interly unacquainted with the state of Learning in the World, yet if I had a Draught given me of Human Nature, and were told how much the Ambition of being esteemed as Learned and Knowing, exceeds both the Desire and the Ability to be so, and were then asked what I thought would be the Intellectual Conduct of Human Life, I should without any further enquiry conclude, that in all probability Men would generally place Learning and Knowledge in such things as signific little or nothing to the Persection of the Understanding.

YIV

But from grounds of Probability that they should do fo, let us proceed to prove directly that Now in this Charge there is somethey do fo. thing supposed, and something afferted. Supposition is, that there are some things, the Knowledge of which is little or nothing Perfeclive of the Understanding. The Affertion is, that Learning is generally placed in the Knowledge of fuch things. The Proof of the Supposition will engage my Pen upon the discussion of a very curious and weighty Question, wherein the Perfection of the Understanding does consist, or what it is that is Perfective of the Understanding? Which when we have duly fixed and stated, we shall then have a certain Measure to go by in the Proof of the Affertion.

To the Question then I answer. That the Perfection of the Understanding, as that of the Will The Formal Perfe. is either Formal or Objettive. Etion of the Understanding, as that of the William no other than its Exercise or Operation, which is Thinking and Perception, as that of the otheris Willing and Chufing. According to the vulgar Maxim, that the Perfection of every thing is it o. peration, which must be understood, only of the Formal Perfection. The Objective Perfection of the Understanding is Truth, as that of the Willis Good. The Refult of these two Perfections joyn. ed together, is what in the Understanding w call Knowledge, and what in the Will we cal Vertue.

XVI.

Our concern is not at present with the Formal, but with the Objective Perfection of the Understanding. This we have said in general to be Truth, as that of the Will is Good. And thus far there is neither Difficulty nor Controverse. All therefore that further remains to be here considered, is, what Truth that is which is the Objective Perfection of the Understanding, or, what Truth that is, in the Knowledge of which the Perfection of the Understanding does consist.

Now fince there is so great a Proportion and Correspondence between the Understanding and the Will, and the Perfection of each, the first Entrance we shall make upon the Resolution of this Question shall be to consider, what good

XVII.

this Question shall be to consider, what good that is which is the Objective Perfection of the Will, or what good that is in the desiring and

embracing

embracing of which the Perfection of the Will does confift. Which being determined, will afford at least a Fair Ground and Occasion, the not an infallible Measure for the determination of the other.

XVIII.

ll, is

er is

Ö.

the

of

n is

yn-

in in

er-

106

AI

ij.

je.

be

od od

of

d

d

Here then 'cwill be necessary to premise a Confideration of the Kinds of good. The most general distribution of which I conceive to be into these two, Necessary and Contingent good. By neceffary good I understand that which cannot but be good, that which is always and immotably good. And this comprizes under it the good of the End which is desirable for it self, commonly called Pleasant Good. And the good of the Means, which has an immutable Connexion with it, and is defirable for the other, commonly called Profitable Good. By Contingent Good I understand that which may, or may not be good, and is good, whenever it is fo, only upon a Positive account, because enjoyn'd by the Will of a Competent Authority. This can never be the good of the End, or a felf-defirable Good; nor can it be fuch a good of the Means as has a Natural and Immutable Connexion with it; but is always an Arbitrary and Mutable Means.

XIX.

This being briefly premifed, I shall venture to affert, That that Good which is the Objective Persection of the Will, is Necessary Good. Either that which is Self-desirable, as God the Universal, or any other particular pleasant Good. Or ose that which has an immunable Commercion with it, as Moral Good. As for Contingent Good, that is no otherwise persective of the Will, than in the

force and vertue of the necessary Good, The Obedience to a positive Law is no otherwise Vertue, than as 'tis included in some general me tural Law, whereof 'tis a contingent instance Which is also the ground commonly assigned by Cafuifts, why Human Laws oblige in Conscience According to that of Aguinas, Lex Humana Ob. ligat in Conscientia, quaterus participat legen æternam & naturalem. An Human Law oblin in Conscience as much as it partakes of the Eternal and Natural Law. That is, as far as it is found ded, or relies upon the immutable Will of Go and the Dictate of Natural Reason.

XX.

This is too plain to need much Proof, though not so plain but that it may be demonstrated. then a Reason be demanded why the Objective Perfection of the Will is only necessary, not contingent good, 'twill be fufficient to fay, that the only is Perfective of the Will, which naturally and of it felf, makes it Happy, and wherein the can acquiefee with fatisfaction and delight. But this is only necessary good, that which is essentally, intrinsically, and immutably good, either as the End, or as having a Natural Connexion with it, either of which involves Happiness. As for contingent good, that is supposed to be of it felf indifferent as to Happiness; and tho by positive Ordination it may be made a condition of it, yet still it contributes to it only as an Arburay Means, which has no inward goodness in it self, and whose whole Moral Excellency is derived from some general Law of Reason, whereof its an Instance by accident, and in vertue whereof it obliges. Thus Mofer's Striking the Rock, and

Exod. 17. 6.

nothing

i

1

ſ

-

f

C

I

d

F

t

ſ

i

b

j

2 (

a

ſ

Ca

ir

T

D

da

nothing morally good or perfective of the Will in it, but only as twas an Instance of that General Law of obeying the Divine Will in all things. Nor did the Vertue of Moses consist, properly and strictly speaking, in striking the Rock, but in Obeying God by striking the Rock.

fe a

D3-

ACE.

by

nce

Db.

un.

ol

at

h

j.

et

th

lf

XXI.

By this it appears what good that is, in the desiring and embracing of which the Moral Perfection of the Will does properly and ultimately confift. That it is Necessary, not Contingent good. Whence we may take Instruction how to state the Perfection of the Understanding, which we shall do by following the same Common Measure. First then be it here also premised, that as in relation to the Will, all good is either necessary or Contingent, so in relation to the Understanding, all Truth is either Necessary or Contingent. For besides the immediateness of the Opposition, which is Contradictory, I further confider, that that must be the Adequate Division of Truth, which is of Being, Truth being a property of Being, and fuch an one, as the formally and abstractly different (for the Subject must never be included in the Precife Reason of the Property) is yet Materially, and Concretely the fame with it. But now Necelfary and Contingent is the Adequate Division of Being, therefore also of Truth,

XXII.

By Necessary Truth I understand that which cannot but be True, that which is always and immutably True. Such is God among Simple Truths, who is immutably what he is, and all the Vid. Rea-Divine Ideas which (as I have elsewhere abun-ligion. dantly explained it) are the very Essence of God, pag. 82.

A

of

al

01

di

T

&

M

le

k

fo

to

Pr

N

ot

Fo

ab

js:

th

the

As

lar

Sir

U

far

in

aF

ing

tin

Wi

bel

as variously imitable or participable, thus orthon Such also among Complex Truths are all Propositions of Eternal Truth, whether Absolute or Hypothetical, with all their regular Inference and Conclusions, which (as I have also elsewhere (ame Trea- flewn) are nothing else but the Divine Idia rife,p.203, themselves as they respect each other according to their several immutable Habitudes and Com-XXIII. binations.

Vid. The

By Contingent Truth I understand that which may or may not be True; that, whose Truth depends not upon the Essence of God, (That Ground and Pillar of all Necessary Truth) but on ly upon his Meer Will and free Pleasure, either decreeing or permitting. Such among Simple Truths are all Created Beings, the whole Edyn World, and all things in it, which the made according to the Eternal and Immutable Patterns of the Divine Ideas or Archetypal World, yet in themselves are Temporary and Mutable, Such also among Complex Truths are all those Propolitions, the Terms of which have no Essential or Immutable Connexion with each other, but are

XXIV.

fo and fo combined and related, meerly by the

Decree or Permission of him, who is the Author

of whatever is belides himself.

Under the First Order of Truths are comprehended all those things which are the Matter of those Arts and Sciences which are built upon ftable and immovable Foundations, which depend not upon the System of the present World, but were antecedent to it, and might have been ftodied before 'twas made, and according to which the World it felf was made, fuch as Theology, MeMetaphysicks, Moraliny, Geometry, &c. together with all those unchangeable Rules and Measures of Reason and Consequence which are to be used about them all, which is the Subject of that Art or Science we call Logick. Under the second Order are comprehended all Matters of Fast, all Temporary Events, all Natural or Artificial Effects, &c. Which are the Matter of all Arbitrary and Mutable Sciences; as History, Chronology, Knowledge of Tongues, &c. Which began with this Mundan System, and stand or fall with it.

10

er

ŀ

re.

X

ı.

XXV.

Now as that Good which is primely and properly perfective of the Will, is Necessary Good; fo following the fame Proportion I shall not doubt to affert, That that Truth which is Primely and Properly Perfective of the Understanding, is also Necessary Truth. And as Contingent Good is no otherwise Perfective of the Will, than in the Force and Vertue of the Necessary Good (as was above Explained) so likewise Contingent Truth, is no otherwise Perfective of the Understanding than in the Force and Vertue of Necessary Truth, that is, of the Divine Ideas wherein 'tis contain'd. As for Example, when I speculate some particular Artificial Triangle, which is a Contingent Simple Truth, it is no otherwise Perfective of my Understanding, than as it is beheld in its Necesfary and Immutable Nature, or (which is all one) in the Divine Idea. And thus again, when I form a Proposition concerning this Triangle, by ascribing to it some Property or other, which is a Contingent Complex Truth, this again is no otherwife Perfective of my Understanding than as it belongs to, and is beheld in the Nature of a Tri-

angle

angle in Common, which is Necessary and Immitable, being no other than an Idea, or a Deminate Mode of the Divine Omniformity. So the at length the Perfection of the Understanding resolved into the Knowledge of necessary Trut, which is its only Objective Perfection; that which is Contingent being no way perfective of it, by only in vertue of the other.

XXVI.

7

A

0

tl

de

ez

20

pe

I

be

he

if

der

COL

mo

pol

him

led

lede

any

our

this

I am (Madam) very fensible how strange and Paradoxical this way of Philosophizing will fee to those who are either un-addicted to Meditaion in general, or not conversant in Theoriesd this kind; and therefore for their fakes, rather than for any inevidence of the Argument, I wil give some Proof and Confirmation of it, which I will so order, that it shall be an Explanation ? the same time. I will therefore first shew the 'tis fo; and secondly, how and why 'tis fo. it is fo I prove thus: First, I suppose that Go was once when there was nothing besides God Again, I suppose that as the Being of God di go before all other being in Order of Time, h in Order of Nature it was antecedent even toth Will of Creating, putting, or permitting any Again, I suppose that there was there fore then no other Truth but necessary Truth that is, the Divine Ideas with their feveral Habi tudes and Complications: I suppose again, that therefore God must be considered as knowing the only these necessary Truths. And yet I suppose again, that God was as perfect then as he is now; and consequently, that the Divine Understanding was as perfect then as now, the Nature of God requiring not only that he should be Absolute Perfett,

Perfett, but that he should be so in himself-Whence I infer, that therefore the whole Perfection of the Divine Understanding is to be resolved into the sole Knowledge of necessary Truths, and that the Knowledge of Contingent Truth gives no Perfection to it, any otherwise than as 'tis beheld in that which is necessary, as was said before.

hat gir th, ich but

ui-

of her

ril

id

2

12

hat

iot

od.

did

the

inj

th,

hat

en

ok

w;

XXVII.

From this Process of Reasoning, I presume 'tis fufficiently evident, that the Objective Perfection of the Divine Understanding is only Necessary Truth, which I take in the first place to be a frong ground of prefumption, that the Perfection of Human Understanding does also consist in But to make it further plain that it the fame. does fo, I suppose again, that nothing were to exist but only God, and one Intelligent Being; and that this Intelligent Being had the full and perfect fruition of God. Upon this supposition I enquire, whether this Intelligent Being would be perfectly Happy or no? Without all question he would, as enjoying an All- Sufficient Good. Well, if so, then he must be perfectly happy in his Understanding. And it is most certain, that he could then have the knowledge of very little more than Necessary Truth; for all that he could possibly know besides, would be only that he himself did exist, and that he knew these Necesfary Truths, and that he was happy in the knowledge of them, and the like. And lest the knowledge of fuch Contingencies should be thought any Accumulation to his Happiness, we will carry our Hypothesis a little further, by supposing that this Intelligent Being were not to attend to any

R

C

ly

al

is

T

h

V

L

N

u

01

CE

21

Wis

20

gu

Ē

th

th

Su

cef

tis

ha no

is

is t

the

Wa

of his own Perfections, or to any of these few Contingent Truths refulting from them, but were only to Contemplate God and the Divine Ideas; and then I demand whether his Understanding would be infliciently perfected or not "Tis necessary to answer in the Affirmative whence its also as necessary to conclude, that the only Objective Perfection of our Understanding is Necessary Truth.

XXVIII.

This I think sufficient to prove that 'ris fo. 1 shall now briefly explain the Mode of it, by them. ing how and why 'tis fo; and I account for ital ter this manner. Necessary Truth is the fame with the Divine Ideas; and accordingly Plan, remember, calls Science a Participation of Idea, and the Divine Ideas are the very Effence of God, as 'tis variously imitable according to its Omi formity: Necessary Truth therefore is no other than the Essence of God, the very Substance of the Divinity. More particularly, it is the same with the Divine No G, the second Person in the Holy Triad, who is Harxirum Goggais, as Philo speaks, the Archetypal Seal, and noque vontor, the Intellette al World, and ap x tronor med by year, the Archetyel Paradigme, and isia Wistor, the Idea of Idea, Whom also the Scripture represents as the Wifdom of his Father, and as the Light of the World, and who enlightens every Man that comes intoit, not only Efficiently (as 'tis vulgarly understood) but also Formally, he himself being the Truth and the Light, in which we fee all things.

XXIX.

These things (Madam) I only hint to you, to ferring you for further satisfaction to your deferyedly

ποσμοσ. P. 3. vedly admired Monsseur Malebranche in his de la Resperche de la Verire, and to a Treatise of mine called Reason and Religion; where I have purposely treated of the Divine Idea, and of our seeing all things in them; In which however whatever is descient shall be supplied in another Latin Treatise of a larger compass, now under my hands, and which I shall communicate to the World e're long (if God please to continue my Lise and Health) under the Title of Theoria Mundi Idealis, sive Metaphysica Platonica.

H 25 10

ive, the

ing

CW.

af.

me o, l

od, mi

he

ib

ks,

q.

H,

di

ly

XXX.

However, lest I should be thought to proceed upon a precarious ground, I will here give you one short and evident Demonstration, that Necessary Truth is the very Essence of God, and then advance: That God is the cause of whatever is besides himself; or that whatever is, is either God, or the effect of God, is a clear and acknowledged Principle. Upon which I thus argue: Necessary Truth is either God, or the effect of God: But it is not the Effect of God, therefore it is no other than God himself.

XXXI.

That it is not the Effect of God, is evident from the many abfurdities that would follow upon that Supposition. For first, God would be then a Necessary Agent; for if Necessary Truth be an Effect, it is a Necessary Effect, and a Necessary Effect must have a Necessary Cause. Again, God would not only be a Necessary Agent, but also (which is worse) an Unintelligent Agent. The consequence is unavoidable, for if Truth be the Effect of God, then antecedently to the effecting of it, there was no Truth, and consequently no Knowledge.

N 2

Again,

Again, if Necessary Truth be the Effect of God. then the Perfection of the Divine Understanding must be supposed to depend upon something the is not God; nay, upon fomething Created h 'I will follow again, that God has made Something which he cannot destroy. And lasting to add no more, if Necessary Truth be the Effet of God, then there will be fomething Necessary Immutable, and Eternal, &c. besides God. The Consequences are all plain, and so are the Absimila The last of which appeared so great tothe Excellent Monsieur Poiret, a stiff Opposer d your beloved Malebranche, and of the Ideal Phil lophy, that he urges this as one Argument again the very being of Necessary Truth, because the there would be fomething Necessary besides God not considering that this Necessary Truth is real ly one and the same with God himself. And this alone puts by the force of his Argumenta gainst the being of Necessary Truth, which how. ever is sufficiently conclusive to the purpose we now aim at, that Necessary Truth is not the If. fest of God. For if it were, then his Absurding would come in, and there would be fomething Necessary besides God. Since then Necessary Truth is not the Effect of God, it remains by Vertue of the premised Disjunction, that it must be no other than the very Substance and Essence of XXXII.

I further consider, that the Essence of God is intimately and immediately united to the mind of Man; this is plain from Scripture, which tells up that in God is our Life, our Motion, and our Boing. And from Philosophy, which assures us, that what pervades all things, must needs be immediately

Cogitationes Rationales de Deo,

p. 296.

att

Sp

cef

th

the

nob fec

Ob

aui

t I

fuf

Tr

Mi

wh

me

con

1

but

10

Evi

Mii her the

oth her ftio

s ti

Nat of a

abo

od.

ling

that

1 1/2

fly, ffeat

The

rdi-

the

ď

法直拉

jod,

eal

And

ta-

OW.

W

Ef.

dity

goin

lary

by

t be

e of

ip-

do

US,

Be-

hat

edi-

tely

stely united with every thing. And for this, you De la Rehave the Authority of your excellent Malebranche, cherche de who therefore calls God the Place of Spirits, as la Verite, Space is the Place of Bodies.

Will Transition XXXIII.

Now upon these two Suppositions, that Neceffary Truth is the fame with God himfelf, and that the Essence of God is immediately united to the Mind of Man, 'tis easie to Conceive how and who Necessary Truth should be the Objective Perfection of our Understanding; fince to make an Object Perfective of the Faculty, nothing elfe is remilite, than that it be its proper good, and that the intimately Present to it. And this will also sufficiently give us to understand, that Contingent Truth cannot be the Objective Perfection of the Mind; first, because that is a Created Being, whereas God alone is our proper Good. And fecondly, because tis without us, and cannot be immediately united to our minds, without which condition, were it never so Perfective otherwise. t could contribute nothing to the Perfection of our Understandings.

There is no First Principle seems to me more Evident, than that the whole Perfection of the Mind does confift in its Union with God, who is her only as well as true Good. For the good of the Mind must of necessity be something Spiritual, otherwise it would be of a Nature inferiour to ber felf, and so not capable of being her Perfefion. But neither is that enough. Whatever the good of the Mind must not be only of a like Nature with the Mind, that is, of a Spiritual, but of a Superior Nature too. It must be fomething above the Mind that can be its Perfection, and

N 3

d

50

21

at

3

m

de

th

lie

ha

of

£d

thi

of

tan

Bil

LY

the

pro Kn

For

doc

Lica

tion

Dif

to a

60,

that can act upon it, and enlighten it, and n ward it, and raise pleasing Sensations in it, other wife how can it add any thing to its better Ben or Perfection? And in order to all this it me be intimately united with it, otherwise hower it fo act upon it? But now God is the only Sin tual Being, whom we can possibly conceived qualified to be the Good of our Minds. When it follows, that he only is fo, and that a cannot become either more Perfect or more Ha py in any kind or degree, but by our Union with and Possession of God. Whence it further follow that Truth could not be any Perfection of m Understandings, if it were not the same with Divine Effence, and confequently that that In only is a Perfection of the Mind, which it fame with God. And fince that is only Ideas Necessary Truth, I conclude, that this, and it only is the Objective Perfection of Human Min XXXIV.

And thus have I given a full Resolution total Curious and Important Question which a Proof of my Supposition engaged me upon, a which is to be the Measure of what follows into Resection. It is plain from hence, that the are some things the Knowledge whereof is into or nothing perfective of the Understanding is as I have shewn, 'tis not Comingent, but Nonformuth, wherein the Perfection of the Understanding does consist. Whence it follows, in True Learning ought to be placed in the Russledge of Necessary Trueh, in the Comprehense of those Arts and Sciences, whose Foundam are not Arbitrary, but Stable and Immutate and in understanding the Eternal and Unchanged

able Laws and Measures of Reason and Confewence. He therefore is the truly Learned and Knowing Man, who has furnished his Mind with bright and clear Ideas, lodged them orderly and regularly in his Head, and fetled the Relations and Consequences of one to another. He that is able to think clearly and distinctly (for so much Man knows, as he diffinetly understands, and no more) to judge truly and folidly, and to reason dependently and consequentially. In fhort, he that fees most of the Divine Ideas, is most familiarly conversant in the Intelligible World, and has the largest and the clearest View of the Field of Truth. This I hold to be Learning, and Insellectual Perfection; and besides what Arguments I have alledged in behalf of this Hypothefis, it is further Confirmed by the Authority of Plato, when he makes the Happiness or Perfection of Man (for 'tis all one) to confift in the Contemplation of Ideas.

2 2

4

XXXV.

But notwithstanding the ungestionable Certainty of the Premiles, this is not that Measure which the generality of the World has thought fit to proceed by. Learning is generally placed in the Knowledge of Contingent, not of Necessary Truth. For your Ladysbip very well knows that the World does not efteem him a Learned Man, whose Learning has clear'd his Understanding, who is arrived to Clearness and Distinctness of Conception, and is a thorough Mafter of Notion and Discourse: No, 'twill cost great Pains, great Labour of Mind, and Anxiety of Thinking to arrive to this Pitch. Nor will all the Pains in the World to, unless a Man be Naturally made for it, unless N 4

ies he be of a Notional Complexion, and has had he Head cast in a Metaphysical Mould. Whereupa this Attainment is like to be the Lot of a very per This therefore must not be Learning, but some thing else must, that lies more within Common the tho of no real Moment to the perfection of their derstanding. Such (as I have shewn) are Competent Truths, and yet Learning is generally place in the Knowledge of these

XXXVI.00 box visculose

The state of the s

t

TETT

0

L

t

t

t

For first, 'tis reckon'd a notable point of Leaning to understand variety of Languages. This lone gives a Man a Title to Learning without or Grane of Sense; and on the other fide, let a Ma be an Angel for Notion and Discourse, yet unless can express the same Thoughts in variety Words, he may go for a Rational, but will by m means be esteem'd a Learned Man. And this brim to my mind a Passage which I met with not los fince in London, where being in Company with Ingenious French Man, I ask'd him of what to pute M. Malebranche was with the Learned's France? He told me, that he was look'd upon a great Mafter of Notion and Speculation, buts a Man of no great Learning. I ask'd him, Whyl Because, said he, he understands but few Langue ges. How much that excellent Author's Talen may lie that way I am not concern'd. But what ever it be, the most Learned of them all must give me leave to fay, that I would rather be Malterd a Quarter of his Sense, than of all the Language that may be form'd out of the Alphabet. But ist not a strange thing that so much Stress should a laid upon fuch a Trifle? For what am I the better for being able to tell what 'tis a Clock in feveral Language Languages; What does this fignifie to the Perfection of my Understanding ? Words are purely in order to Thought and Sense, and therefore are of no further value than as they ferve as helps either to Learn, or to Communicate the other. To affect them therefore for themselves, is to turn the Means into the End, than which nothing is more abford. And yet this vain piece of Pedantry has prevailed all the World over, and with some to that degree, that they have confounded Ideas with Words, and have made all Science to terminate in the latter. Thus the Philosophers of the Nominal way, and particularly Mr. Hobbs, who makes Reafon to be nothing elfe but Sequela Nominum, a wellorder'd Train of Words. Never certainly was there a groffer piece of Idolatry, nor a plainer Argument of the great degeneracy of Mankind. And the all the Multipliers of Tongues are not Comprehended under this latter charge, yet it may concern them to consider, how great a Folly it miltneeds be, to place Learning in that, which is one of the greatest Curfes upon Earth, and which 13.8. shall utterly Ceafe in Heaven.

icei

m. 32

Va.

rd

iog

12

10-

di 8 0

ta

byi

jul-len

hat-gin a of

ago isit

de

ttir

eral

30

XXXVII.

Again, it paffes for an extraordinary part of Learning to understand History, that is, in other words, to know what a company of filly Creatures, call'd Men, have been doing for almost this 6000 years. Now what is my Understanding the Perfecter for knowing this? I deny not that but that there are fome matters of Fact, as the more remarkable Turns of Ecclesiastical History, together with the greater Revolutions of the Civil World, that may be of Moment to be known; not that the Knowledg of them as fuch is Learning, or

Perfective.

Perfective of the Understanding to but becausely discovering to us the Conduct of Divine Prop dence they supply us with occasions of adoring and glorifying the wildom and goodness of God I am not therefore against the knowing these things but only I would not have men think themselve the Wifer or more Learned for fuch Knowledge. For 'tis one thing to fay that a thing deferves to be known, and another to fay that 'cis Learning or Wildom to know it. For a thing may deferve tok known, not as perfetting the Understanding, bu meerly as touching upon our Interest. I grant then fore that it may be of Consequence to know some Historical Passages, if we are any way concerned in them, and fo it may to know the Clock has frud One, if I have appointed an Assignation at the time; but fure the bare naked Theory of the Clock having struck One, can add but little to the floor of my Intellectual Perfection. The most trivial matter of Fact in the World is worth knowing. if I have any concern depending upon it; and the greatest without that is utterly infignificant. So that 'tis not from the perfecting of our Underfrand ing, but from the Relation they have to our Interest, that these things deserve to be known.

XXXVIII

This is sufficiently plain from the Measure we have premised, by which no Truth is perfective of the Understanding but only Necessary Truck. But to address my felf more Convincingly to the great Magnifiers of History, I shall only desire their Answer to this one Question. Suppose such and such Matters of Fact, on the Knowledge of which they Found their Title to Learning, and perhaps glory more in the Knowing them, than the Missister of the Miss

themselves did in the doing them. Suppose, I say, fuch matters of Fact had never been done; suppose Fabius had never Weather'd out Hannibal by Delays; nor Cyrus took Babylon by draining the River into the Ditches, what loss or diminution would this have been to the Perfection of their Understandings? They cannot say it would have been any. And why then should the knowing them now they are done, be reckoned as an Intellectual Improvement? And yet we find that 'tis fo, and that Men Budy these things not only for their wfe, (for that I allow) but for their meer Theory, placing Learning in Such History, which has nothing to commend it, but only that it tells you such and such things were done. impertinent fort is the greatest part of the Roman and Grecian Hiftory, which (had not the World Voted it for Learning) would no more concern a Man to know, than that a Bird has dropt a Feather upon the Pyrencean Mountains.

or

d

XXXXIX.

Again, it goes for a Notable Piece of Learning to understand Chronology, to be able to adjust the Intervals and distances of Time, to know when such an Action was done, when such a Famous Man slourish'd, and who and who were contemporary, and the like. Now I deny not, but that while Men live in this World, they may be concern'd to have some acquaintance with these things, by reason of some interest or other that depends upon it. It may therefore, I say, for some purposes, be convenient to know that. For instance, there is a two sold Asiam, according to the victory at Asiam, the one recken'd from the Fight at the Promontery of Asiam, according to the account

account of Dio and Xiphilinus; the other from the taking of Alexandria, and the Death of Cleapatra, according to Ptolemy, Josephus, Eusebius, and Censcrinus. But however, concerning this may be, with respect to its usefulness, yet certainly as to any Intellectual Perfection that accrues by it, it must needs be a very unedifying Stuffage of Mind; and yet 'tis counted a great Accomplishment and Enrichment of it.

XI.

(

ł

1

7

S

CO

th

as

th

CO

w

(a

co

Another thing there is which passes for wonderful Learning, which I cannot well reduce elther to Necessary or Contingent Truth; for indeed it does not belong to Truth at all, and that is our Sophistical way of Disputation. And indeed it may well be called fo, for as 'tis generally managed, 'tis nothing but meer Quibbling and Je fling, not Argning but Punning. For Supposethe Question be, Whether he that has Faith shall be faved? No, fays the Opponent, If the Damned have Faith, then not every one that has Faith shall be faved; But the Damned have Faith, Therefore, &c. Here 'tis plain that the Word Faith, though it hath Something in Common in both Propositions, yet according to the intire Idea, fignifies one thing in one Proposition, and and ther in another. And why then is not the whole Procedure to be rejected as Idle and Impertinent? As for down-right Fallacy and E. quivocation, where there is a Manifest Ambiguity (as between Dog and Dog, one fignifying a Celestial Sign, and the other a Terrestrial Animal) this is every where despised and laught at as unbecoming both the Acumen and the Gravity of a Disputant. And we think we have sufficiently difcharged

charged our Hands of fuch an Argument, by Crying out that there are Four terms in the Syllogifm. But now I would fain know whether it be not the same to all real purposes in the foremention'd Instance, which is after the common way of our Scholastick Disputation? Is not Faith and Faith there, as much an Ambiguity as Dog and Dog here? For my part I can perceive but this only Difference, that Dog and Dog have nothing in Common but the Name, whereas Faith and Faith have some Generical Part wherein they agree. But what does this mend the Matter? For tho there be some Generical Agreement, yet take 'em according to their whole Ideas, that is, take the Generical part with its Contracting Difference, and 'tis plain that they fignifie two different things, and confequently that there is really as great an Ambiguity here as there. And this we plainly Confess when we come to Distinguish. For what is a Distinction but a Pointing out of an Ambiguity? What is it elfe but to fay, that fuch a thing is True in this Sense, but not in that, True in that Sense wherein the Point of the Question is not concern'd, but not in that wherein it is. No? why then, notwithstanding the Generical Agreement the Procedure is as fallacious and impertinent, as when the Question being about Star-Dog, the Opposition is about Land-Dog. And yet (fuch is the Inconfistency of Human Judgment) the one is counted Trifling, and the other Serious Arguing. Whereas indeed no Arguing can be fo, but where the Terms of the Question are first Defined (as is done in Geometry) and then always used according to the first Stated Sense. All Disputing any otherwise than so, must necessarily be nothing elfe

or

e

ed

all

e-

th

×,

0-

he

nd E.

ty

lehis

œ-

)i·

if-

ed

else but meer Punning; only much worse the what is in common use, because tis Punning when a Man Pretends to be Serious. And yet this h made a confiderable Part of our Academical ducation and Learning; and he is effeemed the greatest Proficient, who is most versed in the Fallacious Trickish way of Disputing, and is a rived to the greatest Sleight of Hand in this Ph. losophical Juggle, which notwithstanding all the Credit and Reputation it has had among the Professors of Learning, both in our own, and in other Universities, I take to be so far from being an real substantial part of Learning, that 'tis or of the greatest Abuses and Corruptions of it, and is one of the first things that I should offer to Reformed at a Philosophick Visitation.

XLI.

For this Scholaftick way of Disputing may be considered, either as an Acquirement, or 31 Exercise, and either way (as 'tis usually managed) it will be found to be no better than a Pompor If you consider it as an Acquirement, it nothing elfe but an Habit, or rather a Trick of feeming to prove fomething, when really you And I think Monfieur Gaffent prove nothing. in his Paradoxical Exercitations has given a tru Image and Representation of it, when he tells a the Six Eggs which the Country-man Ordered be provided for the Entertainment of his Son, when he return'd home from the University. The Father would have him boyl Six Eggs, two for him, two for his Mother, and two for himfel But the Son, having an Itch to shew a Proofd his Scholastick Improvement, boyl'd but three When his Father asked him, Why he had not

S

h

2

Í

EX

h

W

pa

ih

of

of

ry

Ť

23 2

it,

For

Lat

froi

ove

one

neit

men

Tru

Exer

vling vling

unde

near them

thirt

Disp

provided Six? Why, fays he, are there not here Six? How so? says the Father, I can see but three. No, replies the young Sophister, is not here one (telling them out) and is not there two, and is not there three? And don't 1, 2, and 3, make Six? Well, says the Father, then I'll take two, and your Mother shall have one, and you shall have the other three. And now I think all was right and as it should be. The Son for his part gave a true Specimen of his University Learning: (For in earnest I don't take our vulgar way of Disputing to be one Jot better than this piece of Egg-Sophistry) and the Father served him very well, and in his kind; that is, shew'd him Trick for Trick.

hi

10-

多量的

h

1

(cd)

Ü

K d

you end

tru

is a

dw

Sou,

Th

for

fell.

ofd

bree.

d not

pro

XLII.

Not is there any thing more in it confidered as an Exercise. As the Acquirement has nothing in it to the Exercise contributes to nothing. As the former is an infignificant worthless Habit, so the Latter is an idle fruitless Employment, As it springs from Nothing, so it tends to Nothing, but is all over Shuffle and Legerdemain. It does not discover one Truth, nor enlarge any one Science. It ferves neither to clear the Mind, nor to clear the Argument, but rather to fnarl and perplex both. The Truth is, I can find nothing in this fo magnified Exercise but Punning and Canting. Punning I call uling Words in various Senses: Canting I call uling Words without any real Sense or Notion under them: And these two I believe will go near to divide our Scholastick Exercises between them. For as far as I could ever observe for thirteen years together, this great Mystery of Disputation is nothing else but a meer Tossing of Words

g

a

,

ш

d

BN

ne

m

Ve

na th

u

ha

an

82

Me

tha

bat

for

Fan

con

and

Ni

wh

Gra

gol

Dera

Words backward and forward, sometimes with out any meaning, which is Canting; and sometimes with more Meanings than one, which a Punning. Upon which Consideration I must need own (whether it be my Ignorance or no, I can tell) that I had ever a very mean Opinion of the sort of School Errantry, and that I always though the Time spent at the Publick Schools to be a much Loitering, as that in the Tennis-Court.

XLIII.

I could here run out much further, but that! find my felf prevented by the Excellent Monfer Robault, who in his Preface to his Philosophy gin this true Account of this whole Business, The it is not a way to the Acquisition of New Truths, m as such intended, but only a fort of Exercise or Sm of the Mind, whose end is nothing else but only to mi and intangle Truth with Falsehood, by the help of som little Tricks and Quirks, by which either side of the Question may be maintain'd, and neither of the Lin gants feem to yield, tho the Propositions they holdk never so Absurd, and the Reason to the contrary new so Strong. And truly this is the Ordinary Iffue of a Publick Exercises, where oftentimes in the same Cha contrary Opinions are disputed by turns, and are equal ly Victorious, while in the mean time the matter un at all cleared, and Truth lies as near the bottom of the Well as it did before. This is the true state of the matter, and I am glad to find fo great an Autho rity on my side, not so much to Consirm the Truth, as to take off from the Singularity and Bold ness of the Censure. And to this ladd this forther Remark, that 'tis reckon'd a notable Excelence to be able to Spin out an Argument to 1 great length, and he is counted the best Argue that

that can thus Pun longest. Whereas indeed did Man speak to the purpose, Breviry would be his greatest Excellence.

XLIV.

ch is cedi

cant

thi

ugh

ne a

nat I

Ger

ire

The

pari

OM

fte

Lisi Idk

600

f d

HA.

s mi

the

ho-

the old-

for-

cel-

o a ver

hat

There are many other things which the unaccountable humour of the World has turn'd up for Learning, which Ignorance will never be the better for, and which Wisdom does not need. Thus tis counted Learning to have tumbled over a multitude of Books, especially if great ones, and old ones, and obscure ones, but most of all, if Manuscripes; the recovery of one of which is reckoned fo much added to the Commonwealth of Learning, as they call it. A Well-read Man fignifies the very fame as a Learned Man in most Mens Dictionaries; and by Well-read they don't mean one that has read well, that has clear'd & improv'd his Understanding by his reading, but only one that has read a great deal, tho perhaps he has puzzled and confounded his Notions by doing fo. Thus again it goes for Learning, to be acquainted with Mens Opinions, especially of the Ancients, to know what this or that Philosopher held, what this or that Author fays, tho perhaps he fays nothing but what is either Absurd, or Obviously True. Thus for instance, What can be more Absurd than that Fincy of Empedocles, that there are two Semicircles compassing betwixt them and the Earth, one whereof was composed of Fire, the other of Air, and that the former made the Day, and the latter Night? And yet to know this is Learning. what again is more obviously true, than that Grave Doctrin of Aristotle, that Privation must go before the introduction of the Form in all Generation? And yet 'tis Learning to know that

he

ha!

the

Le

Bb

wh

thi

thi

Le

the

wh

me

fel

the

tha

De

led

of .

ano

fo

can

tha

tis

the

Mag

tho

not

con

thor

Lea

he taught thus, though it be a thing fo plain and so near the Surface, that a Child can't mile of it. To know the thing is nothing, became fo plain and easie; but to know that Ariffed held it, that's the Learning. Nay, to inflant in a matter of a greater difficulty, though I know very well, and am able to demonstrate the grounds of the Atomical Philosophy, or the Mot on of the Earth, or the Circulation of the Blod yet I shall not be admitted into the Order of the Learned, unless I am able to tell that Mosembe Phanician invented the first, and that Democrit and Leucippus afterwards improved it, and the the two latter owe their Discovery to Copernia and Harvey. So much more Learned an A chievement is it to know Opinions than things; and accordingly, those are reckon'd the most Lean ed Authors, who have given the greatest Specimens of this kind of Knowledge. Thus is h cus Mirandula more admired for the Examinati on he has made of the Doctrin of the Pages, than any of them were for what they delivered; and Plutarch has got more Credit from the H story he gives of their Opinions, in the Second Tome of his Works, than from any of his Rational and Moral Discourses. And were he m accounted Learned for the Former, I question who ther the Latter, (though far more excellent than they are) would ever have given him that Title XLV.

Now (Madam) what an hard and unreasonable Imposition is this, that tho I am able to this and Write never so much like an Angel my self, yet I must not be accounted a Man of Learning, unless I can tell what every whimsical Writer

has faid before me! And how hard will this fall mon those, whose lot is to breath in the last Agesof the World, who must be accountable for all the Whims and Extravagancies of fo many Ceninter? And yet this is made fo great a part of Learning, that the Learning of most Men lies in Books rather than in Things ; and among Authors, where one writes upon Things, there are twenty that write upon Books. Nay, some have carried this odd Humour on fo far, that 'cis thought Learning to know the very Titles of Books, and their feveral Editions, with the time and place. when and where they were Printed, And I have met with feveral my felf, that have valued themfelves not a little upon this Mechanical faculty, though they knew no more of what was in them, than they do of what is written in the Rolls of Deftiny.

Ö

U.

d;

od

2-

101

be-

121

14

XLVI.

From this placing of Learning in the Knowledge of Books, proceeds that ridiculous Vanity
of Multiplying Quotations, which is also reckon'd
another piece of Learning, though they are used
so unseasonably and impertinently, that there
can be no other end in them, but only to shew
that the Author has read such a Book. And yet
its no such Convincing Evidence of that neither, it being neither New nor Difficult, for a
Man that's resolv'd upon it, to quote such Authors as he never Read nor Saw. And were it
not too Odious, as well as Obvious a Truth, I
could name to your Ladyship, some of those Author-Mongers, who yet pass for Men of shrewd
Learning, and vast Reading.

(196) XLVII.

These, and many other such things (for twen endless to reckon up all) are by the Majority of the World Voted for Learning, and in these we spend our Education, our Study, and our Time though they are all of them Contingent Trust that are not Perfective of the Understanding (nothing being so but only Necessary Truths, of the Divine Ideas, the Eternal Argon, the World and Wisdom of the Father) and also most of them impertinent and unconcerning ones. So the in short, the Charge of this Reflection amount to thus much, That Learning is generally placed in the Knowledge of such things, which neither the Intellectual Perfection, or any othe Interest of Man is concern'd to know.

m they do of a pat is written in the Roll of

Rom this placing of Leaning anthe Knowwest snows, your active the Knowantiplying People of the Michigan and Confidence

The Bnd of the First Reflection.

in fach Convincent Entleded of that her-

unthat's refelve upon in to quote fur Anless as he nove Read not Save Andree of the wroo Odional Save Core a direction Transcribed to your less of the Core of the core of the core of the or freed

ache Author hat he fich advocit

s 104

wines, and valt Rec in a gainta

V

The Second Reflection.

ty of its

ling

is, a

ft of that

中山山山

the

Wherein the General Conduct of Human Life is taxed, for using undue and irregular Methods, in Prosecuting what is really Perfective of the Understanding.

T.

In the preceeding Reflection, the Intellectual Conduct of Human Life was censured for the general Misplacing of Learning, for placing it in such things as are not Perfective of the Understanding. In the present Reflection supposing it to be Free from that Fault, we shall consider it as Chargeable with another, namely, with an undue and irregular Method of prosecuting what is really perfective of it. The first was an Error about the End. This Second is an Error about the Means, which are the two Hinges upon which all Prudence, and all Imprudence turns.

IÍ.

That the Truth of this Charge may appear, we must here also propose a Measure, whereby we may proceed, as we did in the Former Restection. And as there we took upon us to determine what that is which is Objectively perfective of the Understanding, so we must here consider what is the Right Method of Prosecuting what is so. Which being stated will be a Measure to us in this, as the other was in the former Restection.

III.

III.

hi

Li

Se

W

M

Se

V

ha

pa

01

m

ei

119

m

a

vi

10

li

di

In

de

in

R

de

W

ta

th

hi

fil

fe

QT

I design not here a just and particular Trea tife concerning The Method of Study, or Inquire after Truth, this Province being already Profes. fedly undertaken, and Excellently adorn'd by two as great Masters of Thinking as ever were. or are like to be in the World, Cartefin and Malebranche, of both which your Ladylbip is to much a Mistress, that a further Undertaking of this kind would be as needless to your better laformation, as to the Argument it felf, after the Management of it under fuch Excellent Hands However something I must say, it being impossble to shew that wrong Methods are used in this Grand Inquest, but by predefining which is the Right. This therefore I shall do but briefly on ly, and in General.

IV.

Since therefore that Truth which is Perfective of the Understanding is Necessary Truth, and since this Necessary Truth is the same with the Divine Ideas (both which being already proved, are here supposed) following the Thred of the same Hypothesis, I find it necessary to affirm, that the right, and indeed only Method of Enquiry steer that Truth, which is perfective of the Understanding, is by Consulting the Ideal World, where only it is, or the Divine No. who say of himself that he is not only the Truth, but also the Way.

V

Here I suppose two things. First that this Divine \$420. or Ideal World is intimately united with, and presential to the Mind. Secondly, That we see and understand all things in him.

ča.

by

re,

nd

6

of

ln-

the

ds,

G.

his

be

n-

Vè

ice)i-

are

me

he

1-

U,

ys

6

in

n,

him. That he is our Light and our Wildom, the Light by which we See, and the Light which we See, that he is the very x620 indisale, the inward Word and fubstantial Conception of our Minds, as he is of the Father, and that in this Sense he enlightens every Man that comes into the This I need not prove now, because I Vid. Rea. have done it professedly elsewhere, only I shall for and pass one necessary Remark upon the manner of Religion. our being enlightned by the Divine x620, who may be faid to enlighten us in a double respect. either Fundamentally and Potentially, by putting us into a Capacity of Illumination, by his intimate Union and Presence with us; or else Effestually and Astually, when we attend to his Divine Light, which is always present to us, tho we are not fo to it. In the Former Sense he enlightens every Man, in the latter only those who duly confult him and attend to him.

VI.

For I consider, that the Divine Noye, is an Inlightner in the same Proportion as he is a Re-Now he redeems us either by putting us in a Salvable and Reconcilable State, which is a Redemption Universal Inconditionate and Antecedent, or by actually reconciling and Saving us, which depends upon, and is confequent to certain Conditions, and is conferr'd only upon those who are qualified accordingly. his Redemption is double, so is his Illumination. He inlightens either by putting us in a state or possibility of Illumination, by being intimately prefent with us, and furrounding us with his Divine Ideal Light, which is a B enefit Common to all, or by actually informing our Understandings 04

when we apply our felves with due attention to his all-diffuled Light, which is ever prefent us, and to the whole Creation, and Shineth ever John 1. 5. in the Darkness, tho the Darkness comprehend it m.

VII.

th

A

o

fe

M

fa

P

A

te

ri Ai

13

انا

H

th

bl Li

of

te

vi T

re

thi

And I was not a little glad to find the Ground of this distinction in the Writings of that he vated Heathen Hierocles, which I shall give you in the Words of my own Translation. This bright Heathen Commenting upon that Mystical Prayer of Pythagoras, O Father Jupiter, either free all from their manifold Evils, or else discovern all what Dæmon they use, Moves this Question Since they that know God and themselves are fra from Mortal Passions, why then are not all free since all are sufficiently assisted with the Opportunity of this Knowledge? To which he first gives this general Answer, Because the greatest part of Ma embrace evil of their own accord, since they neithe

P. 144. general Answer, Because the greatest part of Ma embrace evil of their own accord, since they neither see nor hear neighbouring good. Then a little st ter he is more particular in this account. Sim

ter he is more particular in this account. Sim therefore (fays he) that any thing may be shewn to any one, 'tis necessary that the Actions of two Pa-Sons concur; (for how can you show what you have a mind (hould be shewn, to a Blind Man, although you offer it to him a thousand times? Or how can you Them to one that sees, if you offer nothing to be fight?) both these must be present, some good propsed by him that shews, and an Eye capable of seems in him to whom it is to be shewn; so that from a ve fible Object, and a faculty of seeing, may result This being fo, let us suppose the Manifest ation. all would be freed from evil, if their Maker di shew to all the knowledge of his own Nature, what Damon they themselves use. But we find that

al are not delivered from evil; it follows therefore that be does not make this discovery to all, but to those only who of their own accord endeavour to free themselves from evil, and voluntarily fix their Eye upon what is shewn by the intention of Contemplation, And again a little after, Thus every Illumination P. 148. of God by the Concurrence of our Vision, becomes a Discovery.

In all which Process this refined Heathen suppofes that God is ready on his part to enlighten all Men's nay, that he does enlighten them all fo far, as to put them in the way, and within the Possibility of Illumination, which then becomes Astual and Effectual when they yield due Attention to the Divine Light. He does not indeed descend to so much Nicety and Particularity as to ascribe this Illumination to the Divine sing, or Ideal World, but only to God in general: nor does he determin whether God does enlighten us only Efficiently, by infusing Acts or Habits of Knowledge (as is more vulgarly held, than understood, and indeed is no way intelligible) or formally by being himself the very Formal Light of our Minds, and the immediate Object This I fay he does not deof our Knowledge. termine, nor do I cite him to this purpose, having fufficiently Explained and Establish tthis Theory elsewhere, but only to shew his Concur- Reason and rence with me in this Distinction of the double Il- Religion.

lumination of God.

tion

VCD POK,

nds

Ele-you This

ical

the

ert

OL fra

eed,

uiu this

Из

her

af.

inci

t to er.

411

y 1

you his

Ph-100-101

4

be

IX.

These Supposals being premised, First, that that Truth which is Perfectiv of the Understanding, is Necessary Truth: Then Secondly,

di

tro

oth Dir

Ble oy gai

u 6

ligh

or l

mess

anc

felv

Wo

ded

are

no

COL

Th

bef

bin

CSU

thi

pri

ma

Qu

oth

plic

W

the

that this Necessary Truth is the same with the

Divine Ideas; then Thirdly, that the Divine

λόγ . or Ideal World, is intimately united win and Presential to the Mind; then Fourthly, the we fee and understand all things in him, a that 'tis be that is our Inlightner; and thatlat ly, though he inlightens all Fundamentally and P. tentially, yet this Illumination is not reduced to Act, and made Effectual, but by the intervening of fome Condition on our parts, which is duly to confult and apply our felves to him. From these Premises the same Conclusion which w touched on before, necessarily and evident follows, that the Right and only Method of Es quiry after that Truth which is Perfective of the Understanding, is to consult the Divine xing. or Ideal World. For this is the Region of Truth and here are bid all the Treasures of Wisdom and This is that great and Universal 0. Knowledge. racle lodged in every Man's Breaft, whereof the Ancient Vrim and Thummim was an Expression Type or Emblem. This is Reason, this is Consider ence, this is Truth, this is that Light Within & Darkly Talked of, by some who have by their aukward, untoward, and Unprincipled way of the presenting it, discredited one of the Noble Theories in the World. But the thing in it fell rightly understood is true; and if any shall ye call it Quakerism, or Enthusiasm, I shall only make this reply at prefent, that 'tis fuch Que kerism as makes a good part of Saint John's Go spel, and of Saint Austin's Works. But to to turn, this, I fay, is that Divine Oracle which m all may, and must consult, if we would inrid our Minds with Truth, that Truth which is Perfective

Cala

ine

の事業の

to

ing uly

ith Er-

th

0,

th,

0.

the

in

(c)

eir

14-

left ielf

yet oly oa-

re-

ich

er.

ive

World.

fedive of the Understanding: And this is the true Method of being truly wise. And this is no other Method, than what is advised us by this Divine along. the Substantial Wisdom of God.

Blessed in the man that heareth me, watching daily at Prov. 2.34, my Gaes, waiting at the posts of my doors. And again, says the same Substantial Wisdom, Who so C. 9. 4- is simple, let him turn in hither. And again, I am the light of the world, he that follows me, or (as the Joh. 3.12. word more properly signifies) he that consorts or keeps Company with me, walketh not in darkmess. This therefore is Via Intelligence, the Way and Method of true Knowledge to apply our selves to the Divine $\lambda \delta \gamma \Theta$, to consult the Ideal

X.

Thus in general. If now it be further demanded how this is to be done; I answer, that there are three ways of doing it, and I can think of no more. The First is by Attention. The second is, by Purity of Heart and Life. And the Third is, by Prayer. Upon each of which I shall bestow few Remarks, such as may rather give hims than full entertainment to your thoughts, because I know your Ladyship loves to have something left to work out by your self in your own private Meditations. Which Consideration has made me all along use less Prolixty than the Quaintness and Weightiness of my Argument would otherwise justifie.

XI.

The First Method assigned, is Attention, or Application of Mind to the Intelligible World, the World of Truth, which Mr. Malebranche calls the Natural Prayer of the Soul to God for further

.

I

I

1

W

C

k

L

it

0

ti

t

fi

Di

R hi

PI

yo lo

10

in

ther Illumination. For indeed it is a filent M. drefs and Application of the Soul to the Kom tain of Light and Truthis 'vis an Intersopation of the Divine Oracle, the Eternal Wood of the and a patient and quiet waiting upon him for Answer; 'tis in one Word, a Vertual Mem Prayer, an act of Intellectual Devotion to the Father of Lights, and fuch as, if more expense utter'd and unfolded, bespeaks him in the Work of the Royal Supplicant, Give me Wildom the fitteth by they Throne. This is the fame with This ing or Specularing, which if intelligibly account for, will be found to be nothing else but the Conversion of the Mind to the Ideal World, Omniformity of the Divine Essence; which it is the First, so is it also the Directest and me Compendious Method of Science. For this is go directly to the Spring-bead, to the Lucid for tain of Good, 'tis to take hold of Essential Trul nakedly as it is in it felf (as a very Contemple tive Person expresses it) 'tis to fix the Eved the Mind upon the Intellectual Sun, upon him wh is Substantial Truth, and the Light of the Work Which must needs be the most ready way to a enlightned. For the more heedfully we atten to the Ideal World, the more we shall see an discover of it; and not only so, but also more clearly diffinguish what we do discover. For in Man that casts a short careless Glance upon the Galaxy, fees only a Confused Whiteness arising from the numerous mixture of little Splendon: But when the same Person fixes his Eye with steadiness and delay of Application, he beginst difcern fomthing more diffinctly, a new Starent and anon arises under his inspection, not diffevered

Tauler Serm. 3. Pasch.

nt Ad

f God,

Memi

to, th

Word

This

ounte

out the

rld. a

hich

d mot

is is to

I For

Tru

-lams

Eye of

m who

Nork!

to b

atten

cc 20

mor

or for

on th

arifing

adors:

WID

zinsto

ar ever

difo.

vered

vered before, and fill the longer sid harder he looks, the more he difcerns, till at length he has discovered as much as he can well errend to at once and has fatiated his Faculty with the Brightness and Multitude of Light, The Application is as Obvious, as the Figure is Pertinent and therefore I shall only remark this one thing more upon this part, that this was the Method of the first inventors of Ares and Sciences, who made their way into the Goalts of Learning by meer dies of Thinking, and further, that while is the very Method that has been used by the greatest Improvers of them ever fince, fuch as Bacons Boyles Descartes Galileus, Harvey Merfennus Diely, Malebranche, Poiret, and (whom I name with particular Honour and Reverence) our Excellent Friend Dr. More. All thefe meft be allowed, and I think are to be, great Improvers of Learning, and that 'twas by this Method they did iten And I dare Prophefie, that if everany extraordinary Advancement be for the future made in: the World twill be done by Thinking: we dame Ideas, which we may allex serimon Menfure to

This as to Thinking in General. But now as to the Order of Thinking, if your Lady ship can be supposed to need any Instruction about it, I can not recommend you to a better Tutor than your Friend M. Malebranche, in his Second Fant of his Sixth Book of Inquiry after Truth, where he purposely describes the Method of Thinking, which you may remember he reduces to these sew sollowing Rules: and made moistant max. A great a supposed to the second services and made and a supposed to the second services and services and services and services are supposed to the second services and services are supposed to the services are supposed to the services and services are supposed to the services are supposed

that from the matter in MIX all those things should

The first Law is, That Evidence be maintained in one Reasonings. From this Principle depends this

ed

be

fti

no

33

T

of

N

the

A

till

far

mi

dea

in 4

per,

Mi

ma

ftio

And

foll

but

con

der

in P

are.

this general Law concerning the Matter of or Studies, That we ought not to Reason but only of his things whereof we have clear Ideas, and by News sary Consequence, That we ought always to his with the most sample and easie things, and also a dwell long upon them, before we advance to the in quisition of things more Complex and Difficult.

Upon the same general Principle, depend the Laws concerning the manner whereby we are to Proceed in the Solution of Questions. The first of which Laws is this, That the state of the Question to be solved, is to be most distinctly Communed. Besides, the Ideas of the Terms ought to be distinct, that they be compared with one another, and that the Relations which are sought for, may be Known.

But when the Relations of things to one and ther cannot be Known by immediately Comparing them, then the Second Law is, that we fluid employ our thoughts to find out one or more middles, which we may use as a common Measure we know by their Help the Relations that are between those things! And withal he advises that we should study to have those Ideas clear and distinct, proportionably to the Accuracy and Numerousness of those Relations which we endeavour to deprehend.

supplier doloribes the IVX of Thinking, which

But when the Questions are Difficult, and sequire a long Examination, then the third Lawin that from the matter in band all those things should be removed whose examination is not necessary to the discovery of the sought for Truth. Because the Capacity

pacity of the Mind is not to be without reafon divided, but all its force is to be imployed about those things from which it may perceive Light. And all those things which can be removed, and which be removed, the Queflion remains intire; they are the things that do not belong to the Question.

XVII.

lä:

om

to

b

*

k

Ď.

di

tr

.

6

IX.

8-

.

310

When the Question is included within a few Terms, then the Fourth Rule is, That the matter of our Meditation is to be divided by parts, and those parts to be handled singly according to their Natural Order, by beginning with the more Simple, that is, with those which include sewest Relations. And that we should not pass on to the more Complex, till the more Simple be distinttly known, and rendered samiliar.

XVIII.

When by Meditation these things become Familiar to us, then the Fifth Rule is, That the I-dea of all these are to be Contracted, and disposed in the Imagination, or to be written down in Paper, that they may no longer sill the Capacity of the Mind. This Rule, though always useful, yet he makes it necessary only in the most disficult Questions, which require a great Capacity of Mind. And he says withal, that the use of this and the sollowing Rules, is not to be Accurately known but only in Algebra.

XIX.

When the Ideas of all things necessary to be considered, are clear, familiar, contract, and orderly digested in the Imagination, or expressed in Paper; then the Sixth Law is, That all shings are to be Compared or Collared according to the Laws

1

16

all

80

C

A

20

th

th

E

th

A

CO

ra

CH

ye

fo

per

fol

mi W

Li

fpe

d:

Sal

Th

åc

g la

Laws of Combination, alternately among one another it her by the fole Intuition of the Mind, or by the ation of the Immission of the Mind, or by the Calculation of the Pen, joyl with the Assention of the Mind and of the Imagination.

XX.

If none of all those Relations which result from all those Collations, be that which is sough after, then again from all those Relations those after, then again from all those Relations those at the eremoved which are of no use to the Solution of the Question. And the others are to be made Familiar, to be Contracted, and to be orderly disposed the Imagination, or expressed in Paper, and to compared with each other, according to the Lami Combination. And then we are to see whether a Compound Relation which is sought for by any one all those Compound Relations which result from the new Comparisons.

XXI.

If none of those found Relations include the Solution of the Question, then again from all the Relations, the anferviceable are to be cast away, a other are to be made familiar, &c. And by pro ceeding in this manner, the Truth or Related fought for, be it never to Complex, will at he be found, provided we are able fufficiently w extend the Capacity of our Mind, by Control ing Ideas, and that in all our Operations we's ways attend to the End and Scope which is tob arrived at. For in every flep of this Intellectual Progress, we ought to have our Eye perpetual fixed upon the State of the Question. To a which he adds one Caution more, that we should beware lest we should fit down Contented with a falk

sfalse Light or Appearance, and so be deceived. And that therefore our Collations in order to the said that therefore our Collations in order to the said that therefore our Collations in order to the said that the Truth we look after, be so often repeated, till we can no longer withhold our assent without being secretly chid and reprehended by a certain Master Answering from within to our Questions, that is, to our Labour, Application of Mind, and Desire of Heart. By which Master within this admirable Theorist can mean nothing else but the Divine \$1900, or Ideal World, that Universal Oracle of Mankind, and of all

the Intelligent Creation.

-

1

H

ok

-

1

beje

th

平安

10

12

ið.

b

是生學包

lfe

This is a short View of those Laws which the Excellent M. Malebranche has given concerning the Method of Thinking. And I believe if an Angel had been ingaged in the undertaking, he could not have given Better. They are all Natural, Clear, Distinct, Easie, and depending; few enough not to burthen or distrast the Mind, and yet many enough to inform it. And therefore I shall not be guilty of so much Presumption and Impersinence as to prescribe any other, thinking it sufficient to consider and practise these. And so much for the first way of Consulting the Ideal World, which is by Thinking.

XXIII.

The fecond way is by Purity of Heart and Life. This I confess has a more immediate and special influence upon the Knowledge of Spiritulated and Moral Truths, according to that of our Saviour, If any man will do his will, he shall know Joh.7.17. of the Dostrin, &c. and that of his Prime Apostle, The Animal man perceiveth not the things of God, &c. But its Efficacy is not confined here, but has larger Sphere of activity, and serves to the difference of activity, and serves to the difference of activity.

So

thi

TI

fier

W

GL

rif

So

En

Te

efr

rit

the

me

by

par

tai

tru

for

lene

this

fiot

of

tha

bri

Ray

mo

m (

1

rific

Con

covery even of all Ideal or Necessary Truth. To as Viciousness not only proceeds from Ignorance but also causes it, by beforting and clouding the Understanding; so Purity of Heart and Life m only proceeds from Light and Knowledge, he also produces it, and helps the Soul to see mon Clearly and Distinctly. Hence the Pythagorid and Platonick nadagrini, the Method of Paris cation and Purgation fo much talk'd of by Pr. phyry, Iamblichus, Plotinus, and particularly by Hierocles in his Introduction to his Noble Conment, where he has these Words, As a blear in cannot behold a very bright Object till it be Purget a Soul not yet Clarified and refined by Vertue um qualified to gaze upon the Beauty of Truth, An the same Method is no less recommended in Son

wisd. 4. pture, Wisdom will not enter into a Polluted Spiri. Dan. 12. says the Wise Man. And says the Angel to De 10. niel, Many shall be Purisied and made white, and none of the wicked shall under stand, but the wife shall under stand,

Pfal. 119. understand. And fays the Pfalmist, I am mile than the Aged, because I keep thy Commandmen. And to this purpose also is that of our Lord tow

Joh. 8. 12. understood, He that follows me, that is, that live after my Example, Walketh not in Darkness. The Purity of his Heart will be a Light to his lidderstanding.

XXIV.

But to represent this more distinctly, then are two ways whereby Purity of Heart serves to the acquirement of Knowledge. By Natural Efficacy, and hy the Divine Grace and Benedition And First, It does it by Natural Efficacy, either by clarifying the medium, or by affifting the security of the former I conceive and represent after this manner.

manner. I Suppose in the first place that the Soul fees through a Medium: Secondly, That this Medium is out Terrestrial Vehicle : Thirdly. That the Grofness of this Medium binders the Vifion of the Soul. All which I ground upon those Words of the Apostle, Now we fee through a 1 Cor. 13.

For one is the control of the

Per.

by

001-

Es di

100

Circin Da and Mariat mar ba

No.

ln-

et

The ist

Glass, darkly. XXV.

This Supposed, it follows that what soever clarifies this Medium does also help the Vision of the Soul. And this Purity does, especially that more Eminent part of it, which confifts in Chaffity and Temperance. For first, It composes the Paffions, especially that of Lust, by that the Animal Spirin, and by that the Blood. For the Motion of the Passions Ferments the Spirits, and the Fermentation of the Spirits agitates the Blood, and by agitation raises all the feculent and droffle parts of it; and makes it like a troubled Fountain thick and muddy. And this I take to be one true reason why Men in any Passion can't reason foclearly as when they are in more quiet and filence of Spirit. But now by Purity of Heart all this disturbance is allay'd and compos'd, the Paffions are becalm'd, the Spirits fix'd, the Fountain of the Blood clears up, and so all the inner part of that Glass the Apostle speaks of, becomes more bright and pellucid, more apt to transmit the Rays of the Ideal Light, and consequently we see more clearly through it. Tho it be still but Darkly in comparison of what we shall do hereafter.

XXVI.

But this is not all; This Purity does also Clarife the outward part of the Glass too. First, By Consequence, because the finer the Spirits and Blood

Veil alfo. Then more directly, because Temper.

I

1

1

S

t

0

Mi ti

01

S

b

is

m

P

A

h

L

m

T

be

hi

fee

re

th

K

an

ance does refine and subtilize the Texture of the Body diminishes from its Bulk and Groffness and unloads the Soul of a good part of that Burthe which not only presses down her Aspirations, he also hinders her Sight: And besides, it refines the inner part too, by bringing in fresh Supplies of fine Spirits. This was that Temperance which Dan. 1.15, made the Faces of Daniel, Hananiah, Milhaelan Azariah, look Clear and Fair, and which make them Wife too, gave a quick and delicate Airp their Countenances, and let in the Light of Ideal World upon their Souls. This was the Philosophical Temperance of the Pythagorea which (to use the Words of Dr. More Commes ing upon that Place) is the Mother of that Will which makes the Face to shine, and nourishes the la ciform Vehicle of the Soul.

XXVII.

And as this Purity does Clarifie the Median fo does it also Assist the Faculty. And this does by the same general way whereby it clarifies the Medium, that is, by composing the Passis For the Passis not only trouble and thickents Medium (as was noted and explain'd before) also divide and disperse the Faculty. For the more things a man desires, the more things he will be engaged to think upon; and the more things he thinks upon at once, the more languid and consist will his Conception be. But now this Purity of composing the Passis contracts the Desires and by contracting the Desires, it contracts also by consequence the Thoughts, and by this the Man is reduced to a greater Vnity, Simplicity, and

Ward

per-

and

the.

bu

s the

es a

hid

,and

irb

ft

th

ta

len-

(h

L

im.

is it

ions the

) bu

non || k

かん

y by fires, also the and

Recollection of Mind; and having but few Thoughts to divide him, he is the better enabled to think clearly and distinctly.

XXVIII.

And thus have I given a clear and diftinct Account how Purity of Heart ferves to the Acquirement of Knowledge by a Natural Efficacy. This it does also Secondly, by the Divine Grace and Benediction. Purity of Heart is that Heavenly Lure which invites not only the Holy Spirit, but also the Divine x629, to come and dwell in the Soul, and to enrich it with his Ideal Communications. This we may be affured of from his own mouth, He that loveth me, shall be loved of Joh. 14.2. my Father, and I will love him, and manifest my self to him. And again, If a man love me, my Father Ver. 23. will love bim, and we will come unto him, and make our abode with him. The pure, chafte and good Soul shall not only be loved by the Divine 2629, but be also of his Council and Privacy. For this is the Spouse of the Word Eternal, who first assumed Innocent Nature, and then assumes innocent Persons, the first by a Natural, the second by a Myfick Union. This is the Beloved Disciple who has the priviledge to lean upon the Bosom of his Lord, and to be admitted to his most secret Communications. And therefore fays the Pfalmist. The secret of the Lord is with them that fear him, and Plal. 25.13 he will shew them his Covenant, And says our Lord himself, Blessed are the Pure in Heart, for they shall fee God. And concerning the Four Children that refused to defile themselves with the Portion of the Kings Meat, it is faid, that God gave them Dan. 1.17. Knowledge, and Skill in all Learning and Wildom; and that Daniel had understanding in all Visions and

Dreams.

Dreams. For they were not only Pure and Temperate, but Religiously so, in obedience to the Law of their God, the God of Israel. Which is faid God rewarded with Knowledge and Skill in at Learning and Wisdom in them als, but in Daniel peculiarly, with a faculty of interpreting Anigmains Dreams and Visions; as the Learned Dr. More observes in his excellent Comment upon that place

Í

I

1

i

to p

t

n

fe

fe

C

Point

Pag. 5. Rerves in his excellent comment upon that pag.

XXIX.

The third and last way of confusting the idea

World is by Prayer. This is a method white Jam. 1. 5. the Scripture also advises us to: If any of yould wisdom, let him ask of God, that giveth to all mention berally, and upbraideth not, and it shall be given him. And this we know was the method where thing. 3.9 by the Wisest of Men attain'd his unparalless Wisdom. For as Wisdom was his Choice, so the method of his seeking and gaining, it was by Prayer. And 'tis further observable that he addressed himself to the Divine was for or down.

method of his feeking and gaining, it was by Prayer. And 'tis further observable that he addressed himself to the Divine $\lambda \circ \gamma \otimes \gamma$, or Idal World in particular, as you may see in that seemn Prayer of his recorded in the Book of Widom, give me Wisdom that setteth by thy Throne, &t Which I commend to your Ladyship's perusal a leisure.

XXX.

And thus (Madam) have I Defined and by Scripture and Reason Proved, what is the Right Mathed of prosecuting that Truth which is perfective of the Understanding. This in general have shown to consist in Consulting the Idal World; the manner of doing which I have also shown to be, First, by Thinking; the Order of which is also defined. Secondly, by Purity of Heart and Life; and Lastly by Prayer. This take

cake to be Via Intelligentia, the Way and Method of Wisdom, whose Honse I think is New Built, the not upon Seven, yet upon Three Substantial Pilars, and I should be glad if any one would be so kind as to show me the Weakness of the Ground upon which they stand.

XXXI.

riel

ica

ob.

ICE,

id

46

Va

6

*

ío.

kc

11

160

d

SI

ke

And now (Madam) I think I need not use ma-Words to flew, that as Learning is generally placed in fuch things as are not perfective of the understanding, so that what is so is generally profecited by undue Methods, For tis but to compare the Methods in common afe with that which we have premised and demonstrated, and you will immediately perceive the falfeness and irregularity of them. For First, whereas the First and general Method of Wildom and Knowledge. is by confulting the Divine xipo, or Ideal World, the World of Light, that Light which inlightens every Man that comes into this World, the generality of Students don't fo much as Dream of this, nor make any fuch Application, but apply themselves altogether to the Estypal World, to the World of Darkness and Obscurny, I call it the World of Darkness and Obscerity, for 'tis moft certain that this material World is not in it self either Visible or Intelligible, nor can any way act upon our Minds, much less can it teach or inform them. Body can never enlighten Spirit. It cannot represent it felf to it, much less can it reprefent other things. For not being intimately united to the Mind; what soever Representation it hall be supposed to make, must be transacted by Ideas. But now Corporeal Ideas can never represent Intellectual Objects, nor can Bodies be fup-

i

1

1

ĺ

I

I

t

t

F

i

1

h

I

i

ti

tı

1

ti

Supposed to fend forth any that are Incorporal Whatever comes from Body must be of a Mater al Nature, and what is fo, can be no apt Infirm ment to Illuminate the Mind. This Material World therefore (notwithstanding all that a Lan Author has pleaded for our receiving our Idea from our Senses) may be very truly and properly call'd the World of Darkness, as having no Light in it, nor being capable of producing any. The Ideal World is the true and only World of Light and is therefore with a particular Emphasis call'd. The Intelligible World. As for the other, it is all throughout Darkness and Obscurity; and the God has placed a Sensible Light in it, or rather fomething that may be an occasional Cause of fuch a Sensation, yet as to any purpose of Intellectual Illumination, it is still a blind confued Chaos, and Darkness does still sit upon the Face of the Deep. And yet to this dark obscure World, which in it felf is every whit as unintel ligible as a Non Ens, do Men generally apply themselves for Light and Knowledge, without having any recourse to the true World of Light, the Ideal World: So verifying that complaint of God by the Prophet, My People have forfales me the Fountain of Living Waters, and have digg to themselves broken Cisterns, that will hold no Watt. XXXII.

Then again, whereas another more Particular Method is by Attention and Thinking, this is generally so little regarded, that no fort of Manthink so little for the most part as they that are ingaged in the Profest Study of Learning and Knowledge. This they don't reckon as any part of Study, nor as any Progress in the Stage of Learning.

at: car

ght

h,

all

her

di

6

203

m

d

dy out

ht,

int

-

CT.

ar

CI

rt of

g,

Learning, but only as a Graver way of being Idle. 'Tis then only they Study, when they are hanging their Heads over an Old Musty Folio, and are Making huge Common-places, and stuffing their memories with Grey Sentences, and Venerable Sayings: And thus they spend their Time and their Ink, and having Scambled through a company of Books (most of which perhaps were Written to as little purpose, as they are Read) they think themselves Learned Men, and the World is too often their Opinion, tho they have not made themselves Masters of any Sense or Notion, nor are able to demonstrate one single Truth upon solid Principles, and in a Consequential Process.

XXXIII.

And this is the Method not only of those who Misplace Learning, but also of the most of those that place it aright. For even those that place it in Ideal Truths, do not generally Think for it, but Read for it; feek it not in their Souls, but in Books. And this methinks I can never sufficiently Wonder at. Indeed as for those that place Learning not in being able to frame Clear and Distinct Conceptions of ones Own, but in Knowing the Opinions of Others, 'tis no wonder that they take this Method; for tho it be Not a Means to the End they should propose, yet 'tis a Means to the End which they do propose. But the wonder is, how those that place Learning as they should, in the Clear Conception of Ideal Truths, should think to find this meerly by tumbling over Books.

1

i

1

i

f

t

i

H

i

0

V

g

ti

ti

K

a

C

a

u

m

th

th

TA

OI

to

Co

af

CU

I deny not but that Reading is One way of Knowing (otherwise I should not be at the Pain to write this to your Ladyship) but then 'tison, ly by Accident that it is fo, as it gives hints occasions for Thinking. And therefore Think is the only thing to be regarded even in Read (for Reading as fuch is Nothing) and then a Read to most purpose, when we are there most enabled to Think, So that Thinking is the End of Reading, as Understanding is the End of Thinking. We ought therefore to Read only order to Thinking. And yet this Method is nerally fo much inverted, that the main ftresi Nothing but Read, Read, laid upon Reading. long as Eyes and Spectacles will hold, not regard ing whether the Head be Clear, fo that it be ful XXXV.

As to the particular Order in Thinking poposed by M. Malebranche, I refer your Land to the fame Excellent Author, to fhew you ha much it is transgreffed. Which he does at large and to Wonderful Satisfaction, shewing firsting the School-Philosophers do not observe that Gen. ral Law concerning the matter of findy, which the cause of a great many Errors in their Physi-Then shewing that the second part of the General Law is not observed by the Common Philosophers, and what extraordinary at vances Cartefins made in Learning by the exact observation of it. Then he proceeds, to explain the Principles of Aristotle's Philosophy, wherek fhews that he never observed the fecond Branch of the General Law, and reflects upon those Errors of his Pilosophy occasioned by his not doing fo. But for a fuller account in these things I refer

lond is ProvidVXXX not find that the ge-

airs

and

東の町は

the the

of

yè

6

1,8

NO.

tha

enehis

to

OM-

ad.

ract lain

ele

nch

Er-

gaic

fo

Then again, whereas Purity of Heart and Life is mother Method of arriving to the Light and Knowledge of Ideal Truth, your Lady hip cannot but know, and 'cis a fad as well as a true Obferration, that this is not only neglected among that part of Mankind that fit down contentedly in Ignorance, and afpire to no greater stock of Knowledge than what they brought with them into the World, but also among the generality of those few that addict themselves to the Cultivation and Improvement of their Minds. Nav thefe in proportion to their Number feem more guilty of this neglect than the other, and nothing to common as to fee Men of Curious and Inquisitive Tempers, and of famed Learning, who yet are very Corrupt in the Moral state of their Minds, and live very ill Lives. Whence some have taken occasion to represent Learning, as an Enemy to Religion, and have cry'd up Ignorance as the Mother of Devotion. And tho the Conclusion of these Men be notoriously weak and absurd, yet it must be confest that the Ground upon which they build it is too true, Men famed for Learning, are oftentimes as infamous for Living; and many that study hard to furnish their Heads, are yet very negligent in purifying their Hearts, not confidering that there is a Moral, as well as a Natural Communication between one and the other, and that they are concern'd to be pure in Heart and Life, not only upon the Common Account, in order to a happy state hereafter, but also in pursuance of their own particular way and end here. XXXVII.

(220) XXXVII.

Then again Lastly, Whereas another Method of Wisdom is Prayer ; I do not find that the ge. nerality of Students do at all apply themselve to this Method. Pray indeed ('tis to be hoped) they do for other things, which they think is more out of their reach; but as for Learning and Knowledge, they think they can compass this well enough by their own proper Industry, and the help of good Books, without being beholde to the affiftance of Heaven: And this, tho the do place Learning in the knowledge of Necessan Which procedure of theirs I cannot n folve into any other Principle, (I mean ash those that act by any) but the meer want of knowing or confidering that this Necessary Truth is really the same with God himself. For did they attentively consider. That God is Trut, and that so much as they possess of Truth, in much they have of God, 'tis not to be imagined they should be so indifferent in using Prayer, or any of the other preceding Methods of Confuling God for his own Light.

The End of the Second Reflection.

gc.

ed)

and this

and

den

hey fan

572 13 to

td

arv

For

Mtb.

ned

or alt-

The

in General.

The Third Reflection.

Wherein the General Conduct of Human Life is taxed with a too importunate, and overearnest Pursuit after Knowledge in Geneval.

Having passed over the two first Stages of the Intellectual Conduct of Human Life, that of the End, and that of the Means; and resected upon the Irregularities of each, by shewing how both are generally mistaken and misplaced; I am now arrived to the Third and Last, which consists not in the choice of the Object, or of the Method to it (that belonging to the two former) but in the Degree of Affection wherewith they are prosecuted. Which part of our Intellectual Conduct, as it is equally Capable of being faulty, so I shall here make it my business to shew that it is actually as faulty and irregular, if not more than either of the two former. And the fault that I tax it with, is, A too importunate, and over-earnest pursuit after Knowledge

II.

The Charge of this Reflection is of a larger compass and extent than either of the two Preceding; those being directed against such as either misplace the Object, or else mistake the Method of Learning and Knowledge; but this takes in both together, and others also not concerned

al

U!

al

G

148

ni

da

fr

ca

th

ÇÒ

ly

its

CO

Ma

mu

all

get

Art

eri

fol

to.

that

ing,

IN

askt

in either of the former. For not only those that crit in the placing of Learning, or in the method to it, but also those who are kight in both, come under the Censure of the present Resection, they all agree in this, in being too importunate and vehement in the Pursuit of Knowledge.

Now in the making out the Truth of this Charge, we must here also, according to the Method observed in the two former Reflections. First lay down a common measure of proceeding. by stating the due Bounds of our present Asted on to, and fearch after Knowledge: Or, How far it becomes Man to imploy himself in the Profecution of Learning and Knowledge? The due stating of which Question, will be a certain direction to us in the Determination of this Whether our general Inquest after Knowledgelt immoderate or no. Now for the Determination of the first, it will be necessary to draw up the true State or Hypothelis of Man, according to the Posture wherein he now stands. shall do distinctly in these following Considers tions.

IV. main ore

First I consider, that the utmost Pitch of Knowledge Man by his utmost Endeavours can arrive to in this World is very inconsiderable

God indeed has given us Reason enough to distinguish us from the Bruce part of the Creation, and we may improve it so far as to distinguish our selves from One another, and so one Man may deserve to be call'd Learned and Knowing in comparison of another that is either Naturally more ignorant, or more unimproved; but ab-

od

De

on,

ate

his

he

os,

ig.

NC

åt

he

is,

be

00

k

to

H

2

01

13:

of

28

le.

to

ti-

0.

ne

ı.

a m

b.

absolutely speaking, the most that any or all of us either know, or can know, here, is of little or no Consideration. What we know of God is but little, for as the Apostle fays, We fee through a Glass, darkly : What we know of our selves perhaps is lefs, and what we know of the World about us is not much. We have feen but a few of Ecclef. 43. God's works, as the Wife Man observes, and we understand yet fewer. There are almost an infinite number of things which we never fo much as thought of, and of most things we conceive very darkly and uncertainly, and there is not one thing from the greatest to the least, which we do or can understand thoroughly. Those that apply their whole study to any one thing, can never come to the End of that one thing ; for not only every Science, but every particular of it has its unmeasurable depths and recesses; and 'cis confessed by a great Inquirer into the Nature of Amimony (as 'tis related by the Honourable Mr. Boyle) that 'tis impossible for one man to understand Nat Hift. throughly that one fingle Mineral only. And if ap. 13. Man cannot understand All of so little, how little must be understand of All! Suppose further, that all the Knowledge of the Learned were put together, twould weigh but Light; for what one Art or Science is there that is brought to any tolerable Perfection? And if the Common Stock be folittle, how small a Pittance is it that must fall to every particular Man's share! And where is that Man, who after all his Poring and Studying, isable to answer all the Questions, I will not Job 38. by which God put to Job, but which may be

askt him by the nex Idiot he meets?

V.

'Twere an endless undertaking to represent a large the little that we know, or are capable of knowing. Nor do I design to turn a second & grippa, and entertain your Ladyship with a lone Harangue about the Vanity of Humane Sciences: only give me leave to touch upon two notorion instances of our Ignorance, and in that very Science which is pretended to be at the very Vo. rical Point of Improvement, 'Tis concerning the Maximum and the Minimum Naturale, the Greatest and the Least thing in Nature. As tothe first, the Question is, Whether the Extensions the Universe be Finite or Infinite? If you for 'tis Politively Infinite, besides the difficulty of conceiving how any thing can be so extended 'twill follow, that God himself cannot add the least further Dimension to it. If you say in Finite, suppose your felf in the utmost extremities of it, and try whether it be possible for you to dif-imagin further Extension. Then as tothe Second, the Question is, whether every, ever the least assignable Part of Matter, be infinitely Divisible or no? If you say Yes, then 'twill me avoidably follow, that the least Atom will have as many Parts as the whole World. If you in no, then you must say that Matter may be Div ded fo long, till at last you come to a Part that does not contain more other Parts; if fo, that enquire, has this uncontaining Part Figure, or hask not? If not, then 'tis Infinite, Figure being only the Termination of Quantity. But if it has then it has more other Parts above, below and of each side, and consequently may again be dire ded, contrary to what you supposed. So that you

1

1

C

20

fer

tó

in

In

fes

00

it at

e of

A

long

icts;

ions

very

Va.

ning

the

the

nof

far

1 0

ici,

the

'tis

mj.

you

the

tely

10-

376

fay

W.

hat

nl

SK

125,

Vi.

100

von fee here are Deferate Difficulties on both fides fay what you will you are equally baffled : and yet cis most certain that one only can be true they being two opposite parts of a Contradiction, but which is fo, is beyond the Capacity of Human Understanding to determin. cornece. I that I.IMs the chiefelt thing we

The like Difficulties we meet with, when we inquire concerning Time, whether it be Infinitely dirifible, or only into Moments ? And fo again in the Business of Motion, whether there be any fuch thing as the Extream Degree of Swiftness and Slowness, or no? Neither of which can be defined without manifest Absurdity. But 'tis superfluous, as well as endless, to display the particulars of our Ignorance, the indeed when all Accompts are cast up, that will be found to be our best Knowledge. This only in General, our Life is fo fhort, our Progress in Learning so flow, and Learning in it felf folong and tedious, and what we do or can know fo very little, that the Patrons of Scepticism had much more reason to conclude from the Disability of our Faculties, and the slightness of our Attainments, than from the uncertainty and instability of Truth, that there is no Knowldge.

VII.

weign. Secondly, I consider, that as we can here know but Little, so even that very little which we do, ferves more to our Trouble and Disquiet, than to our Pleasure and Satisfaction. And here comes in that experimental Reflection of the Wife Man, Eclef. 1.18. In much Wisdom is much Grief, and be that increales Knowledge, increases Sorrow. This Proposition is not true, Absolutely consider'd, Knowledge

th

akhhhh

He

ly

iñ

V

C

in

DC

ri.

20

fel

21

is

00

inc La

Col. 3. 10. being the Perfection of Human Nature, the Imp of God, and the Principal Ingredient of our ture Happiness but only with relation to the in fent State and Posture of Man. And in thism. fpect it is abundantly true, First, Because to more we know, the more we shall discover our Ignorance, (that being the chiefest thing me learn by our study) which we shall find to bed an infinitely larger Sphere than our Knowledge and confequenty shall be more troubled for whi we do not know, than pleas'd with what we Secondly, Because the Prospect of what yet for ther remains to be known will inflame our Thin after it. For Wifdom fays of her felf, They the Eat of me shall yet be Hungry, and they that Dri of me shall yet be Thirsty. Which tho it be a great Commendation of Wildom, and an Argund of her inexhaustible Excellence, yet 'tis within great Instrument of Punishment to those who can attain to little of it, as cannot faisfie the Thirst which it has inflamed. Thirdly, Because the more a Man improves his thinking Faculty the more apt he will be to be difgusted and of fended with the follies of Society; as the mod delicate Touch is the foonest put to Pain. Then being a thousand Impertinences that will strike very disagreeably upon a discerning Mind, which won't so much as affect a groffer Understanding VIII

But the Principal Ground of this Assertion, and which, did not the quickness of your Ladyship's Apprehension obligeme to Brevity, I could be Voluminous upon, is this: The most certain that Man is now placed in the Midst of Vanits and unsatisfying Objects, and that his True Good

316

th

24

g We

e of

des Name

fu.

hirt

di

rid

TCE

BCI

nala

who that

auk

dry,

of

not

nent

rike

hich

ing.

ios,

wid sin

tics

rpe

ood

Good is not within his reach, and confequently whatever Pleasure he takes in those things that s is purely owing to his Ignorance of their Vani-Well, if fo, then Va Sapienti, Wo be to the Wile Man. This is not a place to be Wife in. There is nothing here Solid enough to endure The Wife Man cannot find the Test of Wildom. a Paradice here, tho the Fool can, The more he knows, the more he discovers the Vanity of all Pretended Enjoyments; and the more he does this, the more he streightens and retrenches his Delights; and the more he does this, the more He retires and withdraws himself from all Worldly Divertion, and this fets him the more a Thinking and Muling ; and this again presents to his Mind a fresh and more lively Conviction of the Worlds Vanity; and this makes him again retrench his Delights, and fo on in this returning Circle, till at length he finds nothing but his bare Wildom to delight in. And a little more Thinking makes him fee the Vanity of that too. And now all's gone. To dispatch this part in one word, this is the Fruit of being Wife, to be able totale nothing that's Present, nor to flatter ones felf with the Profpect of what is to come, which is affate of horrible Privation and Sterility. This is the thorough Wife Man's Lot, and every advance in Wisdom is a step towards this Condition. So true is it, that he who increases Wisdom, increases Sorrow, while in the mean time the Fool Laughs and is Merry.

X.

Thirdly, I consider, that if our Knowledge could yield us more Satisfaction than it occasions Trouble, yet our Life is so short, and so incumber'd,

21

はないない

it fil Di a

2日ではなける 日本の日の日

Tr

Fo D

otl

Di

wh

and

cre

acc

al !

that we can make but little of the enjoyment; fo little, that 'tis not answerable to the meer I bour we undergo in acquiring it. All the Mon. ing of our days is spent in the Preliminarity Learning, in Learning Words and Terms of Am wherein there is nothing but toil and drudger and before we can tafte any of the Fruits of the Tree of Knowledge. before we can relish what Intellectual and Rational in it, our Sun is got into the Meridian, and then it presently begins to decline, and our Learning with it; our Light, or Strength, and our Time make hafte to confume nothing increases now but the Shadows, that's our Ignorance and Darkness of Mind; and while we consider and look about us, the Sun Sets, and all is concluded in the Darkness and Shadowd Death. But oftentimes the Sun is intercepted by a Cloud long before it Sets, and we live backward again, grow weak and childish, filly and forgeful, and unlearn faster than we learnt; or if it chance to shine bright to the last, then we improve too much, and grow too wife for our felves, and reject the greatest part of what we learnt before, as idle and infignificant. So that we are under Necessity of unlearning in a fhort time most of what we have so dearly learnt, either thr'o forgefulness, or improvement of Judoment.

Fourthly, I consider, that there is no Necessary of our being so wonderfully Learned and Knowing here. 'Tis neither Necessary, as injoyn'd by God, nor as a Means to any considerable End. We can be Good, and we can be Happy without it. And as to the Interest of Communities and Polick Societies, 'tis Civil Prudence and Honesh,

351

る。事行の

出これには

s to on the his

and

vá

by

ard

getf it

210

and

ort,

er 1

t of

gei-

17

My OW-

by ind.

tit.

fy.

and

and not Learning, which makes them Happy. Rome for the first five hundred years was without any Figure or Character for Learning, and yet it Flourish'd in all that time, and was a Pattern to the rest of the World both of Vertue and Vafor. And lest any advantage in our after-State mould be alledged for its Necessity, this makes it more unnecessary than any Consideration be-For tho we are never fo unlearned now, provided we know enough to do our Duty, and live well, we shall in a short time arrive to such a Degree of Knowledge as is requifite to our Suoream Perfection, to which our Present Learning cannot add, and from which our Present Ignorance will not Diminish. I do not fay this will be immediately upon our discharge from the Body, there being some reasonable Controversie about that, (which would be too great a Digression at present to pursue) tho 'tis most certain that even then there must needs be great inlargements of Undeflanding: However 'tis most unquestionable that this our Intellectual Accomplishment can be no further off than our enjoyment of the Beatifick Vifion. We shall then commence instantaneously Wileand Learned, and be fully possess'd of the Tree of Knowledge, as well as of the Tree of Life. For then that Glass through which we now fee Darkly, shall be laid aside, and there shall be no other but the Speculum Deitaris, the Glass of the Divinity, which is no other than the Ideal World, which shall be now more intimately united to us. and more clearly display'd before us. And tho even now there shall be Degrees of Knowledge, according to the various Parcicipation of the Ideal Light; yet the variety of this Dispensation thall.

出見古父

Pas

ti

of

01

M

R

1

to for

à

20

-

ti

al

.

典世

shall not not proceed by the degree of our Know. ledge in this Life, but by some other Measure. For,

Fifthly, I consider, that the there is no neces fity of our being fo very Learned and Know vet there is an Absolute Necessity of our being Good and Vertuous. This is Necessary both war as Commanded by God, and as a Means room Final Perfection. And belides, tis necellary there being no other opportunity for it. don't know here, we may know hereafter, shall infallibly do so if we are but Good here he if we be not good here, we shall neither be 6. Happy, nor Knowing, hereafter. The Main O. portunity for Knowledge is after this Life, the only opportunity for being good is Now. An if we take care to improve this, we are fufficing ly fecure of the other, and of whatever ele p pertains to the Perfection of our Natures, but if this be neglected, all is loft. This therem is indispensably necessary, and tis the only the that is for and 'tis necessary Now, necessary w only to our Happiness in General, but the to that of our Intelledual Part in Particula For,

XII.

Sixthly, And Lastly, I consider, that thus said the Case between God and Man. First, Mana supposed to be made in a state of Innocencean Perfection, in perfect Favour and Communication with God, his true Good, and in a Capacity to continue. From this Excellent state he is supposed to Fall, and by his Fall so to disable binds that he cannot by his own strength Repent and

livewell, and fo to provoke God, that the he could and did Repent, yet he would not be Pardon'd and Accepted, without Satisfaction made to Divine Inhice. This Satisfaction Man is sopposed not shlero make, nor any other Cheative for him. Wherenpon God in great Mercy and Pity is fupmoled to ordant /a Mediator, his own Some God and Man, between himfelf and his Lapild Cheattere. bid by the Sacrifice of himfelf fould Effect tidothings, answerable to the double Necessity of Man, first make Repentance available; which orbervile would not have been fo yand fecondly Merit Grace for himp that he might be able to Recent And this is what we are to understand be the Reftoration or Redemption of Man, which the fac is Universal and Inconditionate. Hottevie

O CHI

ioelf XIII.

But still notwithstanding all that this Mediawinth done for him, Man is supposed only so for reflored, as to be put in a Pardonable and Reconcilable State of for as for our being attently mit immediately reconciled by the Death of Christ, the a filly, fond, Amihomian conceit, and no tay confistent with the Great Mystery of Godlia seff) I fay Man is vet only in a Capacity or Pollibility of Pardon and Reconciliation, which is tien, and then only reduced to act, when he actually performs the Conditions of Reconciliation; when he Believes, Repents, and leads algood hife, with which he may, and without which he And soo be Pardon'd and Saved, noowithstanding that Christ has Do'd for him. The Dellan of whose Death was not to make a good! Life undeoffery, bot only to render it Efficacious and Availa the, not to procure a Privilege of being faved 0 4 without

'n

S

h

h

b

2

f

d

B. B. ..

t

b

1 İ

E

2

(

D n

ti

without it (as fome fancy) but that we might best ved within If this Qualification be wanting in shall be so far from being any thing advanced from the Redemption purchas'd by our Media tor, that we shall be Accountable for its tout great aggravation both of our Guilt and Milen It therefore highly concerns Man to improve with all diligence this fort and only opportun ty of making his Great Fortune, to adorn his Min with all Moral and Religious Perfections, andis Life with all good Actions, fince with think thay be Happy in all his Capacities, and within it he shall not only fall into a state of unutien ble Mifery, but be also accountable for the Pos bility he had of escaping it for neglecting fo gre Salvation, fo great an Opportunity of being is ved.

at-fell sotwithflan.VIX all that this These things being premised concerning the present Hypothesis, or state of Man, First, the he can there know but very little. Secondly, the even that little Knowledge which he can attin to, ferves more to his Trouble than Satisfaction and fo is not only Vanity, but also Vexations Spirit. Thirdly, that supposing it as Pleasant as may be, yet fuch is the shortness and incombrance of his Life, that the enjoyment of it's not answerable to the Labour of acquiring it Fourthly, That there is no Necessity of facht deal of Learning and Knowledge, either as to this World, or to the flext, and that e're long, he shall have his fill of Knowledge in the Beatifich Vision of the Ideal World, one Glance wherest shall instruct him more, than an Eternal poring upon all the Books in this, and undiffinguish the greatest thousan

greatest Dollbe from the most ignorant Peasant. lifthly. That there is an Abfolute Necessity of his being Good and Werrnows, this being the condition not only of his Happinels in general, but also of the accomplishment of his Understanding in particular: And that Now is the only opporthinty for it. o Sixthly and Laftly, That the Attainment of Happiness and Intellectual Perfection upon this Condition was the Purchase of his Saviours Death, who has also Merited Grace for his assistance in the Persormance of it. Which if he neglect, sheathail not only miss of Happiness, but be also answerable for fo Great, and fo Dear an opportunity of gaining it; From thefe Premis fes will, I think, follow with no less than Mademaical Evidence : Ann or rioning na end en vino

di

that that thin ton,

int

omt is

it

ht

to

ng, fick eof

ing the

eft

the Au Alic Calls the TreVX which is after Godfiels.

First, that Learning and Knowledge is not the thing for which God design'd Man in this Station nor consequently the End or Reason of his bestowing upon him those Intellectual and Rational Powers which he has. For had this been the End and Design of God, he would have made it more Possible for him, and withal more his Interest and Concern to attain it.

Secondly, twill follow that the End for which God intended Man here, and the Reason why he made him a Rational Creature, was that he mightlive vertnously and well; so serve him here, that he might be rewarded with Happines and profest Knowledge hereaster; having furnish'd him with Intellectual Abilities sufficient for this, tho not for the other. Thirdly and Lastly, 'twill follow, that the principal care and concern of Man both because of his awn Interest, and out of

compliance with the Deficus of God ought tole to Live a good and regular Life, to accompli the Moral Part of his Nature, to fubdue his Pak ons, to rectifie his Love, to fludy Purity of Hem and Life & imone word, to perfett Helinefs in the fear of God, and (which is what we have been higherto enquiring after) that he ought to be himself in the Stody of Learning and Knowledge no further than as is conducive to the lovered Griems Dea in who nes alsetted bac wingles to heaffiltance in the Per HY ante of it. Which if

This therefore is the Measure to be observed our profecution of Learning and Knowledge W are to Soudy only that we may be Good, and on featently ought to profeste fuch Knowledge only as has an aptness to make us for the whin the Apostle calls the Truck, which is after Godlings. Edr that's the only bufiness we have to do in this World. Whatever Knowledge we profecute his fides this, or further than 'tis conducive to the end, tho it be absolutely confidently never form cellent and perfective of our Radional part ve with respect to the present posture and Itational Man, 'tis a Culpable Curiofity, and an unaccome able Vanity, and only a more folemn and laborons way of being Idle and Imperturent.

and intended has healtyxe the

I

And this will be found (if well examin'd) to be nothing different from the Cenfure of the Wife Preacher, And I gave my Heart to know Wife dom, fays he, and I perceiv'd that this also is Vin ty and Vexation of Spirit. Not that he now fint applied himself to the study of Wisdom, No he had been inspired with that before, and by the help of it had discover othe Vanity of all other di

consider history Ehis

be in

yet yet

to

the wife

io;

er

other things. But that Wildom which faw thro' all other things, did not as yet perceive the Vanitr of it felf. He therefore now gave his Heart to Know Wildom, that is, to reflect upon it, and Confider whether this might be excepted from his general Centure, and struck out of the Scroll of Vanities. And upon deep reflection be found that it could not, and that even this allo was as much a Vanity as any of the reft. Now this Proposition of Solomon's cannot be understood Auglucly (Knowledge being an undoubted Perfection of Human Nature) but only with respect to the present posture of Man in this World. Neither can it be understood of all kind of Knowledge even in this Life, some kind of Knowledge being necessary to qualifie him for Happiness in the next. It must therefore Necessarily be underflood of all that Knowledge which contributes not to that great End. So that from these two Necellary Limitations the Senie, of Solomon's Propolition (if it have any) must be this, that to Man in this prefent juncture, all Knowledge that does not contribute to the interest of his After-frate, is downright Vanity and Veration of Spirit. XVIII.

For, to what purpose should we Study so much, considering that after all we are able to know so suite? Considering that even that style is enough to trouble and disquier us, considering that one life is as much too short for the enjoying what knowledge we have; as for compassing what we would have; and withal considering that there lies no manner of Obligation or Necessity upon us to do thus. But (which is what I would most of all inculcate) to what purpose imaginable should

th

it

1

don't what was

n H

E

di

7

Ь

should we be fo busie, and vehement in the por. fuit of Learning, of any Learning, but what is tife to the Moral Conduct of our Life, confider ing thefe two things, First, that tis but to the a little while and we thall have all that Know. ledge Gratis, from the Communications of the Ideal World, which we so unfacte sfully drudge for here, to the neglect of more important and con cerning exercises. And Secondly, considering that there is such an absolute necessity of being Good, and of Living well, and that this fhort in certain Life is the only time for it, which if no lected, this great work must lie undone for ever Upon the former confideration, this Studious Book if Humour, is like laying out a great Sum of Money to purchase an Estate, which after on Weak dropping Life will of Course fall into hand And I am fure he would be reckon'd Fool or Mad that should do so. And upon the latter, 'tis a if a Man that was Riding Post upon Business of Life and Death, should as he passes through a VVood, stand still to listen to the Singing of a Nightingale, and so forget the main and only bufiness of his Journey.

XIX.

'Tis most certain that the two Cases here supposed, are as great instances of Folly and Impertinence as can well be conceived, and yet (however it comes to pass that we are not sensible of it) 'tis certain, that they are very applicable to the Intellectual Conduct of Human Life as 'tisgenerally managed. And tho we are all ready enough to call such Men Fools as shall do as in the two mentioned instances is supposed, yet 'tis most certain that we do the very same or work, that

that we are too much concern'd in the Application of the Parable, and that of most of m it may be truly said, Thou are the Man.

XX.

For I demand, what difference is there between him that now labours and toils for Learning and Knowledge, which in a little time he shall be easily and fully possest of, and him that dearly, buys an Estate which would otherwise come to him after a short Interval? VVhat difference is there, but only this? That he that buys the Estate, tho he might have spared his Mony, ver however he gets what he laid out his Mony for; his expence indeed was needless, but not in wain. Whereas he that drudges in the pursuit of knowledge, not only toils for that which in a forttime he shall have with ease, and in abundance, but which after all he can't compass in any considerable measure, and so undergoes a vain as well as unnecessary Labour; and is therefore the greater Fool.

de the contract of the contrac

one

ind lad

of

h a

of a

bu-

up-

er-

of to ge-

fe.

hat

XXI.

Again I demand, VVhat difference is there between him who when he is employ'd upon business of Life and Death shall alight from his Horse, and stand stdling to hear a Nightingale Singing in the VVood, and him who having an Eternity of Happiness to secure by the right ordering of his Life and Manners, and having only this Point of time to do it in, shall yet turn Vertuoso, and set up for Learning and Curiosity. Tis true indeed, the Nightingale Sings well, and twere worth while to stand still and hear him, were I disingaged from more concerning Affairs; but not certainly when I am upon Life and Death.

ch

CE

10

en

fti

ot

bi

m

th Ti

Sti

th

1

Lo

- 12

ko

do

tin

ha

no

W

An

ake

an

in

ga

me

m

Th

And so Learning and Knowledge are excelled things, and such as would deserve my Study, and my Time, had I any to spare, and were more leasure; but not certainly when I have so gree an Interest as that of my Final State depending upon the good use of it. My Business now is not to be Learned, but to be Good.

For is my Life fo long, am I fo over-flock'd with Time, or is my depending Interest lo little, or is it so easily secured, that I can find leisure for unnecessary Curiosities? Is this Conduct agreeal to the present flation and posture of Man, who entrance into this VVorld, and whose whole for in it, is purely in order to another state? would any one imagin this to be the Condition of Man by fuch a Conduct ! Shall a Prisoner wh has but a few days allow'd him to make a Prepration for his Tria', fpend that little opportunity in Cutting and Carving, and fuch like Mechanical nical Contrivances ? Or would any one imagin for a Man to be in such a Condition, near a doubtil Trial of Life and Death, whom coming into Prison he finds to employ'd: And yet is then any thing more Abfurd and Impertinent in this than in the present Supposition, to have a Man who has fo great a Concern upon his Hands a the Preparing for Eternity, all bulle and take up with Quadrants, and Telescopes, Furnaces, Sy phons, and Air-Pumps ?

When we would expose any Signal Imperinence, we commonly illustrate it by the Example of Archimedes, who was busie in making Mathe

matical Figures on the Sands of Syracuse, while

licut

and reat

reat ding not

with

or for alle

fty

tion who

epa-

DDi-

chefuct

tful

0 1

here

chis,

120,

ken

Sy

rti-

iple

the-

hile

tic

the City was taken by Marcellin, and fo, though here were Particular Orders given for his Safene loft his Life by his unfeafonable Study. Now confess there was impertinence and absurdity enough in this instance to consign it over to Pofterier But had Archimedes been a Christian, or otherwise assured of the Great Concerns of another World, I thould have faid, that the Main of his Impertinence did not lie here, in being Mathematically employ'd when the Enemy was taking the City, but in laying out his Thoughts and Time upon fuch an infignificant unconcerning Study, while he had no less a concern upon him. than the fecuring his Eternal Interest: Which must be done now or never. Nothing certainly is an Impertinence, if this be not, to hunt after Learning and Knowledge in fuch a juncture as this. XXIV.

Sure I am, and your Ladyship too very well knows, that many other Proceedings in the Condust of Life are condemn'd of Vanity and Impertinence upon the very fame Grounds, tho not half so inconsistent with the Character of Man, nor so disagreeable to his present Posture in this World. For is not the World full of Invectives? And have not the Pens of Moral Writers been all along employ'd against those that apply themfelves to Secular Acquirements, fpend their short and uncertain Lives, which ought to be employ'd in the Pursuit of an infinitely higher Interest, in gaping up and down after Honours and Preferments, in long and frequent attendances at Courr, in raising Families, getting Estates, and the like? Thefe things I fay, and fuch like, are condemn'd and cenfur'd, not only upon the stock of their partiparticular Viciousness, as Crimes of Ambition Coverousness respectively, but for what they have in Common, as they are mispendings of Time and unconcerning Excentrical employments XXV. and distribution

1

1

1

1

E

t

0

a

t

C

F

But now I would fain know, whether any these Misconducts of Life be more expensived our Time. more remote and alien from the man business of it, more unrelating to our Grand Concern, and consequently more Impertinent, that to be builly employ'd in the Niceties and Curiof. ties of Learning: And whether a Man that is ters away Six Weeks in Court-Attendances for place of Honour, be not every whit as account bly employ'd with respect to the End of Mani the other VVorld, and his Business in this, ask that shall spend so much time in the Solution of Mathematical Question, as M. Descartes I remen ber confesses of himself in one of his Eniste And why then the Profecution of Learning flow be the only thing excepted from the Vanities and Impertinences of Life, I have not Head enought understand.

XXVI.

And yet fo it is. All other Excentrical unconcerning Occupations are cried down meerly for being fo, as not according with the present Chi racter and State of Man. This alone is not contented with the reputation of Innoecnce, but stands for positive merit and excellence, for Praise and Commendation. To fay a Man is a Lover of Knowledge, and a diligent Inquirer after Trub, is almost as great an Encomium as you can give him, and the time spent in the Study, tho in the fearch of unedifying Truth, is reckon'd almolt 10101

y have Time

Sille

ny d

ive d e man

Cop

than

uriof.

at loi-

for

MININ

ani

ask of

mem.

ifles,

hould

s and

gho

CON-

Cha-

COD-

but

raile

erof

oth,

give

in

121.

noft

most as landably bestow'd as that in the Chapel : and (fo inconfistent with it felf is Human Judgment) 'tis Learning only that is allow'd not only to divide, but to devour the greatest part of our fort Life, and is the only thing that with Credit and Publick allowance stands in Competition with Religion and the study of Vertue. Nay, by the moft is preferr'd before it, who would rather be counted Learned than Pious.

XXVII.

But is not this a strange and unreasonable Competition? It must indeed be confess'd, that the Perfection of Man is double, of the Intellectual as well as of the Moral Part, and that Knowledg is a very Divine Excellence. But certainly Restitude of Will is a greater Ornament and Perfection than Brightness of Understanding, and to be Good is more Divine than to be Wife and Knowing, that being the Principal, perhaps only difference be-And the Solomon's tween an Angel and a Devil. Choice be univerfally applauded, yet I think that of Mary is to be preferr'd before it, and (to use the Expression of the Excellent Monsieur Poiret) Cogitat. that 'tis better like an Infant without much reasoning Ration. de to lovemuch, than like the Devil to Reason much with Deo,p.522. out Love.

XXVIII.

But suppose Knowledge were a much Diviner Excellence than 'tis, suppose it were more perfedive of, and Ornamental to Human Nature, than the Habit and Practice of Vertue; yet still this Competition would be utterly against Reason, For 'tis to be confider'd (as I have already fuggested) that the Former we can't have now in any Measure, and shall have it hereaster without

Measure; but the latter we may have now for we may Love much tho we can't know much) and cannot have it hereafter. Now the Question is whether we ought to be more Solicitous for the Intellectual Perfection which we can't have here, and shall have hereafter; or for that Moral Parfection which we may have here, and cannot hereafter? And I think we need not consult an Oracle, or conjure up a Spirit to be resolved of this Question.

通過

A

in

R

E

añ

far A

O

M

Go

the

Pé

E

Ha

gel

WE

the

lof

247

py fel

he

to

ligi

· XXIX.

And this one Solitary Consideration (much more in Conjunction with the other parts of the Haman Character) I take to be sufficient to justife the Truth of what measure we have prescribed us our Intellectual Conduct, that we ought to prescribe Learning and Knowledge no surther that as 'tis conducive to the great Ends of Piety and Vertue. And consequently that whenever we shady to any other Purpose, or in any other Degree than this, we are unaccountably impertinently, I may add Sinfully employ'd. For this is the most of Man, to fear God and keep his Commandment, the whole of Man in this Station, and consequently this ought to be the only Scope of all his Studies and Endeavours.

Eclef. 12.

XXX.

And accordingly 'tis observable that the Scripture, whenever it makes mention of Wisdom with any mark of Commendation, it always means by it either the very Practice of Religion and Vetue, or such Knowledge at least, that has a new and strong insluence upon it; thereby implying that that is the only Wisdom which becomes the Study of Man. Remarkable above the rest to this purpose

for

and n is,

the

CTE

Per.

-973

Jrs.

this

H

tife d to

-010

han

and

ft

101

tiy,

ntr;

Bt-

die

ith

by

ci-

est

ing

the

his

nurpose is the 28th Chapter of 70b, where haring run thro feveral inftances of Natural Knowat length, fays he, But where fall Wildom be V. 12. And where is the place of Understanding? As much as to fay, that in none of the other things mention'd did confift the Wifdom of Man, Then infollows. Man knoweth not the price thereof, nei- v. 13. the win found in the Land of the Living: The Depth v. 14. faible is not in one, and the Sea faith it is not in Not in the Depths of Learning, nor in the Recesses of Speculation, feeing it is hid from the Eves of all Living, and kept close from the Fowls of V. 21. the Air, from Men of high and Towring Notions, and sublime Theories. Destruction and Death V. fal we have heard the Fame thereof with our Ears. As much as to fay, that after this Life, and then only unless perhaps about the Hour of Death. Merbegin to have a true Senfe, and lively favoure Relish of this Wifdom. But in the mean time. God understandeth the way thereof, and he knoweth v. 22. the place thereof. And unto Man he faid, behold the V. 28. Few of the Lord that is Wildom, and to depart from Evil, that is Understanding. To Man he faid; Had it been to another Creature, Suppose an Anin a flate of Security and Confirmation, he would perhaps have recommended for V vifdom the Study of Nature, and the Curiolities of Philolophy, but having to do with Man, a pobatiomay and unfixe Creature, that shall be either Happy or Miserable, according as he demeans himself in this short time of Trial, the only VVisdom headvises to such a Creature in such a Station, is to look well to his Moral Conduct, to study Religion and good Life.

XXXI

And now (Madam) fince we are upon Son

Di

9

I

P

1

O

M

k

2

t

II de

4

t

6

fe

di

T

ture Authority (for indeed to little has this me

ter been consider'd, that I have scarce any our to follow) will your Ladyship give me leaven further Consistention of the Measure propose to commend to your Consideration two green Scripture-Examples, both of Men Eminently Wike and of a Learned Education. The Men I instance in are Moses and St. Paul. The latter of which professedly declares, that he determin'd to know thing, but Jesus Christ, and him Crucify'd, that nothing but what concerns either the Faith or the Practice of Christianity. And the former conplaining of the gross Ignorance of the People committed to his Charge, and desiring the would become wifer, breaks out into this Passe nate VVish, Othat they were wife, that they was

stood this, that they would consider their latter End.

Deut. 32 29.

> Moses had been bred a Scholar, as well as Courtier, and was well instructed in all the Seam of the Egyptian Philosophy, which was then the best in the VVorld. Besides, he was himself wife Man, a Man, that besides the Advantage of Pharaoh's Court, had the Divine x62@ him felf for his Tutor, and convers'd personally with his Maker, and therefore must needs be supposed to know what was true VVisdom. But now thisk does not make to confift either in the Accomplib ments of Courtly Education, or in the deep Myfle ries of Philosophy, but in the consideration of our latter End. He wishes that his People were Wife and to this End he does not wish that they were as Well-bred as he, or as Learned as he, but only that

Som

other

ave is

posic

grès

Vyic

fance

atic

or the

COL

copt

the

mder.

End.

251

cres n the

Celf a

tages bim-

with

ofed

ish

Ail.

yfte.

four

Wife,

were

that

that they understood this, this one thing, that they would consider their latter End. VVhich he makes the Summary and Abstract of all VVifdom. Much like that of Plato, when he defines Philosophy to be The Theory of Death.

Man ai annang hao XXXIII.

And here, if your Ladyship will dispense with a short Digression, a Digression from the immediate Theodof my Discourse, the not from the General Design of it, I would upon this occasion briesly represent to you what an excellent part of Wisdom it is for Man seriously to consider his latter End. To make this distinctly appear, I shall proceed upon these two grounds: First, That the Consideration of Death is the most proper Exercise that a wise Man can be employ'd about. And Secondly, that this is the most compendious way of making him wise that is not so.

XXXIV.

And First, it is the most proper exercise that a wise Man can employ himself about. For Wisdom consists in a due estimation of things; and then things are duly estimated, when they are measured and rated, first as they are absolutely in stemsclues; and secondly, as they stand in Relation to us. If they are great and extraordinary, then they deserve to be considered for their own sakes; and if they nearly relate to us, then they deserve to be considered for ours. And upon both these accounts, Death and its Consequences are highly deserving a Wise Man's Thoughts and Resections.

XXXV.

For first, they are great and extraordinary Transactions, barely as in themselves consider'd, and as such would deservedly engage the most

H

60

of

6

22

fo

fo

d

.

ke

bi

h

0

C

in

D

21

D

d

C

th

to

-

h

by

of

As

be

to

on

attentive consideration, even of a stander by it any other indifferent Being, suppose an Angel, the can be no otherwise concerned in it, than a time a great Event, a Noble Scene of Providence, a motter of Wonder and Curiosity. I say, upon this is gle Account, Death with its Consequences is as a Subject for the Contemplation of a Wile Ma as any in Nature. XXXVI.

Or if there be within the Sphere of Name things of a greater and more Bulky appearant yet certainly there is nothing wherein Man is in nearly concern'd, so highly interested as in Death Since upon the manner of this depends his Ennal Happiness or Ruin. There is therefore in thing that so much deserves to be consider'd him. Whether therefore we regard the Absolute greatness of the thing, or its Relative greatness with respect to us, as we are interested and concerned in it, but especially if we weigh both, the consideration of Death is as proper an Exercise a Wise Man can be employ'd about.

XXXVII.

And as 'tis so fit an employment for him he is VVise already, so secondly, is it the met compendious way of making him wise, my wise, that is not so. For all VVisdom is in deder to Happiness, and to be truly wise, is to he Wise unto Salvation. VVhatever Knowledge contributes not to this, is quite beside the Mark, mis, as the Apostle calls it, Science fally so call. The Knowledge it self is vain, and the Study of it is impertinent.

XXXVIII.

Now the only way to Happines is a good Life, and consequently all Wisdom being in Order w

by in

L this

BE

ame.

bis &

s ast

Ma

Vater

Tance,

86

)ent

Em.

118

16

olu

tod

COL

the

Tez

th

net

rah

Or.

更复写

Happiness, that's the only Wisdom that serves to the promoting of good Life, according to that of fob before cited, And to man he said, behold the fear of the Lord that is wisdom, and to depart from will understanding. That therefore is the most compendious way of making a Man wife, that foonest makes him good, and reduces his Mind to a moral Regularity. And nothing does this fo from and fo well as the ferious and habitual confideration of Death. And therefore, fays the wife man, Remember Death and Corruption, and keep the Commandments. The shortest Compendium of holy living that ever was given. As if he had faid, Many are the Precepts and Admonitions left us by wife and good Men, for the moral Conduct of Life; but would you have a fort and infallible Directory of living well? why remember Death and Corruption; Do but remember this. and forget all other Rules if you will, and your Duty if you can.

XXXIX.

And what is here remarked by one wife Man is confented to by all. Hence those common Practices among the Ancients, of placing Sepulchres in their Gardens, and of using that celebrated Motto, Memento mori. Hence also that Modern as well as Ancient Custom of putting Emblems of Mortality in Churches, and other Publick places, by all which 'tis implied, that the Consideration of Death is the greatest security of a good Life. As indeed it must be upon this general ground, because it does that at a Blow, which other Considerations do by Parts, and gives an entire deseat to the three great Enemies of our Salvation at once. It fets us above the Temptations of the

R 4

d

S

F

i

h

OT

t

L

f

i

2

0

(

r

ł

World, the Flesh, and the Devil. For how canthe World captivate him, who considers he is but a ftranger in it, and that he must shortly leave it! How can the Flesh infnare him, who has his& pulchre always in his Eye, and reflects upon the cold Lodging he shall have there ! And how on the Devil prevail upon him, who remembersal ways he must die, and then enter upon an up changeable State of Happiness or Misery, according as he has either refisted or yielded to his Temptations! Of fo vast consequence is the conftant Thinking upon Death above all other thing that fall within the compais even of wieful and Prattical Meditation, and so great Reason In Mofes for placing the Wisdom of Man in the Ca sideration of his latter End.

XL.

But to return (if being still in pursuit of my General Design, I may well be said to be outd the way) I now perswade my felf that from the Character I have drawn of Man and his prefeat Circumstances, together with those Resections built upon it, and interwoven with it; and latly, from Divine Authority, the Measure we have given, is fo well Establish'd, that if your Lan fhip be not yet, you ought to be convinc'd, that however Naturally desirous we may be of Knowledge, yet that this Appetite is to be govern'd & well as those that are Senfual; that we ought w indulge it only fo far as may tend to the Moralizing our Souls, and the conducting our Live, and the fitting us for that Happiness which God has promised not to the Learned, but to the And that if it be gratify'd to any other Good. purpose, or in any other Measure than this, our Curiofity

the.

out a en!

s&. the

32

Un-

corbis

CODings

and had

Cas

itof

the

fent

ions

af.

are

hat

OW-

tto

ali

God

her

OUL

fity

Curiofity is impertinent, our fludy immoderates and the Tree of Knowledge Still a forbidden Plant. XLI.

And now (Madam) having fix'd and stated the Measure of our present Affection to, and Inour after Learning and Knowledge, which I think is establish'd upon irresutable Principles. I may leave it to your Ladyship to consider how much 'tis observ'd in the general Conduct of our Studies 'Tis plain that 'tis not observ'd at all. For these two things are too Notorious to need any more for their Proof, than only to look abroad into the World. First, that very little of that which is generally made the Subject of Study. has any manner of Tendency to Living well here, or Happily hereafter. And Secondly, that thefe very Studies which have no Religious or Moral infuence upon Life, do yet devour the greatest part ofit. The Best and Most of our Time is devoted to Dry Learning, this we make the Course of our Study, the rest is only by the by, and 'tis well if what is Devotional, Practical, or Divinely-relishing, can find us at leifure upon a Broken piece of a Sunday or Holiday. But the main Current of our Life runs in Studies of another Nature, that don't fomuch as glance one kind Afpect upon good Living, 'tis well if fome of them don't hinder it.

am fure St. Austin thought so, and makes it part Consessib. I. c. 16. of his Penitentials.

XLII.

And because the Authority of so Great and so Good a Man may convince fome, whom the clearest Discourse would leave unperswaded, it may be of some Use and Consequence to let the Reader see how he expresses himself upon this Occafion.

T.

4

b

Á

D

O

1

0

1

C

U

E

fion. Speaking of the Institution and Discipline of his Youth, fays he, I learnt in those things a my useful Words, but the same might also be learn in matters that are not vain, and that indeed is thele may wherein Children ought to be train'd up. But Wh unto thee than Torrent of Human Custom! Who able to resist thee ? How long will it be before thon we be dried up? How long wilt thou roll along the Some Eve into a great and formidable Sea, which they en hardly passover? Have not I read in thee Jupiter be Thundering and Fornicating at the same time? he And yet O thou Hellish Torrent, the Sons of Men m still toffed in thee, and are invited by Rewards to lem thefe things. Your Pretence indeed is, That this the way to learn Words, and to get Eloquence, and in Art of Perswasion. As if we should not know the Words, Golden Showr, Lap, Chear, the Temple of Heaven, &c. unless Terence had brought in a link young Man proposing Jupiter to himself as a Presiden for Whoring, while he beheld in a Wall a Piece rem fenting how Jupiter conveigh'd bimfelf into the Land Danae in a golden Showr, and so cheated the por Woman. But fee how the young Man incourages bim felf to Lust by this Heavenly President: What Go is this, says be? Even he that shakes with Thunda the Temple of Heaven; and shall I then a poor Mor. tal stick to do the same? Now this Immorality don not at all advantage the learning of the Words, but the Words do greatly encourage the committing of the Immorality. Not that I find such fault with the Words themselves, they are pure and choice Vessels, but with that Wine of Error which in them is handed and commended to us by our fortish Teachers: And yet unless we drank of it we were beaten, nor had we any sober Judge to appeal to. And yet I, O my God, in pobole

shoft Presence I now securely make this Recollection, willingly learns these things, and like a Wretch was delighted with my Exercise, and for this I had the Name

of a Good, Towardly, Hopeful Boy.

By this you may fee what the Judgment of this Holy and Venerable Person was in his private Retirements, and at the most serious Intervals of his life, concerning the general Course of those studies, which draw out the First Runnings of our age, and which are of so great Credit and Authority in the World, as to go under the Name of ingeneous and Liberal Education. You see he not only condemns and disparages them, but rectors them among those Sins and Irregularities of his Youth, whereof he thought himself obliged to make a particular Confession in this his Great Projectical.

XLIII.

And here let me not be thought Immodest, if upon great Consideration and sull Conviction, I presume to tax the Management of our Publick Schools in the Institution of Youth. Many Miscarriages I might note, but I shall concern my self only with such, as from the Principles laid down, I am led to condemn: Which I comprehend under these two General Heads of Complaint.

I. That they take up fo much Time in their

Education.

nt in

Mafe

t We

med

-

bab

åc.

-

ean

iii

l the

evi

ion

pre-

por im-

det

lor-

loes

rhe

the

but

ni

m-

6.

jn

(e

II. That they teach them fuch frivolous and

unprofitable things as they do.

In relation to the first, I can't with any Patience resect, that out of such a short Compass of time, as that of Human Life, consisting it may be of soor 60 Years, (for where one lives longer Hundreds come short) 19 or 20 shall be spent between

P I I

f

C

1

1

C

1

b

t a t

V

a

tween the Dictionary and the Lexicon, in ham. mering out a little Latin and Greek, and in learn ing a Company of Poetical Fictions and Phane. flick Stories. If thefe things were never fo fit and necessary to be known, yet 'tis Barbarous andla. human to make People spend so much of their in tle flock of Time upon them. This is to make Cure of Human Ignorance, and to deal with the Infirmities of the Mind, as some ill Surgeons do be the Wounds of the Body: And, it may be, for If one were to judge of the the fame Reason. Life of Man by that Proportion of it that is four at School, one would think the Antediluvia Mark were not yet out, and that we had a Prof. pect of at least ooo or a 1000 years before B The Truth is, 'cis an intolerable Abuse that i should be so; and I wonder that the Wisdom and Authority of this improv'd Age of the World will let it be fo; especially considering what late Examples we have had of more compendious Ma thods beyond the Seas. It does not become me, nor am I so fond of the Office of an Undertaker. as to project a Scheme of School-Discipline, leave this to more contriving Heads. Only in the mean time I may venture to fay, that the common way is a very great Tax upon Human Life: For certainly the short Life of Man can very il spare so largea Portion of it to be lavish'd away upon the first Elements of Learning; and Ib. lieve, when all things are computed, this will be found to be the most chargeable part of Education

But my greatest Quarrel against the Wisdom of these Seminaries, is the frivolousness of the things they teach. I blame them not only for taking too great a Compass for the Instruction

ham.

carp.

antı.

t and

din.

T in

aker

i the

o br

the

pent

rol.

B

and

orld

late

Me-

me,

ker,

11

the om-

ife:

il

VZY

be-

1 be

OD.

OM

the

for

ion

of

of Youth in the things they teach them, but for teaching them fuch things at all. Men may make Mony of Leather if they will, by giving it a Currem Stamp : But fetting afide Opinion and Fancy. what real Improvement or Perfection is it to the Mind of a Rational Creature, to be overlaid with Words and Phrases, and to be full charged with Poetical Stories, Dreams and Fancies? How many excellent and useful things might be learnt in the Mathematicks, and other ingenious and profitable Sciences, while Boys are Thumming and Muribering Hefiod and Homer, which then they do not understand, and which when they do, they will throw by and despise: And that justly too? For of what fignification is fuch Stuff as this, if the Humour of the World had not turn dit up for Learning, to the real Accomplishment of a Reafonable Soul? What Improvement or Perfection can it be to my Understanding to know the Amours of Pyramus and Thy/be, or the Adventures of Hero and Leander? Do Men retain any value for these things when they grow up and know better, or endeavour to preserve the Memory of them? Do they not rather studiously forget them, and cast them aside? And is it not reckon'd an ungenteel piece of Pedantry to make use of them either in Writing or Conversation? And why then must Poor Boys be condemned with so much Pains and Drudgery to learn fuch things, which when they are Men they must and will unlearn again? I ask again, and 'tis a very pertinent Question, why must Boys be forc'd with so great expence of Time and Labour, to learn such things as are of no standing constant use? So far from that, that they are dangerous and hurtful, as well

thi

to

721

the

An

the

we Ag

MG Wa

die

per

wh

the

in .

fo

me

13 1

211

imp

pro

for

Kno

ral

DDa

duc

imr

led

out.

well as unprofitable. For I appeal to the common Sense and Experience of Mankind, whether it be advisable to entertain the gay Catchine Fin cies of Boys with the Amorous Scenes of the Pone Whether it be convenient or fafe to feafon the green Imaginations with fuch impure and obless Images as are there fet forth to the Life: Ori not this rather the direct way to corrupt them. to fow in their tender Minds the Seeds of Imput ty, and to lay a ftanding Foundation for Debis chery? Let any Man but confider Human Natur as it comes down to us from Adam, and tell in whether he thinks that a Boy is fit to be trulk with Ovid de Arte Amandi. For my part I should as foon and fooner trust him with a Conjuring Book. For I think he were better raise the De vil without him, than raise a Devil within him I do not condemn this fort of Learning out of le norance; for I my felf had my Education in an ry eminent School, that of Winchester, where! made no small Proficiency in Classick Learning as 'tis call'd; and I have fince plied it very hard, and run through all the Criticisms of it. But up on a serious Review I take no Satisfaction either in those Studies, or in those Acquirements: No, I am so far from that, that I heartily wish that fort of Vain Learning (after all my pains in it) were quite out of Credit, and that the Books that contain it had the same Fate in our Commonwealth, that the Authors of them had in Plato's. For I do not understand upon what Principle, et. ther of Prudence or Piety, such Books as these should be read by any, but especially by Boys; nor why fuch Pains should be taken, and so much Diligence used to make them understand 'em. I think

the

For

Otte

heir

cent

Orb

hem, buribur-

tort

m,

flu

make ing.

rim.

Ig.

T

rel

ing, ard, up-

her

lay, hat

it)

hat

011-

o's. einefe

nor Di-

ink

think they were better continue Ignorant, than o accomplish their Understandings with the hapard of their Morals, upon which fuch Studies as thele can derive no very wholfom Influence. And yet to these our Youth is dedicated, and in thefe fome of usemploy our riper Years; nor do we feethe Vanity and Impertinence of it in old Age. And then when we die, this very thing makes one great part of our Funeral Elegy, that we were fo diligent and indefatigable in our Studies, and fo inquisitive in the search of Knowledg. perhaps that we procured an early interment by it. when according to the Principles before laid down, we were as impertinently employ'd all the while, as if we had been fo long picking Straws in Bedlam. I fay as impertinently, tho perhaps not to imocently. The Sum of all comes to this: The measure of profecuting Learning and Knowledge is their usefulness to good Life. Consequently all Profecution of it beyond or beside this End, is impertinent and immoderate. This has been fully proved by evident Principles. But now of this fort is the general Profecution of Learning and Knowledge, as is plain by appealing to the general Conduct of Study. The Conclusion therefore unavoidably follows, That the Intellectual Conduct of Human Life is justly chargeable with an immoderate and impertinent pursuit of Know-Which was the Proposition to be made out, and I am forry to fee it fo well proved.

The End of the Third Reflection.

The Conclusion.

is

拉班

在 30 色

· 企业名称金融品

10

ter

出門を被信他

apo

ND now (Madam) having finish'd m A Threefold Reflection upon the Intellede Conduct of Human Life, I have a double Appl cation to make, one to your Ladyship, and and ther to my felf. That to your Lady hip is this that you would confider to what a narrow conpals, by vertue of the preceding Discourses, the three things are reduced, which before use to take up fo large a room, viz. Learning it felf it Method of Learning, and the Defire and Profecution Learning. The first of which is comprized with in the Limits of Necessary Truth; the second will in those of Thinking, Purity and Prayer; the thin within its usefulness to the further ance of good Like These indeed are great retrenchments, but I think fuch as are just and necessary to the Regulation of our Intellectual Conduct, which I am glads find fo compendious and difincumber'd, that is ing a Mark of no small Probability to confirm in the Truth of it, as the Rightest Line is alway the Shorteft.

And fince both Learning it felf, its Men, and limits of Profecution are all fo reduced, would further commend to your Ladyships Confideration, whether from this great Abridgam you can forbear deducing these two Corollarius First, That this Bookish Humour, which every where so prevails, is one of the Spiritual Distribus, or Moral Diseases of Mankind, one of the most Malignant Reliques of Original Depravation; it carrying in it the very Stamp and Signature of Adam's Transgression, which owed it

Birth to Curiofity, and inordinate delire of knowledge. Secondly, that those who have Eyes may in great measure spare them, and that those most in great measure spare them, and that those most should not, upon the account of Learning, much lament the want of them, which is therefore addressed to the Private Consideration of all those that labour under that sad

Misfortune 199A Das

on this control

101

with With

thin

Lift. hink ation

et be

01

Wan

etha

ed, I

Cas

enti

eries:

every

ifers.

f the

vati

igna

d in

Birth

Now as to my own particular Concern, the settle of what I have written is this: I am so interest of what I have written is this: I am so interest of the certainty of those Principles I have here laid down, that I think I san not only under a particular Obligation, but almost under a Necessity of conducting my Studies according to the Measures proposed. The last of which has left such a strong instruence, such a deep impression upon me, that I think I shall now flow the Advice of the Heathen (M. Antoninus alremember) & N BIBNION SIAN piller, rid my self from Thirst after Books, and study nothing but what serves to the advancement of Plety and Good Life.

I have now spent about Thirteen years in the most celebrated University in the World, and, according to the ordinary Measures, perhaps not mills, having accomplished my self in a competent degree, both with such Learning as the Academical Standard requires, and with whatever else my own Private Genius inclined me to. Buttruly I cannot say that I have ordered my Studies in the Theatre of Learning, so much to my own Satisfathon, as to my Reputation with others. To be see with you, I must declare, that when I reflect mon my past Intellectual Condust, I am as little satisfied with it as I am with that of my Morals, and

and that I think I have nigh as much to animo for the Former, as I have for the Latter, be ing very Conscious, that the greatest part, it it has been employed in Unconcerning Curings such as derive no moral influence upon the So

that contemplates them.

But I have now (if I sufficiently understanding felf) a very different Taste and Apprehensional things, and intend to spend my uncertain reacher of time in studying only such things as much for the Moral improvement, of my Mind, at the Regulation of my Life, not being able to grant account upon any rational and consistent Prociples, why I should study any thing else.

More particularly I think I shall chiefly appr

my felf to the Reading of fuch Books as are a ther Persmasive than Instructive, such as are Sapa Pathetick and Divinely-relishing, fuch as wan kindle and inlarge the Interiour, and awaken the Divine Sense of the Soul, as considering with felf that I have now, after fo much Reading Speculation, more need of Heat than of Line Tho if I were for more Light still, I thinks would prove the best Method of Illumination, and that when all's done, the Loge of God is the Light of the Soul. For I consider with the cellent Cardinal Bona, That a Man may be Knowledge without Love. But he that Loves, at be wants Sciences bumanly acquired, yet be will be more than Human Wisdom can teach bim, because has that Master within him who teaches Man Km ledge. Purity of Heart and Life being one ofthe Methods of Confulting the Ideal World, as wa fhewn in the Second Part.

Via Compendii ad Deum, p. 172. 191

Sta

po ha

de

alt

Ho

I

0

D

W

fel

is,

Ca

by

the

in

lie

di

R

My

ral

Sul

131

par Le

thi

And now (Madam) I cannot well prefage how your Ladyship will relish this Renunciation of all Sendies Meerly Curious, from one whom you apprehended (perhaps upon too just grounds) to have been so naturally disposed to them, and so deeply ingaged in them. Perhaps you'll fay I am already Countryfy'd fince I left the University. How far that Metamorphofis may feize upon me, I can't yet tell; if Solitude and Retirement be mough to bring it, I am, I confess, in great Danger, being now got into a little Corner of the World, where I must be more Company to my felf than I have been ever yet. But the best on't is, I have not been fo great a Stranger to my own Company all along, as to fear any great alteration by it now. Nor do I think the Management of the Present Undertaking a fign of any fuch change.

日本 音音 あら

pp

の日本

司 等 出 国 当 出 当 書 書 と 日 日

Whether I should have had the same Thoughts in the University or no, I can't say; I rather believe they are owing to my Country-Retirement (si hinted in the Beginning) but however that be, sure I am they were entertain'd upon the depelt and severest Consideration; and I believe are so well grounded, that the more your Ladyship unsiders, the more you will be convinced both so the Truth of what I have Discours'd, and of the Reasonableness of what I design; which is to devote my self wholly to the accomplishment of my Moral part, and of my Intellectual, only so far as is subservient to the other.

And now (Madam) having bid farewel to all acconcerning Studies, all the dry and unfavoury parts of Learning, its high time to take my Leave of your Ladyship too; which I do with this Hope, that you are by this time in some mea-

fure

fure Convinc'd, as well as Entertain'd, by the has been fuggested to your Consideration in the foregoing Difcourfes. And with this Affirm that if these Discourses be too Weak to bring yo over to my present Opinion, they will howen prove from enough to work you into a Home which is to believe, that I still continue in all & ality; our evisit gent effectionative or it reland

Your Ladyships

Most Faithful Friend ver co yangano and Servantu I oradiv iblio!

Newton St. Lee, and her roya nod oved I mail

Sopr, 2, 1689. John None

the for

We

the

onl hi

app ritt

iop Div

fpe

194

ren

ma

defi

the

the

BOD

POSTSCRIP

The Eing inform'd that the Quakers took and D hold of the former Edition of this Book, go ing out that it made for their way, I think it me venient in a few Words to undeceive them, le they should reckon upon more Proselytes that they have. I suppose, if the Quakers understood their own Notion, and knew how to explain it and into what Principles to refolve it, it would not very much differ from mine. But as the usually represent it, the difference I conceive to be very great; and he that thinks I symbolis with the Quakers in my Notion of the Dirint Light, understands neither Me nor Them, u appear by these following Instances of Dif-

le The Quakers usually talk of this Light within, as of some Divine Communication or Manifestion only, whereas I make it to be the very Essee and Substance of the Deity, which I suppose vertually to contain all things in it, and to be insinately united to our Minds.

II. The Quakers represent this Light within, as fort of Entraordinary Inspiration (whence they have the Name of Embusiasts) whereas I suppose it to be a Man's Natural and Ordinary

way of Understanding.

44

1

19

gir gir let has ood they

e to lize

nay

ill. The Quakers (if I mistake not) confine their Light within, to some certain Objects, namely Moral and Spiritual Truths, in order only to the Direction of Practice, and accordingly make it a Supplement to Scripture, which they say is not supplement to Scripture, as all that is Intelligible, which I suppose to be perceived and understood in this Divine Light, as I have explained it.

IV. The Quakers make their Light within, a special Priviledge of a certain Order of Men, their own Party. Not indeed as to the Possibility, because they suppose all Men to be indifferently capable of this Divine Illumination, as may appear from their contending against Predestination, and for universal Grace. But the they do not make it a special Priviledge as to the Possibility, yet they do as to the Ast, making some but those of their own Way to be actually

enlightned by it. Whereas according to my Principles this is no special Priviledge, but the common and universal Benefit of all Men; yea, of all the Intelligent Creation, who all see and understand in this Light of God, without which there would be neither Truth nor Understanding

V. The Quakers by their Light within, a derstand some determinat, Form'd Dictate a Proposition, expressly and positively directing and instructing them to do so or so: Where my Light is only the Essential Truth of Col, which indeed is always present to my Understanding, as being intimately united with it, is does not formally inlighten or instruct me, is when I carefully Attend to it and Consulting and read what is written in those Divine Ida Characters.

vi. And Lastly, The Quakers do not offer an rational or intelligible Account of their Light within, neither as to the thing, nor as to the Mode of it, but only Cant in some loose general Expressions about the Light, which they confine with the Authority of St. John's Gospel, thouse they understand neither one nor t'other. Where as I have offer'd a Natural, Distinct and Philosphical way of explaining both, namely by the Omnisormity of the Ideal World, or the Divine 26, who has in himself the Essences and Idea of all things, and in whom the same are perceived by us and all Creatures.

R

SERMON

Preach'd in the

ABBY CHURCH

oera firm

ongh nerelofo-

the vire

dez

OF

BATH,

BEFORE THE
Right Reverend Father in GOD,

THOMAS,

Lord Bishop of BATH and WELLS:

At his VISITATION held there July 30. 1689.

1 ABBY CHARCH 0 8 祖 组 Kigir Kevere d I de in Godge 11:5 a Tion held 1 action and the state of

John 21. V. 15.

So when they had Dined, Jefus faith to Simon Peter, Simon Son of Jonas, Lovest thou me more than these? He saith unto him, Tes Lord ; Thou knowest that I Love thee. He faith unto him, Feed my Lambs.

THE Words confift of Three confiderable parts. First, of a Question put by our Lord to St. Peter. Secondly, of St. Peter's Answer. Thirdly, of a Command by way of inference from it. The Question was, Whether St. Peter Loved him beyond the rest of his Disciples then present. This Demand of our Lord was not so high as were St. Peter's former Professions and Pretensions. This warm and Zealous Apostle had always profess'd a more than Ordinary Adhesion to his Lord and Master, and pretended to as great a Supremacy of Love, as his Successors do of Knowledge and Jurisdiction. He seem'd to be among the Apostles what the Seraphim are among the Angels, to outfhine and out-burn not this or that vulgar Disciple only, but the whole Apostolical Order in Zeal, Courage, and Flames of Divine Love. For no less can that Eminent Profes-Matt 26.33. fion of his import, Tho all Men should be offended because of thee, yet will I never be offended. But not having made good his high Pretentions, our Lord now puts the Question to him in terms more

moderate than those wherein he had before voluntarily boasted of his own Fidelity; and whereas he had before made shew of a Superlative Love beyond All the Disciples, our Lord only asks him this Modest Question, Lovest thou me more than these?

The good Apostle having now partly from the late experiment of his own frailty, and partly from the manner of our Lords Question, learnt more Humility and Modesty, returns such an Answer as was short, not only of his former Professions, but even of the Question too. He does not reply, Lord, thou knowest that I love thee more than these. No, he dares not venture any more so much as to determin any thing concerning the Measure of his Love, but is contented barely to aver the Truth, and Sincerity of it. And for this he fears not to appeal at last to the Divine Omniscience, Lord thou knowest all things, thou knowest that I love thee.

Our Lord takes the Answer, and does not at all question the Truth and Sincerity of it, only he gives him a Test whereby it might be tried and justified, both before God, bimself, and the World, by subjoying this Illative Command, Feed my Lambs, as it is in the Text, or as in the two sol-

lowing Verses, Feed my Sheep.

This whole intercourse between our Lord and and St. Peter, may I conceive, as to the full stress and scope of it, sitly be reduced to this short Hypotherical Scheme of Speech, If thou lovest me, seed my Sheep. Like that of our Saviour, upon another occasion, to his Disciples in common, If ye love me, keep my Commandments. This under a shorter view takes in the full force of the Words,

ti

D

t

U

1

n

VO-

reas

be-

him

the

tly

rnt

In-

∬i-

ot

fo

he

tó

is

Ŋ.

ıt

y

4

and I shall accordingly discourse upon them, as if they had stood in this Posture.

Hence then shall I take occasion to consider these three things, as naturally arising from the Words, and as no less pertinent to our prefent Concern.

First, The great Love of our Lord Christ to his Church, which he here calls his Lambs, and his Sheep, which he here commands St. Peter as he loved him, to feed; and which lastly, he would not absolutely and finally commit to his Charge, till after three distinct Inquiries whether he truly loved him.

Secondly, I shall consider the Command here given, and shew the great Obligation that lies upon all spiritual Pastors and Guides of Souls to feed this Flock of Christ, which is so dearly beloved by him.

Thirdly, I shall consider the Connexion and Dependance that is between the Practice of this Command and the Love of Christ. If thou lovest me, seed my Sheep.

Laftly, I shall close all with an earnest exhortation to the Conscientious Practice of the Duty enjoyned.

The first thing I shall consider is the great Love of Christ to his Church. And certainly if there be any Secret in Religion sit for Angels to Contemplate, and too high for them to comprehend; if there be any Love that has Breadth and Length, and Depth, and Heighth; if there be any Love that passes Knowledge; if there be any love that is stronger than Death, and dearer than Lise; if there be any, lastly, that is truly wonderful, and that passes love not only of Women, but of the whole Creation.

見中別

M

2

GAG

ri

H th

fe

B

OF DE

by

ia

X

of

is

Se

ca

Creation, 'tis this Love of our Lord to his Church. We have no Line long enough to fathom so was a Depth, nor can Mortality furnish us with Ideas to conceive, or with Words to utter so deep a Mystery. If there be any words that can read it, they must be such as St. Paul heard in his Rapture, strange Words, Aprilla installa, Words that cannot be pronounc'd by an Human Tongue, and that would be meer Barbarism to a Moral Capacity.

But however, that we may take fome Measure of that which really has none, and be able to frame some Notion of this Love of Christ, which as the Apostle tells us, passes knowledge, we will exhibit a Prospect of it in a Double Light: First, in those verbal Representations which the Scripture gives of it: And Secondly, in those real and actual Proofs whereby Christ himself has expess

this his most excellent, and otherwise incredible

Love.

As to the First: The Scripture we know is ful of great things, and those fet forth with as great and magnificent Expressions. The Rhetorick and Style of Scripture runs incomparably high, be yond that of any other Writings, in whatever it treats of. But there are three things more especially, in the description of which the Holy Spirit seems to Labour, and be at a Stand for Expresfion. And these are the Glories of Heaven, the Mi feries of Hell, and the Love of Christ to his Church. These the Scripture represents under all the variety of Symbols, Figures and Images that can be supplied either from the Intellectual or Materi al World; that fo what is wanting in each fingle Representation, might be made up from the Multitude

Ephef. 3.

ch.

vaf

leas

etp

aphat

ad po-

ine

to

ch.

vill

ff.

ip nd

eft

ble

d

od

r.

it

e-

i

f

Ġ

k

m

ì

ŀ

e

Multitude and Combination of them, that if one hould mile, another might firike us, to make, if possible, some impression of so strange and is concerning. Truths upon the Minds of Men.

But the last of these, as 'tis most wonderful and mysterious (it being a greater Wonder that God should Love Man, than that either there hould be so much Happiness in the Enjoyment of God, or so much misery in the Loss of him) so it is more frequently inculcated, and more strongly represented. So frequently inculcated is it, that were it not for the Mystery of the thing, and that there is no Tautology in Love, the Scripture would form Chargeable with vain Repetitions, Every Page almost in Holy Writ breaths forththis Myfory of Divine Love; and besides that, there is one whole Book particularly imploy'd in the reprefentation of it. by all the Flowers and Delicacies of the most exalted Poetry , it may be faid of the whole Sacred Volume, that 'tis but one continued Expression of Love from Christ to his Church one Langer Cantioles.

And as 'cis thus frequently inculcated, fo is it meles strongly represented. This represented by that which is the most proper Effect, and the last End and Accomplishment of all Love, by Union. For there are three most admirable Unions proposed to our Faith in the Christian Religion. The Unity of Essence in the Trinity, the Unity of Person in Jesus Christ, and the Union that is between Christ and his Church. The first of these is an Example and Presignation as it were to the second, and the Second to the Third. For we cannot better represent the Union of Christ with

6

0

i

f

2

Ò

1

t

n

W

pl

th

I

0

A

of fr

an

of

ta

75

his Church, than by the Hypoftatick Union, or the Union of the 262 with Human Nature.

For First, as in this Mystery the Plurality of Nature is consistent with the Unity of Person, so does Love effect the same Miracle in the Union between Christ and his Church. For here also we meet with a new Theanthrophy, a strange Composition of God and Man, two vastly different Substances, which without Consusion of either Natures or Properties, make up one and the same Body. For if Christ be Head of the Church, he is also one Body with it. And so St. Austin, To tun Christius secundum Ecclesiam & Caput & Compus est.

Again, as in the Mystery of the Hypostatid Union there is a Communication of Idioms or Properties whereby what primarily and abstractly belongs to one, may secondarily and concretely be attributed to the other, as that God is Man, and Man is God, so has Love introduced the like Communication between Christ and his Church, which may be said to be happy and glorified in Christ, as he is said to suffer in his Church.

Again, as in the Mystery of the Hypostatic Union the Word uniting it self to Human Nature adorn'd and exalted it, not only by the Privilege of so facred a Confederacy, but also with many distinct Graces and Excellencies, whereby it was necessarily the not forcibly determin'd to love the Divinity, and highly sitted to be loved by it, so is it also in this Union between Christ and his Church. He has not only ennobled her by so secred an Alliance; but is ever conferring upon her his Gifts and Graces, and will never cease to do so, till at length he present her to himself a

Glorious Church, without fpor or blemift, and make Ephel & her in some measure worthy of so great a Love, 27. and so intimate an Union. For 'tis observable, that in Scripture Jesus Christ is fet out as the Author and Dispenser of all Grace; to him is afcribed the Work of the Second as well as of the Fire Creation ; from his fulness we all receive ; and the Apoltle fays exprelly, that to every one of Ephel 4.7. min given Grace according to the meafure of the gift of Christ. Wasicnol

the

y of , 10

nion

alfo

om-

rent

ther

ame

, he

To

Cor.

tick

SOT 20.

ete-

ian,

like

rch.

i

tick

tre

ege

any

WS

the

fo

his

fa-

pon

e to

f a

Glo:

But not to pursue this Metaphysical Parallel any further, let us return to confider this Union, as his represented in Holy Scripture. Now there are but two forts of Union in the World, Naturil and Moral And the Holy Spirithas made Choice of the Closest of each, whereby to Figure out to us the Union between Christ and his Church. The closest of Natural Unions is that between the Head and the Body; and the closeft of all Moal Unions is that between the Husband and the Wife, And both these are by the Holy Spirit applied to this Mystery.

Thus is Christ oftentimes call'd the Head of the Church, and the Church the Body of Christ. Thus again is he styled the Bridegroom, and the Church honour'd with the Name of his Spouse. And because this Latter Figure carries in it more of fensible endearment, therefore is it of more frequent use, and withal of more Ancient Date, For besides that Adam first open'd this Mystery, and by his Miraculous Marriage typified to us that of Christ with his Church, which came out of the Wounded Side of our Lord, as Eue was taken out of Adam's; the Prophets have also given our Lord the Title of Bridegroom in the Old

Testament. The 4-5th Pfalm is a plain Spint tual Epithalamium, and so is the whole Book of Canticles, and the Holy Baptist, in whom but Types and Prophesic expire, calls him expus

th

N

P

Pi

th

bí

di

20

11

(h)

of

CO

no

to pai

COI

the

00

it,

mu

We

go

Rei

eri ftr:

by the Name of Bridegrooms

Strange Miracle of Humility and Love ! The ever God should come down to feek aspoule upon Earth! Was it not enough, O Bleffed Jefu de thou wast one with the Father and Holy Spin in the Eternal Trinity? Was it not enough the thou hadf made the felf one with our Moral Flesh, by assuming our Nature, but that thoums yet heap Mystery upon Mystery, as if thou went not yet near chough allied to us, must also make thy felf one with thy Chibra? But fuch is the Love to Man, as not to be contented with figle Union with him? And to great thy Comb cemion, as if thou need'ft a Parmer, to complet thy Happiness, and as if it were no more god for the fecond, than 'twas for the first Adam'to And both thefe are by the Holy Sanola ad

These are the two Principal Figures, under which the Scripture Pictures out to us the low of Christ to his Church, and his Union with it. Not that they rise up to the heighth of the Mistery, but because they come the nearest of any to it. For indeed they fall vastly short, and give but a faint shadowy resemblance of what they are intended to represent. And therefore as we have hitherto represented the dearness between Christ and his Church, by that between the Head and the Members, and the Husband and Wise, so we may, and with better reason, invert the Order, and propose the Former as an Example and Message for both the Latter. And 'tis observable that

Color Character
ab

thy

-

ood

to

de

OVE

it,

ij.

art

TYC

if

gd

HC.

Ø,

1

ble

that St. Paul does fo : For, fays he, Hufbands love Ephel. g. gain, No Man ever yet hated his own Flesh, but Ver. 29. namishes and oberishes it, even as the Lord the Church. Where you fee the Love of Christ to his Church innet, as before, fet out by that of Married Persons and that of a Man to his own Flesh, but thefe are fet out and illustrated by the other. So erest and transcending all Love; yea, even all Knowledge, is this Love of Christ to his Church. But cwill appear yet greater, if we take a Profect of it in the Second Light, namely in those Real and Actual Proofs whereby Christ hinfelf has express'd this his most excellent and otherwise incredible Love. And certainly they are such as never were, will, or can be given by any other Lover. For (to make the Prospect as fort as may be) was it not an amazing instance of Love for the great and ever-bleffed God, who could neither be advantaged by our Happiness, nor damaged by our Misery, to come down and assume our Nature in its meanest Circumstances, to live a needy and contemptible Life, and die a painful and execrable Death, and all this to reconcile a Rebel, to restore an Apostate? Indeed the work of Man's Redemption, if we deeply consider the whole Method and Contrivance of it is such an Heroick Instance of Love, and so much exceeding that of his Creation, that 'cis well Man was Created and Redeem'd by the fame good being, fince otherwise his obligations to his Redeemer being fo much greater than those to his Creator, he would be very much divided and difracted in his returns of Love and Gratitude.

T

AC HE

hi

d

10

10

Se

no

Si

pl

hi

á

th

do

th

te

O

th

do

10

be

of

fu

SA

6

ve

thi

CI

But let us reflect a little upon the Life, before we further consider the Dearb of our Redermen. It was one constant Argument, one continued Miracle of Love. He lived as one purely Deuted to the good of Maakind. Alb his Thought, all his Words, all his Astions were Love His whole business was to Glorifie his Father; and C which was his greatest Glory) to express his Love to Man, which the at all times exceeding wonderful, yet toward the Evening of his Life it thicken'd and grew stronger, like Motion within the Neighbourhood of the Center, and as then he Prayed, so he Loved yes more carnessly.

Luk. 22.

For 'twas then that be wept over Condemn't Jerusalem, and bedew'd with Tears the Graveoi 'Twas then that with defire he defired to eat the Passover with his Disciples, instituted a perpetual Monument of Love, his Holy Supper, and left another of Humility, by condescending to wash their Feet. Twas then that he comforted his Disciples with the variety of the Heavenly Mansions, with a Declaration that he himself was the Way, the Truth and the Life, with an affurance that their Prayers in his Name should be effectual, with a Promise of the Holy Spirit, and with a Legacy of his own Reace, to compensate for the Tribulation they should meet with in the World, Twas then, laftly, that he recommended the state of his Apostles, together with his own Glorification, in one and the fame foleme Prayer to his Father, that he would prefere them in Unity and Truth, and at length Glorifie them with the whole Body of true Believers with himself in Heaven. And all this at a time when one would have thought his own concern should But

Joh. 17.

hould have been his only Meditation, and Fear his only Paffion; for now was he within view of his amazing fufferings, and the shade was just ready to point at the dreadful hour; and yet even now his Love was truly stronger than Death, and the Care of his Disciples prevailed over the Hor-

rors of his approaching Agony.

fore

itled leve

hu,

bole

e to derick-

the

h

m'd

ent

red

ted

KT,

og

en-

elf

an be

nd

he

bis

00

Ye

ri-

15

ne

rn

ld

Which he further shewed, by giving up him-Alf to a cruel and shameful Death, for the Life and Salvation of the World. A Death (to fay no more of it) of fuch strange Sorrow and Anguild that the very Prospect of it put him into a Smeat of Blood, and the induring it made him complain of being deserted of his Father. And then that his Redemption might prove effectual, after hi Refurrection, he gives Commission to his Difdples to go and publish it with its conditions throughout the world, and orders them all, as he does here St. Peter, to feed his Sheep. And left the Benefit of his Death should be again frustrated for want of Power to perform the Conditions, presently after his Ascension he fent down the Spirit of Confolation upon his Apostles, and does continually confer Grace upon, and make Inweeffion for his Church. So tenderly affected was be toward this his Spouse, that even the felicities of Heaven could not make him forget her, as he further shew'd by complaining in behalf of his Church, when from the midst of his Glory he faid, Saul, Saul, why persecutest thou me? Which words hew him as much concern'd for the Wounds given to his Mystical, as for those he felt in his Natural Body.

And now fince the Love of our Lord to his Church is fo exceeding great, it certainly con-

2

cerns

4 8

06

COL

m Pa ig

Ch

the

an

m

gin

rec

Pr

0

ter

th

I

mi

70

fto

T

in

tw

U

£i

fel

fin

ar

W.

cerns all Christians, especially those whom he has intrusted with the care of his Church, to be alike minded. Which leads me in the second place to consider the Command here given, and to shew the great Obligation that lies upon all spinitual Pastors, to feed this Flock of Christ, which is so nearly beloved by him.

Feed my Sheep, fays our Lord to St. Peter, and in him to all the Pastors of the Christian Church, who are equally concerned both in the Comment

and in the Duty.

And that they are so, is already sufficiently concluded from what has been discoursed excerning the great Love of Christ to his Church To make you therefore more sensible of this Dety, I need only propose to your Meditation "how affectionately our Lord loves his Church," and how dear her Interests are to him, that out of this his abundant Love, he has set aparts distinct Order of Men on this very purpose, to promote and further her in the way of Salva tion; that he has intrusted the care of her in their hands, and has made them his Vicegerant and Trustees; that 'tis a Charge worthy their greatest Care, for which there needs no other

"Argument, than that 'tis committed to them,
"by him who knows the worth of Souls; thath
"ftriAly commands them, as they have any Low
"or Regard for him, to feed his Sheep; that

"twas the very last Command that he gave them, when he was just leaving the World, and upon the very Confines of Glorification; and that

"lastly, as this is the greatest Trust that was "ever by God reposed in Men, so there will be

"the severest account taken of it at the last day,

"at the Great Visitation of the Bishop of Souls: This is enough, if duly weighed, to shew the Obligation of this Command, and to conclude this part, were it not necessary to add fomething meerning the manner of discharging it. m Sheep, is the Command given by Christ to the Piffors of his Church, and we have feen the obligation of it : But bow are they to Feed them? I answer, First, by Prayer for their respective Charges both in Publick and in Private. This is the First thing belonging to the Pastoral Office, and accordingly with this St. Paul begins his Admonition to his Son Timorby, I exhort therefore that 1 Tim. 2.1.

first of all, Supplications, Prayers, Intercessions and

giving Thanks be made for all Men.

e has

alike

place,

d to piri-hid

and

urdi,

nand

ntly

CO1-

rd

De-

tion

rch,

out

rta

to

lva.

rin

da

heir

her

em,

the

OVE

hat

m,

noc

hat

vas

be

ay,

at

Secondly, by Preaching, with private Instruaion and Admonition, as occasion shall serve and require: And here their first care should be to Preach nothing but what is True. Secondly, to confine their Discourses to Veful Truths, such as tend to the promotion of good Life, that which the Apostle calls the Truth which is after Godlines, Thirdly, to deliver only Plain Truths. For there are many Truths which are highly useful, and have a very Practical aspect when they are once underfood, which are not fo easie and obvious to be fo. Thefetherefore ought as much to bewaved as thofe which are not useful, because tho useful, simply speaking, yet respectively they are not. And upon these two latter accounts we should not trouble our Unlearned Auditors, either with Thorny Quefions and Knotty Controversies which in themselves have no Practical Use, or with more refined Theories and School Niceties, which to them are as useless and unpractical as the other.

T 3 .

Feed

Feed them with the Former, would be to give them Stones instead of Bread; And to Feed then with the Latter, would be like placing a Manual the Region of pure Ether; why; he can't break in it, and will starve by reason of the pures. nels of his Diet.

Nor is it enough that the Truths we Preach to Seful and Plain, unless in the Fourth placethy be delivered in a Plain and Intelligible Mone. For what fignifies it that the things are in the selves Plain, if we make them obscure in our pressing them; we are all ready enough to large at the Poor Frier for going about to Preach to Gospel to Beasts and Trees; and are not they aller ridiculous, that order Discourses so as not to understood by those that hear them? Don't the also Preach to Beasts and Trees? We ought the fore to consult the Capacity of our Hearers, and consider to whom, as well as what we speak.

And to this Plainness of Expression we would do well to joyn some degrees of Wormth and Concernedness. And this I rather recommend, because there are some that affect a cold, dead, careles and heartless way of Delivery. But certainly this has as little Decorum in it as it has of Dention. For since the things we speak are supposed not only to be Truths, but Concerning and Important Truths, what can be more absurd, than see a Man deliver a Sermon as drily and indifferently as one would read a Manhematical Lessure Tis said of John the Baptist, that he was a Burn

Joh. 5. 35. ing, as well as a Shining Light. And truly we have need of such in this Gold Frozen Age. Phin Sermons, Preached with Warmth and Affection, do more than the Best, Coldly deliver'd. You

know

A

-

inow the Story in Eufebins, of the Heathen Philosopher coming into the Council of Nice. who was baffled into Christianity by the meer Warmth and Heartiness wherewith the good Old Man addreft'd him. He could have refifted his Arguments. but not the Spirit and Zeal wherewith he spake. And this is all I shall think proper to remark to you opon the Preaching part.

The next way whereby the Pastors of the Church are to Feed the Sheep of Christ, is by duby administring to them the Holy Sacrament, which is their true Spiritual Food, the Manna that must fustain them in this Wilderness. This is the most proper way of Feeding them, for the Body of Christ is Meat indeed, and his Blood is

Drink indeed.

o give

them

andia

break

trefite

achib

e they

ANIET.

them.

orte.

lange

h th

alik

tok

thefe

here.

and

onld Con-aufe elefs inly

-

(c)

21

-

in

n,

There remains yet one way more of Feeding the Flock of Christ, without which the rest will sgnife but little, and that is by a good Example, Among the other Properties of a good Shepherd, our Saviour reckons this as one, that he goes before Sheep, and leads them by his Steps, as well as Joh 10.4. with his Voice. There ought to be a Connexion between Hear and Do, but much more between French and Do. And he that is not careful of this, as he cannot expect to do much good to others, so he will certainly Condemn himself To be thort (for I hope I need not intarge, fpeaking Wife Men!) a good Preacher; who is an ill Liver, is fach a Monfter as cannot be Matchioin all Africa. MAnd for his Spare hereafter, I may leave it tobe confider'd how great a Condemnation awaits him whom not only the Book of God, and of Confdience, but even his own Sermons shall Judge at the last Day. 10 avol av T 4

Thefe

These are the several ways of discharging this Precept, Feed my Sheep; to which however I think it necessary to add one thing more, and that it that we Feed them our selves, and not by Prom, or Deputation. For our Lord does not say to s. Peter, do thou get some body to seed my Sheep, but do thou Feed them thy self.

For however St. Peter's Shadow might do Care upon the Body, it must be his Person that must do good upon the Souls of his Charge. To fpeat out plainly what I intend, Non-residency is one of the greatest scandals of the Reform'd, yea of the Christian Religion, contrary to all Reason and Justice, as well as Primitive Practice: And who ever are guilty of it, plainly fhew, that they are Lovers of Ease, Honour or Profit more than La vers of Christ. For certainly he that Love Christ as he ought, will not think himself to good to feed his Sheep. Which leads me in the Third and Last place to consider the Conneries and Dependance that is between the Practice of this Command and the Love of Christ. Now this I briefly make out upon a double ground.

The First Ground is, because the Love of Christ will naturally ingage us to Love whatever he Loves; and consequently since his Church is so exceeding dear to him, 'twill ingage us to Love his Church; and if to Love it, then consequently to be diligent in Feeding it, that being the most proper instance of shewing our Love to it.

The Second Ground is, because the Person of Jesus Christ consisting of a Double Nature, God and Man, the Love of him must include the Love of his Humanity, as well as of his Divinity. If therefore we Love Christ, we Love the Humanity.

ma

WC

de

0

th

th

T

n

.01

C

ti

0

C

this

ONT,

20

ecp.

mA

ures

t do

eak

e of

the

and

ho-

att

10

res

tóo

the

100

his

rift

he

fo

SYC

at-

he

y

of

ol

be

17.

an

man Nature as well as the Divine; and if so, then me Love Man as Man, consequently all Men; and if we Love all Men, we shall defire and denderour their Salvation, and accordingly take careto Feed them with the Bread of Life.

hat there is a ftrong Connexion between the Lowing of Christ, and the Freeding of his Sheep, and that duch Pastors as do not well discharge the Larter, have no right of pretending to the Former. This is the Test whereby both St. Peter's, and every Spiritual Pastor's Affection to our bord must be tried, If you Love me, Freed my Sheep.

Let me therefore exhort you all, as you love our Lord Jefus Christ and as you defire to be loved and Approvid of by him, to a fincere and confeientious Discharge of your Pastoral Duty, to Ast. 20.28. take beed unto your felves and to all the Flock over which the Holy Ghost has made you Overseers, to Feed the Church of God, which he has purchased with his own Blood. Let me befeech you to confider what you are, and what you should be. What you are by your Character and Profession, and what you hould be in the Exercise of it, and therefore to take heed to your felves, to your Doctrin, and, above all, to your Publick Life and Conversation. For certainly it cannot be an Ordinary Measure of Religion that will ferve our turn, who are concern'd not only to be Good, but Exemplary, and must Live well for others as well as for our Selver what therefore is Perfection in others, will bebut strict Duty in us. The Devotion of our Ordinary Days ought to exceed that of their Festivals; and we should Live in as much Warmth of Religion as they Die. wei mayla wage xoutev @ osauTit. 2. 7. That's early servine. In all things shewing the full a Pastern of good Works: That's our Rule, we bught to be Patterns and Examples of a Holy and refined Conversation. Let your Lamps therefore be a ways trimm'd, and your Lights always burning and that with such Brightness, as to shame those that will not be always by the Glory of the Flame

And that you may the better do all this, in medelire you all frequently and feriously to meditate upon the Excellent Example of the great and good Shepherd Christ Jesus, whose Lise wis wholly employ'd, and at last laid down for the good of his Sheep. I pray you (My Reversal Brethren) consider this, and all that has been said, that so when this great Shepherd shall return to visit his Flock, you may all give up the same Account to him, that he did to his Father, Those that thou gavest me I have kept, and nome them is loss. Amen.

m Plocal 1 or me holeen with consider which

gyour Clouder and Trapaffur, and what'you bould be a series of the second of the secon

ake need to go proposed to and Conceptures, the certain year cannot be an Octionary Assence of Religion of the certain year and the content of Religion of the content of Religion of the content of the

Subjects 1) rone a to exercitize of their refione; and we from Live as a mula of received of the rice of the life of their sections of their

Joh. 17.

HE included the content of the content wall

THE

ght ned at ng, tole me. let me-

een rethe er,

CHARGE

O F

SCHISM

CONTINUED:

BEING

A Justification of the Author of Chriftian Blessedness for his Charging the Separatists with SC HISM, notwithstanding the Toleration.

In a Letter to a City-Friend.

Tuff Laving of the Author of Chriis Charging the Sebearing with 5 Car and norwest and-. Colorptoloil

tit the Coo Hei to m

eten

dista Difficiente lor. Se

SIR.

HE Information you give me concerning the great Clamour that is made by fome in the City against our Friend the Author of Christian Bleffedness, for continging the Charge of Schism at this time against the Separatifts from the Church of England, I am the more ready to believe, because I find he meets with a great deal of the like Treatment in the Country, as far as the Sphere of my Acquaintance or Intelligence reaches. I can hardly put my Head into any Company, but where I hear him either Passionately rail'd at by Popular uneducated Tongues, or gravely condemn'd by those of more fober and improved Understandings; who, though no Enemies to his Person, have yet but little Charity for his Caufe. Nay, several who know nothing at all of the Book, and so cannot directly and expresly condemn it, do yet shew how ready they would be upon occasion to do so. by declaring their Judgments against the Propofition maintain'd in it.

For I find 'tis a thing generally taken for granted, that the Dissenters are now no longer under the guilt of Schism, however they might be charged with it before. For, say they, the Tables are now turn'd. They have now an Authentick Patent for their Separation, and may divide from you by Authority. And therefore let your Indicamentrum never so high, and be otherwise never so well proved, their Liberty will be their

and Not

are

TY

Chi

tim

Go

La

be t

10

the

pub

and

in C

Sone

him

Na

thei

Can

as in

pref

in Pr

ofar

B

of an

clara

little

ferve

as fa

fron

immediate Discharge. For, Sir, you must know that the Toleration is generally supposed to by all in Common, to put the Church and the Conventicles upon a square, and to acquit those the Separate, not only from the Penalty, but from the

Fault of Non-conformity.

This Notion, I perceive, has found entertainment, not only in Vulgar Heads, (who feldom think diffinctly about any thing, but confoundal things, whose Difference is not to be felt and had led,) but also among those of good Natural feel, and who have taken a Turn or two of Sholafin Education, and understand something of the Measures of Reason and Consequence. Particularly I find this Conceit passes very current among Ladies and Gentlemen, who, for want either a Leisure or Ability, or Attention, seldom emmine things to the bottom, but judge according

to Outfide and Appearance.

But this I do not fo much wonder at, whenly observe that Men of profess'd Study and conside rable Learning are carried away with the fam Fancy, which I find gets ground every day; and let me tell you, Sir, among fome others, belide those whose Interest is concern'd to have it true I find some of these begin to talk very odly and untowardly in this matter, and not according to their usual Clearness, and accuracy of Judgment, which they still retain in their other Discourses. But as for the Interested Parties, they catch at this Popular Plea of the Toleration with all the greediness imaginable, and infift upon it mightily, (an Argument, by the way, that they distrust their other Defences,) and are become down-right impatient of the Charge of Schifm, and

ad think themselves not only highly Affronted by her greatly. Wrong'd and Injured whenever they are tax'd with it, and as you know? Sir, are very angry with our Author for continuing the Charge. What i Charge us with Schifm at this time of day, Now we are in Favour, Now the Government fmiles upon us, Now we have the Law on our Side ? . singed

dom dall

now

Con-

that

the

ain.

and.

nfe.

tid

th

ia

ong

rd

13-

ing

enl

de

MR. and

des

De.

ind to

nt. es.

at he

Eidi-

me

How far they have the Law of their Side, will be better understood from what is to follow. In the man time I wish that some of those who are fo inoms'd against our Author, and so free in ther Censures upon that part of his Book, would have took the Courage to appear against him in publick, which would have been a much fairer admore manly way than either to rail at him in Corners, (which, by the way, are as little sought for by Charity as by Truth,) or to pefter hin with Scurrilous and Abusive Letters without Names. This argues their Fear to be as great as their Malice, and that they diffide either to their Cause, or to their Skill in managing it. The muhis, they ought for their own Credit, as well sin Justice to the Author, either to have Suppres'd their Resentments, or to have Vented them in Publick. Which if they had done, I dare undertake they should not have been disappointed of an Adverfary.

But it feems they have thought fit to make use of another Method, which though not equally dedarative of their Sense, yet with the help of a little Spelling and Collating things together may erve to pick out enough of their Meaning. For as far as I can gather from what I observe, and from what I hear, the Sum of all that they fay 199 fiol

against

Hot less bet But of

har be the jul be who can be did not be the ball seem below t

In the state of th

against our Author (bating impertment Carls and Foul-mouth'd Resections) may be reduced to these three Heads of as bus and in the batter

1. The Falfacis of his Charge.
2. The Uncharitableness of it.
3. The Unseasonableness of it.

Which Treble Censure is grounded upon as Common Argument, because, say they, the bit fenters are Now, by Vertue of their Toleration

upon Equal Terms with the Church.

But, Sir, in the First place, how can that, when One is Established, and the Other only lerated? Is not Establishment more than Liberty If by Liberty here were understood Allowance Warrant to act (which is the highest Sense of the word that the Persons concern'd stand for it would yet fall much short of Establishment, which does not only Allow or Permit, but Enjoyn and Require. Much more then if Liberty here to found (as I believe it will) to signific only accepted of acting without Punishment. Liberty Allowance is much short of Establishment, and more Liberty of Impunity. And how then are the Church and the Dissenters upon Equal Terms.

Some therefore, who better understand what they say, chuse to express themselves thus, That the Disserters have as much Authority for the Liberty, as the Church has for her Establishment. Which implies not Absolute Equality, but only Equality of Proportion. Now this I readily admit But what then? Therefore they are not guilty of Schism in Causelessy dividing from her Communion. I interpose the Term (Causelessy) not without reason. For if they say they have sufficient Cause for dividing from us, then they no longer

langer stand to their Plea of Toleration, but put their Cause upon another Islue, which I think has ben already fufficiently examin'd and exposed. But that which they fland for now by the nature oftheir Appeal, feems to be this, That they are norgailty of Schiffn, because of the Liberty they have by the Toleration, which must therefore be supposed to excuse them from Schism, though they Caufelelly divide from us. For if they had inft Cause for their Separation, then they would be excused from Schiffer without a Teleration, which then need not be pleaded. But this is the Plet that is now generally infifted upon for their dicharge from Schiffe, which must therefore be understood with this Supposal, though they do callely divide from the Communion of the Church This therefore is the true and explicite sme of their Plea. 10 1101111

The Differences have now as much Aethority for their Liberty as the Church has for her Establishment; And therefore they are not guilty of stains in Causelessy Separating from her. Now the Confequence I utterly deny, and Affirm that sich Separatists are as much guilty of Schism now, where the Toleration, as they were before.

the state of the s

To make this Clear, we must, in the First place distinguish between the Law it self, and the Sanction of the Law. By the Law it self here lunderstand the bare Simple Proposition, where we the the doing or the not doing such a thing head the By the Sanction of the Law I understand those External Motives which are proposed and solemnly annex'd by the Law-giver to his law as an ingagement to Obedience, that is, knowed and Punishments. These Sanctions, tho

oal

oth fan Sub Chi

las

two files

all

lik

the

of Ch

ord

Co

(H)

of the thin the Pen mo cep ren

doc

when we say the Penal Part by way of Contradistinction to the Preceptive; yet properly speaking, they are no part of the Law at all, but only Accessories or Appendixes prudentially addition to it, as Expedients for the better inforcement of Obedience. The Law it self is wholly conpleated in the Proposition, from which the San Ction is as much distinct as the Hedge is from the Inclosure, or the Ground which it incloses.

This Distinction naturally leads us into an ther, as being dependent upon it. For if the Sanction be a diffinct thing from the Law, the we must also, adly, distinguish between the Al lishing of the Sanction and the Abolishing of the Law, and between the Suspension of the Sandia and the Suspension of the Law, and much more vet between the Suspension of the Sanction and the Abolishment of the Law. If the Law andth Sanction were one and the same thing, yet the Suspension of the Sanction could not be an Abo lishment of the Law, because Suspension is not the lishment. Much less then can the Suspension of the Sanction be an Abolishment of the Law upon the Supposition of their Difference. These things therefore ought carefully to be distinguisht.

From the Distinctions premised, this Coclusion will necessarily arise, That the Direction or Preceptive part of the Law may still remaining force, though the Penal part (I speak according to Common use) be removed, whether it bely Abolishment or by Suspension. For since the Proceptive and the Penal part are supposed to be wholly distinct, 'tis impossible that a Change made in the One should at all affect the Other, n, a otre

cesk tonddy men con-San nthe

ano the

About the chiese the

Abo Abo the times

tive nin ling by Pro-

miles you could suppose some Connexion or other to intercede between them. As for infince, the Soul and Body being supposed to be sabstances really distinct, 'tis impossible that a Change in the One should at all affect the Other. mies there were fuch a Law of Connexion betwen them, that Certain Thoughts in the Soul hould raise Certain Motions in the Body, and that Certain Motions in the Body should occasion Certain Thoughts in the Soul, which is what we all the Vital Union between Soul and Body. like manner fay I concerning the Preceptive and the Penal part of the Law, that upon Supposition of their real distinction 'tis impossible that a Change in the One should at all affect the Other. mes there should happen to be such a declared Comexion between them by the Will of the Ledative, that upon the Cealing of the One, the Other also should Cease; or unless the Nature of the thing infer the Necessity of it. Neither of which may be pretended in the present Case, as hall have Occasion to shew in the Processof this Argument. At present I suppose it, and do theefore fay that the Preceptive part of the Law my, and will still remain in force, though the Penal part (which is distinct from it) be remov'd.

Whence it will further follow, that the Preceptive part of the Law does at present actually remain in full force. For all that a Toleration does or can do, is only to remove the Penalty, where there is an Establisht National Church. It into there a Liberty of Allowance, but only a Lilerty of Impunity. I say where there is an Establish National Church. For indeed where there is no Legal Establishment for the Publick Engcise of Religion, a Toleration would be a Libraty by of Allowance, (I mean as far as the State Civil Law can give an Allowance in this matery) but where there is such an Establishment, the it can only be a Liberty of Impunity. Then only suspends or takes away for a time the Pop part, which will not excuse from transgress against the Preceptive, which, where ever the is a National Establishment, still Lives, Breaks Speaks, Commands, and Obliges too under in

公司 野事 明明

the

10

thè

for

10

tic

M

ía

fat

fit

E

PER

though not under Givil Penalty.

Every one knows, that has either Read . Thought any thing about the Nature of Lan that a Teleration is very much fhort of a Differin on But now a Difpensation does not Abolish the he cept of the Law, much less then may a Toleran be supposed able to do it . Indeed a Dispension does fome way affect the Preceptive part of the Law, and that is it whereby it exceeds a Tolen tion. It is indeed a prefent Suspension of it, m an absolute thorough Suspension, but a Suspension on with relation to fuch a particular Person Action, in respect of which, the present Cont of the Law is interrupted. But now a Tolerain does not so much as affect the Preceptive part of the Law, it has no manner of effect upon much less can it Abolish it, or Null the Obliging force of it. All therefore that it can do is the to remove the Penalty.

And this is the true Difference, and perhaps to Only one that can be affigured between a Different sion and a Toleration. A Different and purpose, the prefent, and to some intenta and purpose, bind up or suspend the Preceptive part of the

Law, and interrupts the Authoritative and Oblong Power of it, and thereby makes it not onh confiltent wit Impunity, but with Imocency to degainst it. For it makes the Law as no Law with respect to the Person or Persons dispensed with, and for the time while they are dispens'd But now a Toleration does not pals any fach Effect (indeed not any at all) upon the Preentive part of the Law. It neither frikes it. nor heell'd at it. All the Execution that it does. a it design'd to do, is upon the Penal part, which indeed for the time is wholly remov'd by it. So that Dispensation does as much exceed a Tolemion, as an Abrogation does a Dispensation. In that a Dispensation does do no more than Suspend the Preceptive part, it falls thort of an Abrogatiwhich Absolutely and Universally removes it. And in that it does fo much, it exceeds a Tolerawhich only takes off the Penalty, without A much as touching either the Substance or the Verm of the Law.

And though this be clear and plain enough by the Evidence of its own Light; yet, I confess, is some satisfaction to me, and it may be more so wothers who fet a greater value upon Authorities than I do, to find fo great and so well-approv'd a Judge of the Abitract and general Reafor of Law as the Celebrated Suarez to be of the fame Judgment with me in this matter. For, flys he, in his Book De Legibut, speaking of the Lib. 6. cape ffects of a Difpensation of a Humane Law, Dif- 11. p.385. pensatio plus est quam Permissio, & Permissio proprie Jumpta & secundum Communem usum, non est Difpossatio. Aliquando enim permittere non alind sigbificat quam voluntarie non impedire, quod non eft Dispensares

多生 医 生 名

Dispensare. Nam Deus permittit Peccatum, ina non Dispensat. Aliter vero permittere signification quod finere impune operari malum, ut in Republicat cuntur aliqua peccata permitti; Et quando id file decreto Legis, dicitur permisso effe Legis effettm. la vero non relaxat Legem, quandoquidem non exa fat Culpam, quam propria Dispensatio tollit. Et i neque illa dicetur Dispensatio. A Dispensation is me than a Permission or Toleration (for 'tis all one, bed as to the import of the word, and Suarez's m Stant way of using it) and a Permission properly ken, and according to Common use is not a Dispuls For sometimes to permit signifies no other the voluntarily not to hinder, which is not to Differ For God does permit Sin in which he does not Difu Sometimes again to permit sionifies the same a luffer the doing of an Evil with Impunity, as some Su are faid to be permitted in a Common-wealth, A when this is done by vertue of a legal Decree, then find a Permission is said to be the Effett of the Law. It this does not relax the Law. in as much as it does in excuse the Fault, which a proper Dispensation to away. And therefore neither may this be faid to he Dispensation.

er th

10 3

tion,

fpet

of th

29 R

the

Sot

niff Bin

ther

be c

miß

whi

and

wif

TWC

ing

Sin

WI

La

ice

thi

Sal

up

Suarez here distinguishes of a twofold Susterance or Toleration; the Susfering an Evil to be done, or the bare not hindering the doing of at, and the Susfering it to be done with Impunity. And both these he sets below a Dispensation. The former kind of Toleration is the least that can be imagin'd, and indeed is so little as to be consistent with the strictest Kind or State of Government that is. All Governours do Tolerate at this rate, even God himself, who, as He say, does permit or not hinder the committing of Sin. The

lica &

m.L

exp.

Et in

bod ,

penis penis penis penis penis penis penis penis penis penis penis

fab

k

ale:

kı

er.

it;

ty.

her kind of Toleration is a degree above the mer, as adding to it the doing with Impunity. and this indeed is too much to confift with the fit ex spidness of Government, and therefore is not fed in every Kind or State of it, Neither God Man do always Tolerate after this manner. for indeed it is a Relaxation of the Government, not as to the Legislative, but only as to the Exemine part of it. But though it be so much greate than the former fort of Toleration, yet it is alto at the same time as much less than a Dispensation, in that a Dispensation does, in some refreas, wholly fuspend even the very Obligation of the Law, which a Toleration does not fo much a Relax, or any way Affect, but only Suspends the Penalty annex'd to the Transgression of it. So that though it be some Mitigation of the Gowinment, and thereby exceeds a bare simple Permillion, yet it is no Abatement of the Law, and therein falls short of a Dispensation.

The short of this matter is, All Permission may be consider'd either as of Right, or of Fact. Permilion of Right is the same with a Dispensation. which disarms the Law of its present Authority and Obligation, and makes it no Fault to do otherwife than is Commanded. Permission of Fact is twofold, either the bare suffering or not hindering the doing of an Evil, which may be call'd a Simple Permission: Or the suffering it to be done without Punishment, which is a Toleration. This Latter Permission, though it be greater than the immediately preceding, yet 'tis as much less than the First, as reaching no higher than the Sanction, whereas that puts a present restraint

spon the very Power of the Law.

This I take to be a true and diffinct Idea of Toleration, both as it is in its own proper in ture, and as it stands in relation to other Change that concern the Administration of the last that concern the Administration of the last in the least diminish, but only lays a refine upon the Execution of it. It does not develop that of any part of her Sovereignty, but on Sheaths up her Sword of Discipline; it does not silence her Voice, but only Stays her Hand, in short, there is nothing more nor less in it, in a Suspension of the Penal part of the Law.

This is all that it can do, and perhaps moreth it ought. For I believe there ought to be no in thing as a Toleration, and that 'tis more thank ther the Church or the State can rightfully gran For not to infift upon that Trust which feems be repos'd in them, to defend and fecure both line ty of Faith and Unity of Worship; if there out to be a Toleration, then there ought to be m Establishment, (for to what purpose is an Establishment, blishment, whose Order must never be exerted?) But 'tis a strange thing if Human Law may not be allow'd to oblige to the same things which the Divine Law is acknowledg'd to Oblige, that is, to Ecclesiastick Unity. Which becank required by the Divine Law, ought also to benquired by Human Laws, and confequently there ought to be no such thing as a Toleration. And besides, those that say there ought, seem to me to be guilty of a Contradictory, Self-inconfilms Proposal, and not rightly to understand what they would have. For if they will have Liberty of Conscience granted to any, then certainly the Supreme Authority of the Nation must be allow'd this

the manufacture of the state of

はいるとはいる。

t on

- M

than

100

an c. Fan,

us to Uni-

e no Efta-

ect.

36

gto

ige,

20k

16-

nere

And

tes

hat

rty

v'd

his

this Liberty. And then let us Suppose (as well we may) that be thinks himself Obliged in Duty and Conscience to use the Power that is in his bands, to suppress all Religions but the true one, that is, all but that which he himself conceives to be true. And what then will become of the Toleration? Nor ought the Magistrate to be Named for fo doing. For having once allow'd in general that every Man ought to have Liberty of Conscience, you ought not to think it hard that the Magistrate assumes this Liberty of acting according to his Conscience, though by doing so he restrains and hinders yours, as he certainly will in case he make use of the same Liberty. So that Absolute and Universal Liberty of Conscimæis a down-right contradictory, inconfiftent Supposition: which one Consideration, by the way, is sufficient to overthrow all that a Late Author has pleaded in its behalf.

But I shall pursue this no further, as being only a Digression from my Present Concern. What Island for now, is this, That a Toleration, when it is granted, implies no more than only a Removal of such Penalties as the Law would otherwise institute upon those who Disobey it. That it does not either Abrogate, or Suspend, or Dispense with the Law, but only bridles and reins up the Execution of it. All which is to be understood with the fore-mention'd Condition, where there is an

Establish'd National Church.

And this (notwithstanding the Toleration) is the present Case in England, where there is a Church Establish by the Law of the Land, and invested with several Temporal as well as Ecclesoficial Rights and Priviledges, where the Pub-

in

th fo ju

fe

In

O

B

lo

m

fa

ni pi

a al to o b it is

lick Liturgy stands Authorized by feveral Am of Parliament, where Articles of Religion and Conflitutions and Canons Ecclefiaftical are all Confirm'd by the fame Authority, where the Act of Uniformity remain unrepeal'd as before, and where even the Dissenters themselves are all required to pay Tithes to the Publick and Legally. appointed Ministry. Which is also a plain and certain Argument that they are required to at tend upon it, and conform to it. For is it imginable that the Laws should Oblige them to Contribute their part to the Support and Maintenance of that Worship, to which they do not enjoyn them to Conform? This would be against the very Supposition of an Establishment, and would place the Church and the Conventicle upon an equal ground indeed, that is, it would make neither of them Eftablish, but both only Tolerated. But they confess the Church to be Establisht, and so indeed she is as much as ever; and therefore the only Change made by the Toleration is, that the Penal part of the Law is, for the present, laid aside. As for the Preceptive, that stands where it did, and Obliges under Sin, though not under Civil Penalty.

And if so, then those who now divide from this Church establisht by Law, which Law does also require their Communion with it, are true and proper Transgressors against the Law; and if they do it Causeless, are also guilty of the Breach of Church-Unity, that is, of Schism, notwithstanding any Publick Order for the not inslicting the Punishment otherwise due to them for so transgressing. This cannot excuse them either from Disobedience to the State, or from Schism

in the Church, with whose Establishment they are still Obliged to Conform as far as Lawfully they may, though not accountable to the Law for their Non-conformity. Thus the Jews were justly taxable with disobedience to their Law in the matter of Arbitrary Divorce, though for some Prudential Reasons tolerated in the Pradice of it by Moses their Chief Magistrate. The Effect of which Toleration (as our Saviour himself expounds it) was not Innocency, but only Impurity.

Against what has been hitherto discours'd, I know but of one Objection that a Man would not be Ashamed to Urge, or Impertinent to Answer, or that deserves the Expence of Ink and Paper. But there is another which I must first take in my way, because 'tis thrown upon me, and is very loud and importunate for Satisfaction, which must therefore, I think, be given it for quietness

fake.

16

a

ind

re-

ly-

ind

at-

na-

on-

te-

p-

of

nd

P-Id

be

1;

0-

or

C,

0,

,

r

In the first place then it is pleaded, that this is no Ordinary Toleration. That it is not a bare Supine Neglect to animadvert, a kind of Drowsie st, or Nodding of the Government, no, nor a design'd and deliberate Connivance only, nor yet a Private act of Indulgence declared by the Will and in the Name of the Prince alone; But that its an Indulgence granted and settled by an Act of the whole Legislative, by the joint Concurrence of King and Parliament; that its a Toleration by Law, by the same Law upon which the Church it self is erected, and by which it stands. This is the Common Popular Objection, and there is not a Woman, or a Shopkeeper, but what is Big with it.

Now I grant the Diffenters that they have a Toleration fettled by Law, and that therefore this is no Ordinary Toleration, and I know they are not a little puffed up with it. And by the way, Sir, 'twould make even a very grave Man fmile to fee how those Men who have been his therto fuch Despisers and Vilifiers of a National Establishment, are now lifted up with the Concert of a National Liberty. Of what advantage this may prove either to themselves, or to the Naton, or to the genera Interest of Religion, I lene to wifer Heads to Conjecture, and to Time to thew . but in the mean while I fancy this their Plea, from the Extraordinariness of the Tolers. tion, will do'em but little fervice. For 'tis the unhappiness of this Objection that it proceeds upon a wrong State of the Question. The Que ftion is not concerning either the Kind or the Degree of the Ambority, but concerning the Nature and the Extent of the Grant; not by what they are Authorized, but to what, whether to act Allowedly and with Innocence, or only Unrestrainedly and with Impunity? And to what purpose then do they insist upon the Greatnessof the Authority?

A CONTRACT

If it be faid, that this is no Mistaking the State of the Question, but an Inferring the thing denied, viz. Liberty of Allowance, from the Greatness of that Authority whereby this Solema Toleration is granted: I answer, that then the Inference is grossy False and Illogical. 'Tis containly a very pleasant way of Arguing, to infer the greater extent of the Grant from the Greatness of the Authority whereby it is made, as if a Lesser Grant might not be the Effect of a Greater Authority.

Dre

dan

hi-

mil

his

ti-

ric

to

eir

13.

eds

ne. he

laidt

to n-

ät

of

he

00

he

t-

er

t.

t-

Suppose that instead of Moses, God himself had been the Author of the Toleration concerning Arbitrary Divorce among the Jews, This Toration was indeed given by Mofes without any Divine Commission for it, as far as appears. But impose it had been given by God himself. the readily granted that fuch a Toleration as this would have been of much greater Authoritriban the other, indeed of the greatest in the World. But would it therefore have been to my higher Purpole or greater Effect? No. that does not follow. Mofes his Toleration reacht aster as Impunity, and a Divine one would have reacht no further. It would not have produc'd my other Effect, though perhaps it might have ben a further Security and Establishment of the Though the Authority it felf be Greater. ver the thing granted by that Authority may be the same that is otherwise granted by a Lesser; and if there he nothing elfe in the Butiness but mlya greater Degree of Authority, it must and will be fo. And thus 'tis in the Cafe before us. Though a Toleration by Act of Parliament be of greater Authority than a Toleration by the fole Will and Pleasure of the Prince, yet it is not to a greater Effect And though the Diffenters have sow as much Authority for their Liberty, as the Church has for her Establishment, yet 'tis only for Liberty, that is, (as appears by what has been faid) Impunity.

When therefore it is pleaded that this is no Ordinary Toleration, it is plain that there is a double meaning in the Proposition. It may be understood either as to the Authority of it, or as to the Effect of it. If as to the Authority, that in-

四曲日

10

fre

qu

of

thi

Pa

fa

bý

(8

th

thi

to

Bo

198

far

ing the

un

the

COL

the

2W

BO

on

wh

the

laf

deed is true, but nothing at all to the purpose, as being belide the State of the Question. If a to the Effect; that I affirm and have shewn to be false. The Effect of this Toleration reaches not an Inch further than that of a less Solemn One would have done; perhaps, considering some particular Restrictions whereby it is qualify, not so far. But to be sure it can extend no far, the greatest Effect of both being only Exemption from Punishment. So that you see this Objection is quite disarm'd, and indeed is too weak and empty to abide any longer Trial.

The truth is, I should not have kept it so low under Examination, were it not for the Popularity of it, and that great Noise that is made about it. Tis not its Moment, but its Importantly that has procured it so full a Hearing. For indeed in it self it is weak and silly enough Though yet I think it is the Best by much that is used, or that the Men I am dealing with have he vention enough to urge. But I will be so kind to them, for once, as to put a Better Objection into their hands, and if they think I do not propose enough to their advantage, let them take it and manage it themselves.

You may please to remember, Sir, that a little further backward, speaking of the Distinction that is between the Sanction of the Law, and the Law it self; I said 'twas impossible that a Change in the One should at all affect the Other, unless there was a Connexion between them, arising either from the declared Will of the Legislative, or from the Nature of the thing it self.

Now in this I may feem to have laid a Foundation for a very strong Objection. For it may be plead-

nleaded that there is fuch a Connexion, not from the Will of the Legislative declaring such a dependence between the Law and the Sanction, that upon the Ceasing of one, the other also shall Crie: This, I suppose, will not be pretended. nordo I fee any room for fuch a Pretence. from the very Nature of the thing it felf fo re-

ofc.

fa

o be

DOC

One

our y'd, fur

Er.

this

too

ong

rla-

ade

rts-For

gh.

tis

In-

to

ato e it

ind

tk

ion he ge efs

ng re,

100

be

d-

For it may be faid, that although the Sanction of the Law be, as we have thewn, a Distinct thing from the Law it felf, that is, the Penal part from the Preceptive, yet it is Effential and Necessary to the Obligation of it. And therefor that which removes the Sanction, does also by Consequence remove the Obligation of the Law : And confequently fince a Toleration (as is acknowledg'd) removes the Sanction of the Law, it does also by Vertue of that remove the Obligation of it, the Latter not being able tofand without the Former, any more than the Body is to live without the Soul.

Iconfess if the Sanction of the Law were necesfary to the Obligation of it, then notwithstanding all the real Difference that is between them, that which takes away the Sanction, would also mhinge the Obligation, as that which takes away the Soul destroys also the Life of the Body , and consequently the Toleration, by taking away the Sanction, must of necessity be allow'd to take away the Obligation too. In this there can be no great Difficulty, or Dispute. All the Question will be concerning the Leading Proposition, whether the Sanction of the Law be necessary to the Life and Obligation of it. Here will be the aft Pinch of the Difficulty, as will be better difcern'd,

cern'd, if we Analyze the Argument, by Caffin it into a Logical Form and Order; wherein and moowist symbol

will appear thus:

If the Toleration takes away the Sanction of the Law, it also takes away the Obligation of But according to you it takes away the Sanding Nature of the Law ; min sail to around

Therefore it takes away the Obligation.

The Confequence is denied, and thus proved That which takes away the Sanction, take away the Obligation.

Therefore if the Toleration takes away the Sanction, it also takes away the Obligation.

The Antecedent is denied, and thus proved: If the Sanction of the Law be Necessary to the Obligation of it, then that which takes away the the Sanction, takes away the Obligation.

Butthe Sandion is necessary, &c.

Here the Confequence is granted, but the Minor is denied :

Which was the Head and Knot of the Obe ction, and whose Truth comes now to be laamin'd.

It is a Common Question among Moralifa Civilians, and Casuistical Divines Utrum Sandin Pænalis sit de Essentia sive de Ratione Legis? Whe ther a Penal Sanction be of the Effence or Reason of the Law , By which, I fuppose, they do not mean, as the terms feem to import, whether it be of the inward Form and Conftitution of the Law, (for 'tis plain that it is not;) but who ther it be a Necessary Condition to the Obligation of it, the same thing that is now under Confideration.

90

wh

wh

wh the

ha

Off

Fo

cit

2

is

ra

151 16

the but

ifi

ads

on La

ro

is,

to its

the

oth

Ma

3091

100

of it

tion

red :

akes

th

d:

the

the

Mi.

bje.

L.

ft,

Sin /hr

of m

the he-

do

I do not remember that Swarez takes any nomof this matter, which I cannot but wonder in a Person that undertakes a just and adecaste Account of the Nature of Laws, and who often descends to the discussion of Points of much lefter moment. Though what his Judgment was in the Case, may be sufficiently Collected from that he discourses concerning the several ways Lib. r. thereby Laws may fuffer a Change. Among c, 20.p.53. which I do not find that he has any Mention of the Removal of the Penalty, which he could not have omitted, if he had thought that the San&lon of the Law was necessary to its Obligation. For then the Removal of it would have caus'd either an Abrogation or a Sufpension in the Law. mis nrg'd in the Objection. But the Question is not fo overlookt by Others; and they geneally answer in the Negative, that the Sanction is not Necessary to the Obligation of the Law. I fav generally. For I know there are some few that fay that it is Necessary, and that the Law is but a mere dead Letter, a Body without a Soul, ifithave no Sanction. Nay there are some that advanceone step higher, and say that the Sanction is not only necessary to the Obligation of a law, but that it derives its whole Obligation from the Sanction.

That the Latter of these Assertions is not true, is, I think, as certain as I would desire any thing to be. For I consider, that if the Law derived its whole Obligation from the Sanction, then these grand Absurdities (not to mention any other) would unavoidably follow.

First, That any Law would Oblige, let the Matter of it be never so wicked and unjust, or X

the Authority whereby 'ris made never fo incinpetent, provided it were arm'd with a sufficient Sanction. For the Sanction being supposed to be the only Fountain of Obligation, that being pufent, and in such measure as is requisite, the Oligation must needs follow, as every Effect does upon the Being of its intire and adequate Cause.

Secondly. It would also follow, that no Law could oblige constantly, unless it were backt with the greatest Penalty that is absolutely possible For fince the Law is supposed to have all its Ohigation from the Penalty, then where thereis the greater Penalty, there will be the great Obligation; and confequently if the Cafe front fo happen, that a Man should threaten me if I & not transgress the Law, with a greater Punishmen than the Law does in case I do, I am then dis charged from the Obligation of the Law, (ash ing under a greater Obligation) and may into cently transgress it, though it were the law even of God himself. Which at one blow would strike off the constant Obligation of the whole Jewish Law. For the Law of the Jews being to tify'd and confirm'd to them only by Temporal Penalties, and those not always the greatest, even of the same Kind and Order; if a Jew should happen to be tempted to transgress the Laws he easily might) by a greater Punishment than the Law would inflict upon him for transgreling it, upon this supposition, that the Law receives its Obligation from the Penalty, it would follow, that he would be released from the Obligation of his Law, which I think is Abfurd enough. But to this I further add:

to

fi

2

de

it

a

fit

14

to

the

tho

ma flo

Pa

SVO

to t

may

Ih

the

Thirdly, If the Law be to receive its Obligafrom the Sanction, then we must suppose it therfore to Oblige, because it makes it Reasona-Mor Eligible for a Man to do fo or fo, that he my avoid fuch a Punishment, for that is all the engagement to action that the Sanction can be imposed to add. But if this be all, this is not mObligerin Duty, but only in Point of Prudence. which indeed is not properly to Oblige at all, face otherwise all rational Inducements would be Indeed the Proposal of a Penalty may be agood Ground of Persuasion why a Man should dolo as the Law directs. But it can be no more, is cannot lay an Obligation upon the Conscience. make it Sin to do otherwise. It may move, deermine, and in some Cases secure the Choice, but it cannot Oblige the Will.

com.

tobe pro

e OL dos

ufc.

Lav

with

Tible

ONI.

att B eater

ouk

Ide

nent

dif.

she

200-

Law

oald hole

12-

oral

ISVE

bluc

(25

ban

ives

OW. ion

But

To fay therefore that the Law Obliges by and from the Sanction, is in effect to fay that it does not Oblige at all, fince then it could Oblige only by way of Perfusiion and rational Inducement, which indeed is not strictly and properly to Oblige. The truth is, upon this Supposition the most Excellent Law of the most Sacred Authority in the World would Oblige no otherwife than the Demand of a Thief upon the High-The Thief bids me Deliver, or he'll Pifolme. The Law bids me do thus, or I shall be Punish'd. I vield up my Money to the Thief, to avoid being Pistol'd; and I yield my Obedience with Law, to avoid being Punish'd. 'Tis possible here that the Motive of Action in both Cases may be the same, viz. the avoiding of Evil. But hope no body will be fo Gross as to fay, that the Obligation in both Cases is alike too; that X 2 the

the Law Obliges no otherwise than the Command of a Thief when he comes to Rob me. And yet this must be said, if it be true, that the Law has its Obligation from the Sanction; for the 'tis plain, that all its Obligation comes to the That it makes it reasonable and eligible for me to do such an Action to avoid such an Evil, and

fo does the Thief.

Fourthly, If the Law Obliges purely in Vertue of the Sanction, then 'twill follow, that the Law will Oblige no further than the Sanction reaches; Confequently it will not Oblige the Supreme Magistrate; who is acknowledged me to be subject to the Sanction of the Law. But this is more than the greatest Sticklers for Prengative will allow, and indeed is against the general Sense of the greatest Masters of Law, whether Common or Civil, who generally yield the supreme Magistrate to be under the Directive, the not under the Coercive Power of the Law. And if so, then the Preceptive does not Oblige by Vertue of the Coercive, since 'tis allow'd to Oblige where the Coercive does not reach.

中 5 年 5 年 5 日 5

800

nishment

Fifthly, This Hypothesis of the Law's recining its Obligation from the Sanction, will, is the Consequence of it, make all Laws purely Park that is, such as do not oblige Absolutely, to the Fact, but only Conditionally, either to the Fact or to the Penalty; and which therefore are equally satisfy'd either by doing what is Express'd, a by suffering what is Intail'd upon the Omission of it. For if the Law be supposed to Obligation the Penalty which it proposes, then to Obligation comes to this, That I must do such a thing, because otherwise I must suffer such a Po

simment; which is as much as to fay, that if I donot fuch a thing, I must fuffer fuch a Punishment; which will again at last be resolv'd into this, that either I must do so, or suffer so; which though it Obliges me Disjunctively, leaves me at fall Liberty as to the Determination of my Choice. Which expresses the true Form and Nature of a we penal Law. But I suppose it will not be said therall Laws are purely Penal. For then there mould be no Sin but only where the Penalty is declined or relisted; the Consequence of which again would be, that all the Sin that is in the World would only be against Human Laws; and that there would be no fuch thing as Sinning against God, because the Divine Justice can neither be evaded nor refifted. Which is a Confegence that would make strange Alterations, and introduce as strange Confusions in the System of the Moral and Intellectual World.

Sixthly and Lastly, If the Obligation of the Law proceeded from the Sanction, then would follow, that I am therefore Obliged to do, because I must Suffer for not doing: But this in our far from being true, that the Reverse of it is to far from being true, that the Reverse of it is to far from being true, that the Reverse of it is to far from being true, that the Reverse of it is to far I must Suffer for not doing, because I was Obliged to do. For I must Suffer, we was I sinn'd, otherwise my Suffering would be unjust. And I could not Sin if I were not under an Obligation; which being thus Pre-supposed and Antecedent to the Necessity of undergoing the Penalty, (which is what I call the Sanction,) cannot possibly be founded upon it, or

proceed from it.

Com.

. And Law

the

this.

or m

, and

Ver-

t the action

e the

d m

Bu

gene.

ether e Su-

tho

And

ge by

01

ceir-

11, ia

DIA

o the

Fall

qual-

d, or

fion

eby

n its

ich :

Po

ent

This last Argument proves not only that the Law does not derive his whole Obligation from the

it is thence derived. For if the wole Obligation

be (as is supposed) Antecedent to the Penalty. then 'tis plain that no part of it is or can be de derived from it. To which I further add, The the End of the Sanction is to move and engage Men to pay that Obedience to the Law which they owe. Tis indeed one of the forcible me violent ways of recovering a Debr, a Debr which Men owe to the Law, and which, if they did not owe it, could not be justly exacted from then under a Penalty. Here then is Duty and Oh gation supposed (in Order of Nature at least) as Antecedent to the Sanction, and to which the Sanction is to ferve as a Means to the End. And therefore tismost certain (if there be any thing to in the Measures of Reasoning) that the Lawies not derive its Obligation, or any Part or Degree of it from the Sanction. And this I take to k Vertually at least contain'd in those well-known Words of the Apostle, Wherefore ye must need to Rom. 13. Subject, not only for Wrath, but also for Conscient There is, I know, fome Dispute concen-Take. ing the Meaning, and concerning the Extent of the former Clause; but whatever be meant by Subjection here, and how far foever it is to the tend, this in the mean time is certain, that the Subjection, whatever it be, is by the Apolls press'd from a double Principle, Wrath and Coscience, which he plainly distinguishes one from another, as two different Grounds and Indicements to Subjection. Ye must needs be subject not only for Wrath, but also for Conscience; that is, not only to avoid Punishment, but also out of a Sense of Duty and Obligation. Which

ee of

ation

altr.

e de

The

and

hich

did

hen

)bii-

aft 1

the

And

g fo does gree

k

DWD

bk

品のから

出版を

100

Bát

ther degree of Argument for Subjection, 'tis plain that in the judgment of the Apostle the Obligation of the Law is not from the Sanction; branse if so, he need only have said, 'Te must be sometimes for Wrath, which would have been sufficient, as including the other. But whereas he makes a Contradistinction between them, 'tis evident that he that is subject only for Wrath, is not subject for Conscience; and consequently that Obligation in Conscience does not proceed from Wrath, but from some other Principle.

Tit be then demanded, Whence does the Law receive its Obligation? I answer first, that 'tis about for my present purpose to have shewn that it does not receive it from the Santtion. But the Law has its Obligation from the Authority of the Law giver, who by vertue of his Authority has a Right to be Obey'd, and to exact Obedience by Panishments in all his just Committed.

And this they will at length be driven to activeledge, who derive the Obligation of the Law from the Sanction. For when they fay that the Law obliges by and from the Sanction, they must be understood to mean if their Sense be from out more at length, that the Law obliges me to do so because it obliges me to suffer for not doing so: But pray how comes the Law to oblige me to the Latter, to suffer for not doing so? It this by vertue of any other Sanction? But so we might run on to Infinity. It must be therefore by something else. And what should that be but the Authority of the Law giver? And if this be thought

thought sufficient to Authorize the Sanction, who should it not also Authorize the Law?

Pro

Cos

ıbe

[1

the

Bir

公司 经 上面 中国 中国 日本

re

101 2 ft

10

DÇC

of I

MI

ren

ne

thi

ten

If it be further askt, whence has the Lawy ver his Authority? I answer, If he be a Creature, he has it from God, whom I suppose to be the fole Fountain of all Power. But if the Lawy ver be God, he has it Originally and Independently in himself. But whether it be by the new Super-cominency of his Nature, as some say, or by the Benefit of Creation, as others, let them different that abound with more leisure than I do, and want better employment.

But though the Sanction does not give the law its Obligation, or any part of it, may it not however be necessary to it? Yes, if made so by the Will of the Legislator (as I said before) Establishing such a Connexion between them, the upon the Ceasing of the One, the Other all shall cease. But not in any wise from the Nature of the thing. For if it be of it self Necessary, it must either be as a Cause, or as a Condition. The it is not Necessary as a Cause, we have sufficiently proved already, by shewing that it has no part in effecting or producing the Obligation of the Law. If therefore it be Necessary, it must be Necessary as a Condition. But that it is not, it will now briefly shew.

By a Condition I understand that, which the it has no Causal influence upon the Effect, yet it so determines the Efficient Cause to act, as the without it it will not act. As for instance, the opening of a Window, though it be not the Cause of inlightning the Room, (for it does Efficiently inlighten it,) yet 'tis the Condition of it, a being that without which the Sun will not inlight

m it. Or to come somewhat nearer home, the Promulgation of a Law, though it be not the Efficient Cause of its Obligation, yet it is the Condition of it, as being that without which the Law will not oblige. Now the Question is, Wheter the Sanction of the Law be in this sense neces-

(are to the Obligation of it?

中にはいるかにか

46

aw

江野食官其都有好好的

k

d

と は は は は に

ŀ

Towbich Lanswer, By no means. For fince the Obligation of the Law is supposed to owe its Birth not to the Sanction of it, but to other Capies (which has been proved already) there ca be no manner of reason pretended why the Sention would be a Condition necessary to the admi obliging of it, but only as it may be efteemda Sien that fuch a Proposition is the Will of the Legislator. For the Law having its whole and for Anthority within its felf independently on the function, needs only a publick Sign that 'ris indeed the Will of such a Power to make it actuobliging. If therefore the Sanction be necolory, it must be necessary as a Sign. But that softeing already fufficiently answer'd and fatisid by the Premulgation of the Law, it cannot pretend to any such necessity, and therefore to meat all h. And accordingly; we fee, that even afolemn Prompilgation it felf, when it ceases whe necessary as a Sign, ceases absolutely to be secessary, as appears in the Instance of the Law of Nature; which because it may be and is otherwife known, than by a folemn Publication of it, tenders that Circumstance wholly unnecessary.

The fum is, if the Sanction be necessary, it is accessive as a Publick Sign, that being the only thing supposed to be further wanting, where the law is otherwise sufficiently Authentick. But it

is not necessary on that Account, because of the Promulgation, which fully and directly for to that End. And therefore it is not at all a cellary.

But may not the Sanction be necessary as a Sin where the Law is not promulgated? To this !. fwer, first, That the Fate of the present Carti not concern'd in the illue of this Question or Laws being sufficiently promulgated. But in from it. For the Queltion proceeds upon an tradictory Supposition It supposes the Lawnie promulgated and not promulgated at the fig. time. For if the Sanction be necellary as a se then it must make the first discovery of the La which till then must not be supposed to be hom And yet it muft too, and that before the said on can pretend to be a Sign For the Sandton not precend to that Office till 'tis known; with cannot be known till after the Law is known for to know the Sanction, is to know that fuch the nalty is by the Law annexed to fach an Acid. which presupposes the Law to be known w how then can the Sanction be necessary as san where the Law is not promulgated ? The my Supposition implies a Contradiction. When further conclude, That 'tis impossible that som ction should ever be necessary as a Sign to the Obligation of the Law; which as it received its Obligation from it, fort may and will oblig without it, fince from what has been discount it clearly appears that it is no way needling to the Obligation of the Law, either as a Condition as a Condition, 10 law is other wife fulficient

I fay, to the Obligation of it. For I do not deny but that a Sanction is very necessary to the inforcement, and better Success of the Law : and that therefore 'tis very expedient that all laws should have their Sanctions; partly that whem the greatness of the Obligation may be med and estimated (it being the general Prudence of Law-givers to annex greater Penalties more concerning and important Precepts) ad partly that by them Men might be the more and fecurely contain'd within the Limits of their Duty; which without fome Penal Refrant every little appearance of Interest would tempt them to transgress.

and yet even this is only an Accidental Neces fiv. introduc'd by the badness of the World. For were Men as they fron'd be, Wife and Good which till the Millemium I despair to fee) they would diftern and be fatisfy'd of the Reafon and Builty of the Law; and that alone would be a inficient motive to Order and Obedience. But men they are either fo flupid as not to fee the god end of the Law, or fo profligate as not to gard it, then comes in this Expedient of the anction, arming the Laws with Penalties wherethey may be mabled to revenge themselves non those unconsidering diffingentious Persons

that do them violence.

Single or the state of the stat

And indeed, confidering the great and general Bruitiffiness and Degeneracy of Men, how very Menated they are not only from the Life of God, but even from that of Natural Reason too, tis very fit it should always be thus; and that all Laws, as well as those of Mount Sinai, should have their Thundrings and Lightnings to awake

L

fe

6

b

-

A

C

D

0

C

m

10

h

1

1

ti

and alarm the Passions of such Men, who having lost their Reasons, have now nothing else to be taken hold by. But this, I say, only proves a Sanction necessary to the better Inforcement, and

not to the Obligation of the Law.

To which if any one shall yet think it necessary. I shall only further press him with one sensible Absurdicy, which perhaps may signific more win some Apprehensions, than an abstracter way of reasoning: It is this, That upon this supposition it would follow, that if God himself should impose any Command upon a Creature, without annexing a Penalty in case of Disobedience, it would not be able with all his Authority and Divine Supremacy, to oblige that Creature. And will any Man, can any Man have the imposition

dence or Impiety to fay so?

DEG

Suppose that when God gave that Command to Adam, concerning the not eating of the Tre of Knowledge, he had not added that other Clause (which was the Sanction of the Law) In the day that show eatest thereof thou shalt surely du. Will any Man presume to say that Adam would not have been obliged by that Divine Command, but might have talted of the forbidden Fruit without Sin? He must have but very little rererence for the Majesty of God, and as little sense of the facredness of his Authority who would atwenture to fay fo. And yet thus he must fay if it be true (what is contended for by some) that the Sanction is necessary to the Obligation of the Law. For whatever is necessary to the Law as fuch, is necessary to every Law, whether Human or Diving. He therefore that holds the necessity of the Sanction to the Obligation of the Law,

o be

and

ary,

ible

vith

of Opo-

m'd

he and

pre.

pu-

and ret

her In

άκ,

old not re- nich at the as In-

the the law, must say (if he will be consistent with himfelf) that the Command of the Great God would not have obliged Adam in case there had not been epenalty annex'd to it. If he does not say so, I hould smile at his Inconsistency; and if he does, I should tremble for his Impudence and Irrevemee.

But from a Supposition to descend to a Matter of Fact, what will fuch a one fay to the Tenth Commandment, to which (as the Author of the Chrihim Bleffedness has rightly observ'd) there was no Sanction annex'd. Will he fay, that this Precept flood as a Cypher in the Decalogue, without palling any Obligation upon the Jews? This indeed would make much to the honour of the Divine Wisdom; and represent God as a very notable Law-giver, to Usher in a Law with so great Solemnity, and afterwards write it with his own fingers upon Tables of Stone, when it could fignifie nothing but only the filling up of a Number. And yet this, as abfurd as it is, he must fay, that will make the Sanction necessary to the Obligation of the Law. If this be true. then the Tenth Commandment did not oblige. But it feems the great Apostle was of another Judgment, when he faid, I had not known Sin but Rom. 7.7. by the Law: For I had not known Luft, except the Law had faid, Thou fhalt not Covet. It feems then that Lust was a Sin, and that by vertue of the

Law had said, Thou shalt not Covet. It seems then that Lust was a Sin, and that by vertue of the Tenth Commandment, which is here alledged by the Apostle to prove it so, which therefore did oblige, since without Obligation, as well as where there is no Law, there can be no Transgression. If it be said, that although the Tenth Commandment had no Temporal Sanction or Civil Penal-

-91

hi

to

to

rdi

10

10

Co

11

del

Co

die

La

nec

rel

La

obl

onl

wit

Srf

too

WO

for

poi

and

end

ty annex'd to it, like the other Nine, yet it had an Eternal Sanction, namely the Rewards and Punishments of a future Life, and that 'twash vertue of that Sanction that it became Obligato ry. I answer, that this could not be any San. ction to the Jews to whom it was not plainly in veal'd, though it be now to us Christians who have a full and express Revelation of it. A San. ction not Publish'd is no Sanction, as much us Law not published is no Law; that is, to anyre al Effect or Purpole of Government. Indeed of the two, Publication feems more necessary to the Sanction than to the Law. For oftentimes the natural and inward Reasonableness of the thing may in a great measure supply the place of in Express Law, as it did for a long time before there was any Express Law given; but now Pa nishment is a more Arbitrary thing, and that de pends more upon the Will of the Governor; and therefore unless there be an Express Declaration of it, as there will be no certain ground of expening it, so there will be little or no influence de rived upon Men from it. Which makes it abfolutely necessary that the Santtion should be expressly declared and published, otherwise it will neither have the Nature nor the Effett of i Santtion.

It may indeed be the secret intent and purpose of the Law-giver to inflict such a Punishment for such an Offence; and perhaps in some Cases this may be justly done without declaring beforehand that he will do so; and this may be said to be the Sanction of the Law-giver; but unless this be openly and plainly declared, it can be no Sanction of the Law, nor can it be a Sanction to those that are to be govern'd by it.

and s by

ato:

ian.

Te-

ian.

252

re-

the

the ing

ne b

le-

00

4.

0

r.

E

d

\$

off God in his first solemn intercourse with them, after the Precept given him, had reserved that other Clause to himself, In the day that thou had thou held thou shall die; or had communicated this his Will and Purpose to an Angel, or to any other paleg, but not to Adam himself, could this have been said to have been in any respect a Sandion to Adam? No certainly, because not manifested whim whose concern it was. Nor for the same ration could the other be said to be a Sanction with Jews.

Commandment did not oblige the Jews (which I think would be an intolerable Affertion, and within expressly against the sense of the Apostle, octaring Lust to have been a Sin by virtue of that Commandment) or that it obliged them without Sanction, and consequently that the Sanction is not necessary to the Obligation of the Law: Which is the thing that I plead for.

If it be faid, that though the Sanction be not meeffary to the Obligation of the Law in genethe nor confequently to the Obligation of all Laws; yet it must be necessary to the Obligation of Human Laws, because Human Laws do not oblige under Sin, and therefore must oblige only under Penalty, and that therefore such Laws without a Sanction will not oblige. I answer, fift. That this Objection proves a great deal too much; for upon this Supposition the Law would not oblige to Action even with a Sanction, for it would not so oblige at all. But we suppose here the Law to have such an Obligation, and fay that the Sanction is not necessary to it, and confequently that the removal of the Sanconication

Alion does not remove the Obligation. Our present Argument therefore is not concerned in his Objection. We are here enquiring what Alteration the Toleration has made in the state of things, by removing the Sanction of the Law whether it has thereby removed the Obligation too? We say it has not, but that if the Law had any Obligation before, it has so still, because the Sanction is not necessary to it. But whether it had or no, belongs not to our present Consideration (which is only concerning the Essention of the Toleration) but to the Absolute Merinal the Cause.

But however, fince it happensito come in m way, this I fay further, That it is not at all me ceffary that Human Laws should be purely & nal, because they are Human, and consequently that 'tis not necessary that all Human Laws hould be fo. For when-ever they are purely Penil 'tis acknowledged that they oblige to Punishment otherwise they would oblige to nothing; which is the fame as not to oblige at all, and confe quently would be no Laws. But how come the to oblige to Punishment, but only by the Wil and Intention of the Law-giver invested with competent Authority? And if by that he could oblige to Punishment, then by the same, had ke fo pleafed, he might have obliged to Action For this is a most unquestionable Rule, That it who can oblige to Punishment, can also, if he pleases, oblige to Action; nay much rather, it being a much greater thing to oblige to Suffer, than to oblige to Do.

Human Laws therefore are not necessarily to be supposed purely Penal as they are Human, or O

th

L

1

fr

fd

DE

20

(1

ati

L

M

G

the

W

the

ma

8

1af

val

San

the

ho

ther

ites

ini

San

But

bt g

man

413

of and ther

mf-

ica:

ud

M

De-

Pe-

otly

nal, ent, hich

nie-

they

Wil

with

onld

d he

100

c he

fb

no.

ffer,

y to

nor

pie-

confequently ought all Human Laws to be fo effecmed. Tis not from the different Authority of the Lew, but from the different Intention of the Langiver, that any Law becomes purely Penal. To therefore by meer Accident that Human laws are any of them purely Penal, and not from the Specifick Nature of the Laws themfelves, which for any thing that is in their proner Natures may oblige in Conscience, as well as any other Laws. And that they do so oblige (inless where 'tis otherwise express'd or infinuated in the Form of the Law) is most certain, the Law of God requiring us to obey the Laws of Men, where they are not contrary to the Law of God. But I need profecute this no further, fince the Excellent Bishop Sanderson has given the World sufficient Satisfaction in this Point, in those judicious Discourses of his, de Legum Hummarum Obligatione in Conscientia.

If it be further urg'd (which I think is the lat Referve of this Objection) That the Remowlof the Law does involve the Removal of the Sanction; and why then will it not hold as much the other way, that the removal of the Sanction hould remove the Law? To this I answer, That there is a great Disparity in the Case: The Sandien is made purely for the fake of the Law, whom it is to guard and defend, and fo ferves to inthe Means does to the End; and confequenthisthe Law be abolish'd or suspended; Ge. the Sindion must needs fall in proportion with it. But now the Law is not made for the fake of the Sinction, but for the good of those who are to be govern'd bylite And therefore though the removal of the Law removes the Sanction, yet it centing does

does not therefore follow that the removal of the Sanction fould in like manner carry with it the removal of the Law. To which, after all I might add, That 'tis impossible that the whole Sanction of Human Laws should ever be removed For fince the Law of God requires Obediencen the Laws of Men where-ever it may be lawfell paid, and threatens those with the Punishment of another Life, who are disobedient to public Order and Government in this, though the He man Sanction fhould be taken away, yet the Di. vine Sanction would still remain; and as long that does remain, the whole Sanction cannot be faid to be removed. This I might fay for a & ferve, and I do not fee what Answer can be made to it; but I think my Cause is otherwise so well grounded, that I need not infift upon this Pla

From the whole course of this Arguma (which I believe has received no damage by the management) it fully and clearly appears, that the Sanction of the Law is not only a thing related the Sanction of the Law is not only a thing related the Sanction of the Law, and therefore that the removing of the Sanction does not imply or involve them moval of the Law, and consequently that the leration by removing the Sanction does not move, no nor so much as interrupt the Obligation on of the Law, to which the Sanction has the sanction has the sanction and the law to be not at all necessary. Which I think breaks the Neck of the Objection, and the law need be a very skilful Ariss that shall fer it sand

Well, but suppose (which you see is not the Case) that the Law which enjoyes Conforming to the Religion and Church establishe, were the Toleration perfectly removal, and the Pre-

h d C L fie d &

9.4

中古不古

至安里 多数百里台

Wet

問題

Man

bre

Sri A

DEC

entive part of it taken away as well as the Penal; yet neither upon this Supposition (which is indeed a very great Concession and Abatement) would a Toleration excuse those from Schilm

who would be guilty of it without it.

aloof

with

er al

vhole

oved

see m

felh

Hent

blick

He.

e Di

ng a

ot k

.

pad:

wel

lea

ment

y the dhat

168

ry to

en. To

Pre

tiv

For Sir, these Men are to consider, if they have not already consider'd it, that we do not derive the Grounds of Obligation to Ecclesiastick Communion only from the Authority of the Civil Law (though that must be allow'd to add a considerable weight to the Obligation) but also and chiefly from that of the Divine Law; which I conceive to be as Positive and as Express in requiring Unity and Conformity of Worship, as intequiring any Religious Worship at all.

Spire which was to them the Common Principle of Life and Action, from the Unity of that Hope to which they were call'd, from the Unity of that Lord to whose Servicethey were all devoted, from the Unity of that Faith which they all protes'd, from the Unity of that Baptism whereby they were grafted into the Church of Christ; and lastly, from the Unity of that God who was the Father of them all, who was above all, and in them all. Every one of which Heads of Argument might justly deserve the Consideration of a particular Discourse, but that I am willing to impose my Reader so apprehensive, as not to mant to have things laid out to him more at large.

Accordingly the Christian Church is always re-

tai

Ch

pr pa an 'ti

Sep

Au

41

T

So

wh

Un

the

An

25

the

200

paf

vip

ing

Ceri

firm

to (

belo

Lav

Go

an c

are

can

the

nera

Unity, not only between that and Christ, but al. This is faid to be that One Body into which we are all Baptized by One Spirit, and which is faid to be fitly jovn'd together and compacted. This is that Spiritual House built upon the Foundation of the Prophets and Apostles, Jesus Christ himself being the Corner-stone, in whom all the Building, fith framed together, grows into an Holy Templein the Lord.' Tis represented also as one Flock, under one Shepherd Jesus Christ, whose last and most Solemn Prayer was for the Unity of the Church: which must therefore be supposed to be highly agreeable to the Mind and Will of God, other wife our Saviour would not have pray'd for it is earnestly and with such Solemnity. Tho I question very much whether this Solemn Prayer of Chris will be fully heard and answer'd till the Glorious State of his Millennial Reign upon Earth. Homever in the mean time 'tis most certain that 'tisthe Great Duty of us all to endeavour after that State of Unity which our Saviour pray'd might beamong his Disciples. Hence it is that Schism'is Condemn'd as a Work of the Flesh, and those that Separate are faid to be Senfual, not having the Spirit; and Christians are admonish'd to mark and thun them that cause Divisions, and are withall Commanded to mind or think one and the same thing, To is a wird gegras, to stand fall in one Spirit, with one Mind, to walk by the fame Rule, to be joyn'd together in the fame Mind, and in the fame Judgment, and with one Mind and Mouth to glorifie God the Father, with a World of Precepts and Exhortations to the fame purpose, which every one may find that does but open the Bible. Now

itel.

o be

d by

yn'd

itual

hets

the

fitly

lein

nder

noft

rch;

hly

her-

tfo

ion

rif

005

WC-

the

ate

2

00-

hat

the

ark

are

ind aft

he

me

ne

th

he

at

Now what can all this fignifie? Nothing certainly less than this, That the Unity of the Church is fo Sacred a thing, that it ought to be preferv'd by all Lawful means, and that no Separation ought to be made in it without absolute and evident Necessity. In one word; that where His Lawful to Communicate, there 'tis Sinful to Separate. Which is more exprelly deliver'd in that Apostolical Canon taken notice of by the Author of Christian Blessedness; If it be possible, u much as lies in you, live peaceably with all Men. This takes in the whole Latitude and Capacity of Society, the State as well as the Church; in both which, by vertue of this Precept, Peace and Unity is to be maintain'd as far as is Possible, and therefore without question as far as is Lawful, And if the Peace of the State is to be preserv'd as far as is possible, then certainly much more the Peace of the Church.

Since then 'the Scripture is both fo frequent and so express. I might say also so earnest and passionate in inculcating the Necessity of preferving the Unity of the Church, and in Condemning all unnecessary disturbances of it; it is most certain that the Divine Law, without the Confirmation of the Civil, -is a fufficient Obligation to Church Unity, where-ever it may Lawfully be beld. Every Christian Church that proposes Lawful terms of Communion has, by the Law of God (though the Civil Law be filent in the case) an undoubted Right to the Conformity of all that are within the Pale of her Establishment, who cannot with-hold it from her without incurring the Guilt of Schism, which, according to the general sense of the Christian World, is nothing

elfe

elle but an unnecessary Separation; and then is Separation unnecessary, when Communion is lawful. The Argument in Form is,

Whoever separates unnecessarily, is guilty of

Schism:

But whoever separates where he may lawfully Communicate, separates unnecessarily;

Therefore whoever leparates where he may lawfully Communicate, is guilty of Schlim.

The Minor Propolition is plain by its on Light, lince there can no Moral necessity be pretended for not doing what may Lawfully be done. And the Major Proposition is clear by the Light of Scripture, which presses and injoyathe Peace and Unity of the Church to the very utmost degree of strictness, even as far as is possible. Whence the Conclusion necessarily follows. That whoever separates where he may lawfully

Communicate, is guilty of Schifm.

This is so clear and evident, that the most moderately affected in Point of Church-Unity and Conformity could never thut their Eye against the Light of it, though they endeavon'd to wink never fo hard. Particularly Mr. Hale, a very Free, and for the most part, Judicious Writer, and one very remarkable for his Mode. ration, especially as to all Church-matters, and who writes of Schism with all the tenderness imaginable, handling it as if he were feeling the Edge of a Razor: And yet after all his Endeavours to reduce it into as narrow a compass as he could, by making as few guilty of it as might be, he could find nothing to justifie Separation but only Sinfulnels of Communion. As may appear from feveral Passages that occur in his Tract of Schism F

th

ti

fil fil

no be

6

th

Pe

Se

C

th

A

of

ully

nay

וועוס

ne-

be

by

yns

ery

ws,

oft ity

yes r'd

les,

de.

ho

gi.

ge to

ld,

ily

m

For, fays he, Page 195. For the further opening the Nature of Schifm, something must be added by nay of difference to distinguish it from necessary Sepation; and that is, that the Caufes upon which Division is attempted, proceed not from Passion, or Diflemper, or Ambition, or Avarice, or Such other Ends as Human Folly is apt to pursue, but from wellweigh'd and necessary Reasons; and that, when all other Means having been tried, nothing will ferve to lave us from guilt of Conscience, but open Separation. Sothat Schifm, if we would define it, is nothing elfe but an unnecessary Separation of Christians from that per of the vifibleChurch of which they were once Members. Again, Tays he, Page 1 08. Unadvisedly and upin Fancy to break the Knot of Union between Man and Man, especially among Christians, upon whom, above all other kind of men, the tye of Love and Commumion does most especially rest, is a Crime hardly pardonable; and that nothing Absolves a man from the guilt of it, but true and unpretended Conscience. Again, fays he, Page 209. What if those to whose care the execution of the Publick Service is committed do something unlawful, &c. yet for all this we may not separate, except we be constrain'd personally to The Priests under Eli had bear a part our selves. foill demean'd themselves about the daily Sacrifice, that the Scriptures tell us, they made it flink; yet the People refused not to come to the Tabernacle, nor to bring their Sacrifice to the Priest. For in these Schisms which concern Fact, nothing can be a just Cause of refusal of Communion, but only to require the execution of some unlawful or suspected Act. Again, fays he, Page 215. Why may I not go, if occasion require, to an Arian Church, so there be no Arianism exprest in their Liturgy? And again, Lastly,

Gace

Cón

for

pari

So

firft

foly

No

inel

Sepa

Cor

Sch

in t

had

ODS,

all (

ther

ted

whi felf

tion

Civ

be f

files

the Obl

far i

Civi

Stat

Diff

we !

was

Lastly, Page 227, speaking of Conventicles, say he, It evidently appears that all Meetings upon um. cessary occasions of Separation are to be so stilled; so that, in this sense, a Conventicle is nothing else bus

Congregation of Schismaticks.

From these and other like Passages any one may be satisfy'd that Mr. Hales, with all his Moderation, could not but see, that where Separation is not necessary, there Communion is; and that to depart from the Communion of a rifible Establish Church, with whom you may lawfully Communicate, is to be guilty of Schille. And so much seems to be granted even by the Author of the Letter of Toleration, who defines Schiss to be anill grounded Separation in Ecclesiality cal Communion, made about things not necessary.

Page 86.

'Tis true indeed, by things not necessary, this Author means (as he afterwards explains himfelf) things not exprelly contain'd in the Rule, making him a Schifmatick that separates from a Church, because that Church does not require what the Scripture does not. But this will come to one and the same thing. For why is he a Schifmatick that makes a Separation from a Church for not requiring more than is exprelly contain'd in Scripture, but oly because he might Communicate with that Church notwithstanding this her frugality and reservedness, and consequently his Separation was unnecessary? This is the thing into which the Schifm of fuch a Separatif must be at last resolv'd. And then for the same reason, why is not he as much a Schismatick that separates from a Church, that does require more than the Scripture expresly contains, provided it be not contrary to the Rule of Scripture, fince

face with this Church he may also lawfully Communicate, and therefore has no Necessity for his Separation? 'tis the unnecessity of the Separation that in both Cases makes the Schism. So that this Notion of our Author, though at sind light it seems to offer somewhat New, resolves it self, at long run, into the Old Common Notion of Schism, which has all along obtain'd

in the Christian World.

M-

6

E4

ne his

ie.

15;

Vi-

27 IM.

0-

es di-

m

ne a

2 Qy

ht

ng

nthe

ift

ne ck

o-

re,

Where-ever therefore there is no necessity of separating, there the Church has a Right to Communion, which to with-hold from her is schism, or else there is no such thing as Schism in the World. This Right the Church of Rome had before her falling into her gross Corruptions, and this Right the Church of England and all other Curches have that are reform'd from them. And this Right every Lawfully Constituted Church has by vertue of the Divine Law, which is her Original Charta, and which of it self lays upon all Christians a sufficient Obligation to Church-Unity, though there should be no Civil Authority to back and inforce it.

For indeed, unless it were so, how could there be such a thing as the Sign of Schiss in in the Apolles Times, and in the more Primitive Ages of the Church? There was then no Civil Law to Oblige Christians to Church-Communion; so sar from this, that the Edge and Point of the Civil Sword was turn'd directly against it. The State and the Church then not only moved in two Different, but in two Opposite Spheres. And yet we find that in those early times the Sin of Schiss was as much condemn'd, and Schissmatical Persons as deeply branded as in any of the after Ages.

四部日

er t

fect

all

Ver

ion and law

neo Whi

is t

eve

onl

No

it c

falo

Cht

thoi

ged

the

Ind

Cr. L

Sin

Ages. Nay more indeed, because of the signal larity and strangeness of the Crime. Punish indeed they could not so well be, for want of the Concurrence of the Civil Sword; while was not then in a Christian hand; but they were censured and condemn'd, and according to the Apostle's Admonition, those were mark'd as avoided that caused Divisions.

And therefore though we should allow the ment Toleration to Silence the Civil Law, when by Conformity is injoyn'd, (which yet from the Premises appears to be far otherwise,) yet she the Divine Law requiring all possible limited that the transfer of the Toleration won't be pretended to reach that;) those the make Causeless and unnecessary Divisions, of still be guilty of Schissm, notwithstanding these your of the Toleration, which I am assaid of prove but an indifferent Plea for Separation the Last day to those that have no better.

What then, you'll fay, is the Effect of a To leration? Or what can be supposed to be the just and reasonable intent of it? I answer; is to the Effett, it cannot release at all from an preceding Obligation. It does not release in much as from the Obligation of the Civil Lan whose Penalty it only suspends, much less do it release from Obligation to the Divine la with which it has nothing to do, and upon which it has no manner of Effect. It does not there fore discharge any from Obligation to Confor mity, who would not be discharged without All indeed are actually eased by it; that's an m versal and indifferent Effect, but none are di charged or unobliged. Th

Then as to the Intent of it, all that it can be raionably intended for is to ease those few from realties, (for I doubt they are not many,) and are so unhappy as really and sincerely to be deliaded in their Constituences that 'tis not Lawin for them to juyin in Communion with the Chirch of England: Though the Toleration does ability Ease all indifferently, yet 'tis for the Eleof such only that a Toleration can be justly a realonably intended, whose Condition indeed would be as pitable, as I am afraid it is rare.

四 元 元 元 四 元 元 元

Pre-

To

the same in the sa

The

But even here the Toleration has no other Effect than barely to Ease them. If they are with-all releas'd from any Obligation, 'tis not by Verue of the Toleration, but by Reason of fonething else, namely their unhappy Judgment and Persuasion in thinking our Communion unswill; which however in it self false and erroneous, must be allow'd to bind in Conscience while 'tis their Missortune to be under it. This is the thing that releases from Obligation, whenever there is any Releasment; The Toleration only Eases them from suffering the Penalty of Nonconformity. And 'cis for their Ease only that it can be reasonably intended.

But as for those who are satisfy'd of the Lawidness of Communicating with the Establish Church, (who I fear make the greatest part of those that separate from it,) they are still obliged under pain of Sin, though not of Civil Chassisement, to Communicate with it. And if they do not, 'ris not a Toleration, or Act of Induspence, though granted by the highest Power upon Earth, that can excuse them from the Sin of Schism, at least before God. Nor do such

th

mi

Ju Di

ing

the

tin

the

cot

rat

Sen as 8

Pre

it.

tho the

Car

ope

the

ove

WIL

Fra

ceri

ofa

to fa

the

on,

Pro

For

fuch Men deferve the favour of a Toleration. And now, Sir, from the Measures laid down, it plainly appears, that if the Separatists from the Church of England were guilty of Schism to fore the Toleration, (which, whether they were or no, depends upon other Grounds, and is not now to be disputed over again,) they are as mud guilty of it now, there being no Change made by the Toleration as to the Preceptive, but only as to the Penal part of the Law. Which may suffice to clear the Author of Christian Blessedness of the first Imputation laid against him, that of salse Charge.

The two others will be more easily, and more

briefly dispatch'd.

The next thing therefore for which our Author is blamed is the Uncharitableness of his Charge. This indeed is a heavy Censure, and ought to be well-grounded, or else it will recoil upon those that make it. But I think there has been that said upon the former Objection, that will same

allow any room for this.

For if the Author's Charge was uncharitable, it must be either because of the Matter of it, or because of the End and Design of it. Not certainly upon the account of its Matter, because that appears to be true; for the proof of which, I appeal to the Reason and Argument of the preceding Discourse. And if they fix the Uncharitableness of it upon its End and Design, they themselves will bring their own Charity in question by judging so severely of the Intentions of the Author, which they cannot be supposed to be privy to.

For how can they pretend to know the Author's thor's Thoughts and Deligns? Did he ever Communicate his Intentions to them? Or will they ludge by Inspiration, and pretend to the Gift of Divining and Conjecturing, as well as of Pray-

ing by the Spirit?

tion.

JWI,

TOM

be.

Were

not

auch

gade

only

may

foot

of a

iore

Au-

rge.

be ofe hat

rce

ole,

10 in-

hat

, 1 re

riroc

ne-

of

to

r's

Ithat am intimately acquainted with the Auther, and know more of his Principles and Sentiments, Thoughts and Intentions, than any of those that take the Liberty of Censuring and Condemning them, do verily believe that in his continuing the Charge of Schiffm upon the Separatiffs, he intended nothing against Charity, but rather the greatest Charity and Good-will.

I know 'tis his most deliberate and well-assured Sense, that if ever there was or can be such a Sin a Schism in the Church of Christ, they of the Present Separation are truly and deeply guilty of it. And fince it came fairly in his way, he thought himself obliged in Charity to reprehend them from it, not knowing what good effect a Candid and Rational Admonition might have upon those (for he had Charity enough to hope there might be fome fuch) who were not quite over-run with the Humour of Opposition, nor arm'd Cap-a-pee with Prejudice. And being withal in the mean time affured of the Duty of Fraternal Correption; and how much that concerns every Christian (as being the Duty even

of a Jew) in any wife to rebuke his Brother, and not Lev. 19.17.

to suffer Sin to lie upon bim.

And, If I may have leave to divert a little from the Defence of my Friend to my own Justification, I think I may fafely fay that I am now in Profecution of the very fame Charnable Defign. Forthough I acknowledge my felf to have had

fome

te

Fa

di

fri

66

is f

of

out

in

me

was

cat by

git

WE

Im

Í

fin

mi

tin

di.

apple

古古

fro

al

the

CH

Way

MOI

mol ting

some regard to the Reputation of my Friend which I think has fuffer'd without Caufe; and which to Affert and Vindicate, I take to be aven Innocent Delign ; yet I can fay (as far as I know the inward Springs of my own Actions) that the Principal End and Aim of this Undertaking was to for ther that great and dear Interest of Christianity for which our departing Saviour to earneftly and is folemnly pray d to his Father the Interest of East fiaffick Unity. To further this, I fay is my main Do fign, both by awakening the Consciences of the who by the Favour of the Government ftriking in with their own Natural humour of Contrad ction, may be tempted against the inward Sens and Light of their Minds to transgress against the great Christian Obligation to Publick Order and Unity of Worship, and by undeceiving and disabusing others, who by the Impunity of the present Toleration may be so far imposed upon us as to fancy themselves releas'd from any line Obligation. Both which, I think, are very Good very Charitable and very Christian Designs

But to return: I think, Sir, there appears not to be as little reason for taxing our Author with Uncharity as with Error. For his own part, believe he is Conscious to himself of neither had if he is Guilty, I dare say he would be glad to be Convinc'd, that so he might Restific the Ore, and Repent of the Other. For the present thinks there is so little occasion for either, that if he had not only Charg'd our Separatists with Schism, but with the most unjust and unreasonable Schism that ever was made in the Christian Church, he thinks it would have been no say der. And he has Commission'd me to say that

very

Wite

Prin-

y for

ad fo Eccle

De

pon

ery

四年 日本中中中日

he is ready against any Opposer to make it good. But though the Author's Charge was neither salfe, nor Uncharitable, yet was it not fomething Unfensonable? So indeed some fay, who to neither of the other, Nor is this an incondetable Exception, if true. For as every thing in Reautifulin its Scafon, fo is Scafon the Beauty devery thing, and there is nothing Beautiful out of it. Actions Materially good, and wherein we mean well, are oftentimes utterly spoil'd merely by being Mistimed. But why, I pray, was this Charge fo unfeafonable? What, bemile the Separation was grown very wide, and byreason of the relaxation of the Government growing still every day wider; because some were invited to it (as they are to other Sins) by impunity, and others began to make that a Plea its Lawfulness; because it began to fet up frome of the Court-fashions, and was growing whenot only a Priviledge of the Saint, but the tromplishment of the Gentleman; because some addheir Liberty as a Cloak for their Maliciwhels and almost all as an Opportunity to ferve the Interest of their Cause; because, lastly, that Qurch and State which were so lately rescued from the Jaws of Popeny, were now in as CritiataPoint of Danger from the Incroachments of the Separation; was it therefore out of feafon to Charge the Separatifts with Schifm? Now I always thought that the mast proper Season to admonth Men of their faults was when they were pol Rife and Epidemical and when they had molt Temptations and Opportunities of committhe them goand when the Commission of them would threaten the greatest Danger and Mischief. This

This has been generally thought the most proper Season of Admonition by all wife Men in all other Matters, and why not in this? 'Tis the need, ty of Admonition that at any time makes it fa. fonable; and then there is most need of it, when the Manners and Ways of Men are most dife. derly and irregular. The more corrupt them fore and degenerate the Age, the more feafons ble is the Reproof. And indeed, if the general prevalency and fashionableness of Vice be enough to make Admonition unfeafonable, tis now high time, confidering the Moral state of the World that not only all Writing, but all Preachington were laid afide. But this I suppose is a conf. quence which those that blame our Author Charge as unseasonable will not admit; where it follows (whatever in partiality to their on concern they may be induced to fay) that even by their own measure it was not really unseasonable.

But 'tis further faid, that this was a Treat ment altogether unexpected and unlook'd for They expected now as much favour from the Pulpit and the Press, as they found from the Government; and that there should now be not ther Discourses about them, but such as were Hul ing, Complying, and tending to Moderation, and ad to have the old bufiness of Schism peviv'd again. This was as much contrary to Expectation, as to Inclination and Humours and was it not enought vex any body to be fo disappointed? That it mutnough, the Event shews; but whether it ought tobe, may admit more question But PII tellsyon a Story

While Theodora possessed the Empire of the Caufin's fantinople with her Son, who was vet in minor Court, part. ty, one named Methodius, an excellent Paintenan Italian 3. PAG-435.

Ital

Wen

Bog

VOU

try

Fall

fo 1

bat

wit

to b

thod

ace

ing

nity

Pair

qui

be 1

Cin

1

he Wo

whi

at

Me

005 the

Wat mor

Go Dev

whe

the

to I

fro

ceff-

vhe

ifor

iere.

ionaneral ough high orld,

too

mie

ort ence

own ven

tat-

for,

the

th

00

leal-

not ain

9:10

hito

de,

M.

m

ni-

144

Indian by Nation, and Religious by Profession went to the Court of the Bulgarian King named Bogoris, where he was entertain'd with much fa-This Prince was yet a Pagan, and though tryal had been made to Convert him to the Faith, it succeeded not, because his Mind was fo fet upon Pleasures, that Reason could find but little access. He was excessively pleased with Hunting; and as some delight in Pictures whehold what they love, so he appointed Mehodius to paint him a Piece of Hunting in a Paace which he had newly built. The Painter, feeing he had a fair Occasion to take his opportunity for the Conversion of this Infidel, instead of Painting an Hunting-piece for him, made an exquifite Table of the Day of Judgment. Wherein he represented that great Solemnity with all its Circumstances of Terror.

In the end, The day affigned being come, he drew aside the Curtain, and shewed his Work. 'Tis faid, the King at first stood some while pensive, not being able to wonder enough at the strange Sight. Then turning towards Methodius, What is this? faid he. The Religious Man took Occasion thereupon to tell him of the Judgments of God, of Punishments and Rewards in the other Life; wherewith he was fo moved, that in a short time he yielded himself to God by a happy Conversion. Now whether this Device of the Painter was unseasonable or no, or whether the advantage of the Delign and of the the Event would excuse the Disappointment, I leave to the Reader to judge.

And thus, Sir, having fully clear'd my Friend from the treble Indictment laid in against him,

by shewing his Charge of Schism to have been neither false, nor uncharitable, nor unseasonable. I shall now, for a Conclusion of all, address up felf to the Dissenters in a word or two, concening their Behaviour under the present Toleration. Not what it is, or has been (for that is we enough known) but what it ought to be.

Some, it may be, who are not all over Argument-Proof, moved with the Reason of the fore going Considerations, may be ready to ask of me, what would you then have us to do, or how shall we behave our felves under the present far of Things? It feems indeed to be as you far that the Relaxation of the Government maken Change in the Obligations to Conformity; by if we should lay down the Separation, and com over to the Church, what are we the better for the Toleration? And is it reasonable that the should be a Toleration, and we not the bear for it? What, was the Toleration granted, and to be enjoyed? Is it like the Tree of Paradia good for Food, and pleafant to the Eye, and withal planted within our reach, and yet not to be medled with? Shall we be fo unkind to or felves, as not to embrace an opportunity of lake and Liberty? Or fo ungrateful to the Govern ment, as not to make use of that Priviledge of Indulgence which the kindness of our Superior has vouchfafed us? What would you have us do!

I answer in one word, Do now as you onghin do before. For fince the Toleration (as has been proved) makes not any the least Alteration in those Obligations to Church-Unity that are derived either from the Law of the State, or from the Law of God, but all things as to that stand

n F

1

N

C

O

fr

el

Sé

er

in

yo lu fel

an

to

on

m

for

of

Gr

thi

aga

the

£d.

now in the same posture as they did, 'tis plain that your Behaviour also ought to be the very same now that it ought to have been before the Toleration. If the Points of the Compass standnow as they did then, without any Declension or Variation, 'tis plain that you ought to steer the same Course now, as you ought then. If you

ask what that is? I answer,

e been

onable.

efs my

ncern-

erati-

is well

Argu

e fore

sk of

r how

t ftate

far.

kesm

; bu

Come

er for

there

etter

not

dife

and

ot to our

Eak

vern.

ge of

do?

been n in derom

DON

First, Lay aside as much as possible you can all manner of Prejudice that may arise either from Natural Temper and Complexion, or from Education, or from long Custom to a contrary way; or from blind Regard to the Authority of some Men, for whom, perhaps, you have had a more early than just Veneration; or from Humour, or from Passion, or from Interest, or from whatever else may bribe and corrupt the genuine native Sense of our Minds. For unless the Scale hang even, 'tis to no purpose to weigh any thing in it.

Secondly, When you have thus truly devested your felves of all Prejudice, and reduced your judgments to an even poife, then apply your felves feriously and deliberately, impartially and fincerely, foberly and in the fear of God to consider and examin the State and Constitution of our Church, and the Terms of her Communion, whether they are lawful or no. fore you do not this by advising only with Books of your own way, or by confulting only with Guides of your own Party and Perswasion (for this would be to fall back into your old Prejudice again) but by a free and indifferent recourse to the Writers and Leaders of both Sides, by confidering and weighing what is offered by the ZZ. learned

learned and excellent Defenders of the Church, as well as what is faid by the Advocates for the Separation, and by trying and judging all according to the infallible Rule of Scripture, and the Eternal Truth of God shining forth in your own

Souls. And if,

Thirdly, After your most impartial and fincer Endeavours rightly to inform your selves ac cording to the best use of your Faculties and Op. portunities, it be still your unhappiness verily to be perswaded in your Consciences, that the Communion of the Church of England is unlawful (which though I cannot deny to be absolutely possible, seems yet as hard to me for a considerate Man really to believe, as to believe Transubstanti arion) yet I fay, if you should be invincibly determin'd to fuch a Perswasion, in the Name of God abide where you are, and make use of the Tole ration, and enjoythe Benefit of it with Peace and I would not for a World Satisfaction of Mind. perswade you to Communicate with the Church of England (as excellent as the is) against the real Sense and Perswasion of your Consciences. For the following of which you can never be accountable, provided it be not your fault that you are of that Perswasion. But if,

Fourthly and Lastly, You are Convinced of the Lawfulness of holding Communion with the Church; (and to be free with you, I cannot but think that most of you are, if you would confess the truth;) then I pray consider seriously with your selves what tolerable Account you will be able to render either to God or Man for continuing a Separation in that Church where even according to your own Judgment and Confession

Second and a second

t

1

1

f

T

K

on fi

you might lawfully Communicate. Or how you can be faid to preserve the Unity of the Catholick Church, or that Communion of Saints which you profess to believe, if you separate from the Communion of a Visible, Establisht, National Church, of whose Lawfulness you are satisfied, and from whom therefore you need not separate. der whether this be not a Causeless dividing, difuniting, and dismembring of the Body of Christ, ahigh Violation of that Publick Order and Decency which he has required in his Church, and as great a Breach of the Christian Peace, as you can possibly be guilty of in the Peace of the State: In one word, consider whether this be not all that which both Scripture and the best Antiquity represent, and so severely condemn under that one Emphatical word, Schism.

And do not think to falve all at last, by taking Sanctuary in the Toleration. This, you see, stands Neuter, leaves the State of the Question as it found it; and does not at all interpose for your relief, but leaves you to stand or fall by the Ab-

falute Merits of your Caufe.

the

-100

the

OWI

cere

ac.

Op-

y to

om-

wfol

tely

rate

entj-

ter-

God

ole-

and

orld

arch

real

For

nta-

e of

the

the

but

fess

with

1 be

nti-

ren

Tion

OU

These therefore alone you ought to consider and enquire into, viz. Whether you can honestly and safely Communicate withus or no? And if you find you can, then 'tis most certain that you ought. The Law of God and the Law of Man (for the Toleration you see evacuates neither) do still oblige you to it; and if you do not, though the Government excuses you from the Penalty, yet neither that nor any thing else will excuse you from the Sin of Schism. The short is, that which will justifie fuch a Separation as this, will justifie any, and then there will be no such thing as an Z 3 Obr

Obligation to Church-Unity, and confequently no such thing as Schism in the World. And I desire never to reduce an Adversary to a greater Extremity than when he is forced to deny the very Being and Possibility of the Sin of Schism, that he may prove himself to be no Schismatick.

This, Sir, is all I think necessary to fay upon this Occasion; and I think I have faid nothing but what I have well thought and considered. and what is my real Judgment, and what will stand the Test, whether of Charity or of Truth. I have viewed and reviewed what I have written; and I must needs declare, that I cannot discern the least flaw in the Argument of this Discourse, nor do I fear the Severity of the most Critical Ex or Hand. However, if any one of the Learned among the Diffenting Party thinks the Argument of this Discourse may be Answered, and withall thinks himfelf fufficiently qualified for the Undertaking, (for I declare beforehand that I shall not think my felf concerned to take notice of every impertinent Scribler,) I fairly and freely invite him to it; and withall do promise him, for his better encouragement, that he shall find me either Able to Defend what I have written, of Willing to Submit.

Farewell.

POST.

Mi

and

CET

be and

fto

gov

W

Lif

De

En Mi

one a C

POSTSCRIPT

er he

m, on og d,

1;

in e ye d n d or it ce a 1, d

Concerning

Moderation.

I Think it very proper and seasonable, in a word or two, to rectifie another very Popular Mistake, wherewith I find most Common Persons, and some others are imposed upon. It is concerning Moderation, whose Notion I perceive to be generally as much abused as that of Toleration, and to as ill a purpose.

Moderation, without question if rightly underflood, is a most excellent thing, as fignifying,

I, In general, such a temper of Soul, and such a government of all a Mans Thoughts and Desires, Words and Actions, as may steer the course of Life in the middle way between the Extreams of Desect and Excess, so as to be always affected in Proportion to the Greatness or Goodness of the End, and to the Necessity or Usefulness of the Means.

Or as fignifying more particularly with relation to the Body, fuch a due and well proportioned conduct of it, and regard to it, as becomes a Creature that is neither a meer Animal, nor a pure Spirit, but partakes of both Natures, and Z 4.

.1

dy

ted

fore

it 1

bot

the

teri

cap

for

the

F

or 1

me

our

the

ftar

Sup

Mo

be

tho

to !

Or dy

rat mig

dat

Ex

be

be

Lo An

the

therefore ought not so to be addicted to the interest of the Body, as to neglect the Spiritual Life; nor yet so devoted to the Life of the Spirit, as to forget he is in the Body. This was being as much too High, as the other is too Lon, and the way of Man lying in the Middle.

Thus understood, without all doubt Moderation is a most excellent thing, and will have an universal and uncontested Approbation. And thus it is generally understood in all other Cases, but only when it relates to Church-Conformity. And then by Moderation is usually meant either an ladifferency of doing what the Church prescribes, or a doing it by Halves, or a total Omission of it.

And accordingly he is accounted a Moderate Man, who either is indifferently affected to the Conftitutions of the Church, and is little concern'd whether he Conforms to them or no, and accordingly stands ready and disposed with or without reasonable Occasion to admit of Alterations, or who Conforms by halves, or who does not Conform at all.

All these in their several orders and degree, go commonly for Men of Moderation; and lelieve many for the procurement of that specion Title, are tempted to appear so disposed, designing nothing at all worse by it, than only the

Reputation of Moderate Men.

But let fuch as run away with this Notion, take this Consideration along with them, if they are not in too much haste, That 'tis not all manner of Moderation that is justly to be commended, nor this of theirs in particular. For the right Estimation of which matter, I desire the following measures may be considered.

in.

itual

Spi.

Way

Lon.

era.

uni-

us it

but

And

ln.

bes,

lion

ate

the

onand

10

13-

003

es,

be-

ous de-

the

on,

ey In-

nhe

he

If

If the Object of our Moderation be not already either by Nature or Constitution fixed and stated in a due measure, but is to receive its measure from our Moderation, then Moderation, as it signifies an indifferency of Mind, may have both Place and Commendation. As suppose in the instance of Pleasure, which because an indeterminate Object, and of indefinite Latitude, capable either of Excess or Defect, leaves room for the Exercise of Moderation in us, which is then a good and laudable thing.

But if the Object be already either by Nature, or by positive Constitution fixed and stated in a due measure, and is not to receive that measure from our Moderation, then our Moderation has neither Place nor Commendation: As in the Inflance suppose of Vertue; which being already supposed to be in the Mean, leaves no room for Moderation in us, nor would Moderation then be a good or laudable thing. Nor was it ever thought a Commendation of the love of Vertue

to fay it was Moderate or Indifferent.

Now to apply this to the present Case. If the Order of the Church of England were not already constituted in a state and temper of Moderation, then indeed Moderation in Conformity might pretend to some Excellency and Commendation; but if it be already in such a state and temper, then there is neither Room for it, nor Excellency in ir. But rather on the contrary, to be moderately affected to Conformity, will then be as great a Commendation as to be a moderate Lover of Order and Reason, and all that's good. And they that like this Commendation, 'tis sit they should have it,

Before

Before therefore Moderation in Conformity is made a commendable Character, and before Men of this Character be so much cried up and sought after as the sittest Persons to be employed both in State and in Church concerns, it ought to be made appear, that the Constitution of the Church is in it self Immoderate.

This indeed is slily and indirectly infinuated by all those that raise such Clamors about Mode ration. But they ought, if they would deal fairly, directly to prove it; and indeed wholly to insist upon it, and not impose upon the Prejude and the Ignorance of the People by the species and plausible Name of Moderation. For unless it be first proved, that the Church in her Constitution is Immoderate, 'tis plain, that Moderation on our parts has no room; and that all the Noise and Stir that is made about it, is but mer Sophistry with ill Design.

Bein

J

Beir

But now whether the Constitution of the Church be really Immoderate, I refer those that desire to be satisfied to what has been from time to time written in her Desence and Justification, particularly to an excellent Book very Honestly, and, as I think, very Learnedly written by Doctor Puller, called, The Moderation of the Church of

England.

TWO

ity be before

olov'd

Mode. I fair.

y to indic cion

inless Con-

lode.

1 the

meer

the

that time tion,

ftly, ctor b of

TREATISES

Concerning the

Divine Light.

THE FIRST,

Reing an Answer to a Letter of a Learned Quaker, which he is pleased to call, A fust Reprehension to John Norris for his Unjust Restections on the Quakers, in his Book Entitled, Restections upon the Conduct of Human Life, &c.

THE SECOND,

Being a Discourse concerning the Grossness of the Quakers Notion of the Light within, with their Confusion and Inconsistency in Explaining it.

Tree count as my be it ba occibe Riginal the for an

ADVERTISEMENT

TO THE

Adverti in one to the Reader

READER.

Though I have not professedly undertaken in the Two following Ireatises to give an express and full Account of the Divine Light, but only so far a I have occasion to do it in dealing with my Adversary, yet (if my Judgment may be taken concerning my own work,) I think that even this Occasional Account that occurs up and down in these Papers, may be so considerable as to give them a fair Right to the Title of Treatises concerning the Divine Light. And though the direct and professed business of the former of these Treatises be only a Private and Personal Ingagement between me and

Advertisement to the Reader.

my Opposer, yet that it has been the one from of my delivering many great and on siderable things, as well Absolutely and Relatively considered, and such as if no carefully and judiciously, and with attribute very much to the clearing of man useful Truths, and to the Improvement of the Reader in several curious Points of Speculation.

rig wh bu nic Ri elya

Free

ā thi

nts f

An Answer to a Letter of a Learned Quaker, which he calls, A just Reprehension to John Noris of Newton St. Loe, for his unjust Resection on the Quakers, in his Book Entitled, Restections upon the Conduct of Human Life, &c.

By the Author of those Resections.

Though I do not think it any great piece of Ignorance or Defect of Learning, not to be rightly acquainted with the Quaker's Principles, which if I knew them never fo well, would add but little either to my Knowledge, or to my Opinion of it; yet I am withal fo sensible of that Right, which even the meanest Persons and Parties have to Justice and Fair Dealing, that I think I should not be able to justifie my misrepresenting those Principles, of which I might be very excusably Ignorant.

And fince this is the thing laid to my Charge, and that with a great deal of Passion and angry Resentment, not to say Rudeness and Incivility, I think I may be allowed to be so far concerned at the Indistment, as to endeavour to acquit my self of it; not so much for the Honour of my Judgment (which I do not conceive at present to lie much at stake) as for the Justification of my

gai Dii

Far

of

for

tha

and

he

bet

had

his

Gu

Rea

eft

for

We

bel

the

Wa

the

Blor

1

in t

and

Beh

Pri

cur

Paf

for.

of

Ma

Sincerity: Which though I so peculiarly value, that I might be allowed to be a little warm in the Vindication of it, especially coming after such a provoking and affronting Adversary, yet hope I shall be able so to temper my Spirit and govern my Pen, that the Defence shall not be now

so passionate as the Charge.

I confess indeed, I did not expect any great Civility of Address from a Man of this fullen Tribe, whose visible Mark and Character is & flicity, and who are generally at as great Def. ance with all Courtliness of Style, as of Behaviour, But yet I thought that the Gentleman and the Scholar (for fuch it feems is the Quality of m Adversary) might so far ballance and over-rule the Quaker, as to contain him within the Limit of Ordinary Decency, and keep him on this fide Rudeness and Scurrility. To be blunt and downright is one thing, but to be rude and abusives And however I might expect the former from him, and excuse in him as a Quality, yet of the latter I thought he would not be guilty as a Gentleman. Indeed the least that I could expect from a Person of Mr. Vickris his Education and Quality, was, that he would not be univil, especially in his first Onset, and writingto a Person that was a Stranger to him; and who, though he does not look upon himself to be to great as to be above Contradiction, may you justly think himself considerable enough to expect and deserve fair and civil Language. But instead of this he falls foul upon me, after fuch 1 rude, violent and passionate manner, as is below the Breeding even of a Water-man, and fuch 238 Man of any Temper would be ashamed tob guilty

guilty of, even in the fudden Heats of common Difcourse. Which may tempt some unlucky fancies to imagin, that he has exchanged his cold quaking Fit for an Hot one, and that the

Light within is turned into a Flame.

value

rmin

r fuch

yet [

t and

near

great fullen

S Ru

Defi.

viour.

i the

my

-rule

mit

fide

OWD-

veis

for-

guilould

ati-

enci-

g to vho,

e fo

yet

ex.

But

h a

OW

15 8

be

lty

I cannot in Justice deny, but that for a Man of his Way, my Adversary is pretty considerable for his Sense and Learning, (notwithstanding that he endeavours to represent me as a Dunce and Blockhead as well as a Knave) and I believe he would have thewn more of each, and with better Advantage, if the Violence of his Passion had not disturbed the Clearness and Order of his Thoughts, and put him often out of his Guard. For Passion is the great Contrariety to Reason, and will draw a Cloud over the bright-The quiet and fedate Soul is most fit eft Mind. for the Contemplation of Truth, as the calmest Weather is commonly the most serene. If Love be blind, Anger I am fure is much more fo; and there is this remarkable difference between then Wars of the Pen and those of the Sword, that tho the Soldier may fight to most Advantage in Hot Blood, the Disputant will reason best in Cold.

But lest Ishould appear guilty of a false Charge in this respect, as he says I am in some others, and be thought to misrepresent the Temper and Behaviour of my Adversary, as he says I do his Principles, I think it necessary for my own Security, to give the Reader some Account of the Passion, Rudeness and Abusiveness of this Aggressor, before I proceed to consider the Argument

of his Paper.

And here (to pass by the Roughness and the Magisterial Ayre of the Title Page, where at first

ti

di

th

sh

of

所所

th

VC

tic

20

in.

is /

It

2 8

io, Spi

He

Go

App

loni

defp

m k

low

Inft

the

to t

gan

the

it b

Dash he assumes the Office of a Censor, and me dertakes to Reprehend, taxing me with no less than Fasse Representation, Confusion and Sufficient, which I think might be more properly left to the Judgment of the Reader, or brig up the Arrear, than be placed in the Front of the Book) I appeal for the Truth of this Charge in

the following Passages.

When first (fays he, pag. 3.) I perused the la flections upon the Conduct of Human Life, &c. 1 was, and still am pleased with all that tends to in Propagation of Original and Necessary Truth, bun joyce where I find it spring from an upright Min pure Intention, and clean Veffel, What Realin has he to question or prejudge the Uprightness my Mind, or the Purity of my Intention, or the Cleanness of my Vessel? Either this is very in pertinently, or very uncharitably fuggested. B ther he means nothing by it, or he means And that he does fo, he has taken Care to faish the Reader, by explaining himfelf more fully in the very next Words, For whose (fays he) dertakes to reprehend the Intelligible Conduct of Human Life, ought first to purge himself from the Irregularities of his Moral Conduct, which he Cloud and darken his Understanding. What a fcurvy malicious Infinuation is this! For the the Words abstractly considered be a Propofition of Universal Truth, and may bear an imo cent and inoffensive Sense, yet considered with their Occasion, and with their Application to me, if they fignifie any thing they must fignifie il there must be either an into erable Impertinent in them, or a great deal of Malice and Cenfort oufness. For does he not by this plainly infinut

o les

e pro bring

of the

ge to

by Re-

&c. 1

to the

Min

eafor

elsa

or the

y in-

. Ei-

ns il

atish

full

e)m

8 6

m ta

de

nat a

tho

ropo-

inno-

with

me,

eil;

nence

fori-

nuale

to the World that I am an ill Man, and that there are fuch irregularities in my Moral Conduct as make me unfit to reflect upon the Intellectual Conduct of Human Life? And would not any one that knew nothing of me any otherwise than by this Paper of his, and were to take his Measure of me from hence, be tempted to conclude, that Iwas a Man of a loose and debauch? denversation? Now if I deserve this Character, I have nothing to complain of; but if I do not, (and I appeal even to Mr. Vickris himself, nay, even to the World, whether I do or no) then this is a very uncharitable and very unchristian Insinuation.

And yet as bad as it is, he has more of the fame; and that notwithstanding what he says of himself in the Paragraph immediately following, that he is far from a Spirit of Detraction. Which Pallage Ithink should either have been left out, or fet at agreater distance from the foregoing one, wherein there feems to be fo strong a Savour of that Spirit. As there does in what follows, when (p.4.) He charges me with despising the Testimony of God through the Meanness of the Instruments: Applying to me that of the Apostle to the Thesalonians, chap. 4. v. 8. He therefore that despiseth, despiseth not Man but God, who hath also given unto whis Holy Spirit. Which Allegation I shall allow to be pertinent, when he has proved those Infruments he talks of to be equally inspired with the Apostles: But till then he must give me leave to think and call it a Blasphemous piece of Arrogance. For it can be no less to make Despiting the Quakers the same with despising God, unless it be proved that the Quakers are Divinely in-Aa 2 fpired.

spired. When I see this done, I shall allow of the Expression: In the mean while I must be Mr. Vickris not to be angry, if I tell him that puts me in mind of a Story I have heard of Quaker in Oxford, who when some of the young Scholars for some Rudenesses and Disturbances he had been guilty of in the Colledge, had brought him to the Pump to pump him, while he was doing his Penance, impudently cried out, Pump on, Pump on, you Pump not me, but the Lord I will not say Mr. Vickris his Expression is exactly of a piece with this, but there is so much Resemblance between them, that assoon as I read one, I could no chuse but think of t'other.

in the state of

2

el ek

ak

n P

2

Sc

h

U

0

th

th

10

it

But he goes on in his Cenforious and Uncharitable Reflections; for after he had quoted (P.6.) that Passage out of the Book of Wisdom, chap. 1. V. 4. Into a malicious Soul Wisdom will not enter, m dwell in the Body that is subject to Sin. For the Holy Spirit of Discipline will flie Deceit, and remon from Thoughts that are without Understanding, and will not abide when Unrighteousness cometh in. For Wisdom is a loving Spirit, and will not acquis Blasphemer of his Words; for God is the Witness of his Reins, and a true Beholder of his Heart, and a Hearer of his Tongue. Therefore he that speaken unrighteous things can't be bid, neither shall Vent ance when it punishes pass by him. All which in the abundance of his Civility and Charity he has thought fit to apply to me: He proceeds to add, Now feeing these Sayings are true and righteous, and that 'is possible to profess this Doctrin of the Divin Word, Light, Grace, Spirit of Wisdom and Truth, and the teachings of it, and yet not possess it, nor be learn's of it, nor led by it, but whilft preaching of it to other

when a Cast-a-way: I advise thee to consider in what Ground and Nature thou yet standest and livest in, and what Spirit lives and rules in thee. I thank him for his Advice, but not for that spiteful and strangely censorious Insinuation that goes along with it, which amounts to no less, than that I am one of those malicious Souls into which Wisdom will not enter; that I am deceitful, without Understanding, unrighteous, and a Blasphemer: That the I profess the Doctrin of the Divine Light, yet I do not possess it, nor am led by it, but while I preach it to others, am my self a Cast-away; which I think is as bad as one Man can well say of another, and yet 'tis what he plainly insinuates, if there be any Connection or Pertinence

in what he fays.

t beg

nat it

of a

oung

anca

had

le he

OUL,

Lord

actly

fem.

one,

nari.

P.6.)

p. 1.

, mr

Ho-

move ling,

nit 4

fs of

da

theth

nge.

the

has

add.

and

vint

and

yn'd

hers

10

But fearing he had not been yet plain enough, and lest the too candid Reader should miss his Meaning, he proceeds, Hath not Unrighteousness entred in through Self-love and Esteem, which blinds the Eye, and causes the Tongue to speak unrighteous thing:? Here he charges me with Unrighteousness, Ambition and Self-love; which last he is pleased to heighten to such an extravagant pitch, as to make me an Idolater, and that of the worst fort, as making my felf to be my God. For fays he, Is not Self exalted to sit in the Temple of God, as God in thee? What a Flight of Cenforiousness and Uncharity is this! For besides the Heinousness of the Charge, (it being as bad as can be faid of the Devil himself, that he makes Self his God, there being nothing fo opposite to Charity which refers all to God, as Self-love, which refers all to its felf) I say, besides the Heinousness of the Charge, 'tis also of fuch a particular Nature, as A a 3 were

h L

tl

fi

tl

ir

PW

pi

to

th

M

T

A

CO

po

CB

Sc

my

th

do

US

th

ftr

were I indeed guilty of it, it would be impossible for any Man, much more for Mr. Vickris, who is a meer Stranger to the, without a Divine Revelation ever to know. For Self-love is a Vice of the Heart, and one of the most latent and retired of all the Vices that are lodged there, and confequently obnoxious to his Judgment only who is a Difference of the Heart, to whom all Hearts to open, all Desires known, and from whom no Secret is hid. God alone can tell how far Self is ealted either in me, or in any Man else: And there fore Mr. Vickris had much better have lest men this Judgment, than to sit in Judgment upon me himself, and pass so severe a Sentence in a Caste that does not, cannot fall within his Cognizance

Nor is he fatisfied with representing me as an ill Man, but he must also find fault with me for being a Scholar. Art thou not decking thy felf with thy corrupt Wisdom, (fays he) which is the forbidden Fruit, and will prove Folly in the end? By which I suppose he must mean Philosophy, and the Study of Arts and Sciences, which he will needs have to be corrupt Wifdom, and the For-Now tho I not only grant, but in bidden Fruit. a Treatife purposely written upon this Subject, have expresly contended, and if I mistake not, fully demonstrated, that confidering the present State of Man, the Study of Learning and Knowledg is no farther allowable, than as 'tis conducive to the moralizing of his Life, and that all Profecution of it beyond or befide this End, ism immoderate and blameable Curiofity; yet I hope as far as it is apt to ferve the Interest of a good Life, there is no reason to disallow or condemn Tho it be not to be allow'd any farther, yet I think

lible

10 15

rela-

the

dof

nfe-

10 19

5 60

Se-

ex-

ere-

elro

me

infe

ice.

án

for

vi b

for-

By

and will

or-

t in

a,

ot,

w.

all

pe

m

ret

nk

Ithink it may and must be allowed so far. And herein I think I state the measure of prosecuting Learning and Knowledge in a due mean, between the Extreams of those over-inquisitive Spirits on the one hand, who fet no Limits to their Curiofity, and those narrow and contracted ones on the other who confine it too straitly, condemning all Human Learning in grofs, under the reproachful Appellations of Carnal Reason, Corrupt Wildom, Vain Philosophy, and the like; under which Characters I think it ought not to be represented, as far as it is apt to serve and minister to the Interest of Religion and a good Life. And that it may be ferviceable to that purpose, I might undertake to shew, but that the excellent Mr. Boyle has faved me the trouble in a late Treatife upon this very Subject, call'd, The Chrihian Virtuofo. I cannot therefore but wonder what should make Mr. Vickris (who is himself a Scholar) thus condemn all Human Learning in gross, under the Name of Corrupt Wisdom, and blame me for decking my felf with it, especially considering that a little after (Page 10.) he suppofes the Divine Light to affift the Natural Faculties of the Soul in the Attainment of Arts and Sciences: Why then does he tell me of decking my felf with my Corrupt Wifdom? What, does the Divine Light affift the Natural Faculties of the Soul in the Attainment of Corrupt Wifdom, and fuch as will prove Folly in the end? Can that Wisdom be corrupt, which is taught us by the Assistance of the Divine Light? Or is that Light to be esteemed Divine, whose infructions are fo corrupt? How are these things consistent, unless Mr. Vukris will be understood not A a 4

MA

tha

the

evi

the

vil

fon

Sol

thi

for

pot

dife

OCC

He a d

Ma

tim he

tha of t

nuc

enc

imp

rup

tha

tha

Bar

Pal

boa

Th

Apt

my

not of condemning Human Learning in general as Corrupt, but only that which is the Object of my particular Study; as if my Studies were not directed to the ends of a good Life, which would be as a great a Censure, as the other is a Communication. So that in short, he is necessarily reduced to this Dilemma, Either he condemns Human Learning in gross, as corrupt, and then he contradicts himself, in making the Divine I ight all stant to it; or he condemns the particular matter of my Study as corrupt, and then he Censure me.

As he does again most unpardonably, (Page 7.) telling me, that I wilfully blast the Famed Gods Name and Work in the Earth, in and by his People, because they agree not with Mans Ways and Conceited wife Notions. Which is a Charge next to that of committing the Sin against the holy Ghost, For does Mr. Vickris confider what it is wilfully to blaft the Fame of God's Name and Work? Or does he consider how intimately acquainted: Man had need be with another Man's Interior, to be able to pronounce what degrees of Wilfilnels there are in his Overt Acts? Does he well consider this? The best Apology I can make for him, is to fay, that he does not. And yet asi he had faid nothing amis, but were secure of the Truth of his Charge, he wipes his Mouth, and very gravely applies to me two of the mot fevere Texts of Scripture that he could pick ou in all the Bible, namely, the 12th Verse of the 2d of Peter the 2d, and the 10th Verse of the Epistle of St. Jude, which though through? guilty Modesty he would not speak out, I shall. The Words are, But thefe, as natural Brute Beaft, made

neral

ect of

e not

ould

mira.

loced

man

COX.

aff.

mat-

fure:

Page

ne of

y by

and

tto

oft,

rk?

eda

ONT.

Iful-

well for

is if

of th.

ton

out the

the

12

all.

made to be taken and destroy'd, speak evil of the things that they understand not, and shall utterly perish in their own Corruption. And again, But thefe fpeak evil of those things which they know not; but what they know naturally as Brute Beafts, in those things they corrupt themselves. Upon my Word very civilly, and very charitably applied: But there are fome Men, who though they revile those they difaffect never so bitterly, so it be done with the Solemnity of a Text, and in Scripture Phrase, think they charge in Armour, and have a Warrant for their most abusive Scurrility. And so having poured out the Dregs of his Venom, he concludes this Reprehension-Part, having (as he words it) discharged himself to me on the aforemention'd occasion. I think he might very properly fay, He has discharged himself, having thrown up such a deal of Choler and other Filth. Indeed (Poor Man) his Stomach was very Foul, and 'twas high time to have it clear'd. And yet 'tis not all up yet; he has not yet fo fully discharg'd himself, but that we find him Reaching again toward the End For after he had pass'd adisingeof the Book. mous Reflection upon my Reason and Consci- Pag. 13. ence, in wishing they were both reform'd, (which implies, that at present they are both very cormpt) and after he had charg'd me with no less page 15. than Evil Presumption and Blasphemy against some that dwell in Heaven, and Prophaneness into the Bargain, in the Extravagance of his Zeal and Passion he cries out, What art thou, O Man, that boaftest thy self? Art thou wiser than thy Maker? Threatning me withal in the Style, and with the Authority of a Prophet, that God shall confound my Wisdom, and bring to nought my Under-**Standing**

enf

Chr

Gent

mie

83

as 66

ree.

hic

nof

end

B

Mr.

I bee

kers

Barb

i w

high

he (h

Good

mid

ciole

into

as he

Char

it be.

help

opthe

thab

Wha

Ceny

Ton

'is'T

Bleff

Speec

very

standing wherein it is exalted; for he hather termin'd to ftain the Pride of all Flesh, the Mount of the Lord hath spoken it: Taking for grand that my Understanding is exalted beyond itsde limits. & that I abound with Pride. Whichinder are all of them fine Cenfures, confidering theil neis of the things fuggefted, and the little Know. ledge Mr. Vickris has of me; upon whom he i pleased to bestow one stroke of Civility mores parting, telling me, This is written for the cleaning of Truth's Testimony from the Rubbish thou has ul What a rude uneducated Pen does the Gentleman write with! Whether it be his As ger, or his ill Nature, or his Perswasion, that uncivilizes him, I will not ftay to examin; bu upon the whole matter, I think he has given m no finall occasion to suspect that this was written not fo much for the Clearing of Truth as forth clearing, that is, the discharging himself, and it ridding his Stomach of an uneafie Load, which now he is discharg'd of, I hope he will sleepin quiet.

And thus having given the World a View of fome of the Rudenesses of this Author, (for mercy to the Reader as well as to my Adversar, I have not set down all.) I now dare appeal to the same Judge, whether such foul reflecting Expersions as these become either the Breeding of Gentleman, or the Charity of a Christian, of the Pretensions of a Quaker. I say, the Pretensions of a Quaker. I say, the Pretensions of a Quaker. I say, the Pretensions of a Quaker store the Charity of the Charity of the Charity of the External Civilities either of Laguage or Behaviour, but rather seem to diffinguish themselves by an opposite Rusticity in each yet 'tis well known that they make high Pretensions

entions to Charity, particularly to that most Christian part of it that consists in Meekness and genlenes, in Patience and Long-suffering, in a soler noise and passive temper of Spirit. To these day its well known) their Pretensions run so high, on 147, even to fcruple the Lawfulness of War, the shich it feems is too rough a thing for fuch calm and Sweetness.

o py

cul

the

Ap-

at lo

the

the

hich

giq

w of

rin

ary,

the

ref

of a

tes-

DO:

ten-

ions

But now let any but a Onaker judge how well Mr. Vickris has maintain'd this Character. Had been never fo gross in mifrepresenting the Quahers Principle, I could not have deferv'd fuch a by Broarous Treatment from him; or if I had, yet would not have been confiftent with his fo tightly Christian Pretentions to give it me. No, he hould have fuffer'd rather, and have return'd Good for Evil. At least he should have had so med regard to their fo greatly pretended Printiple of Meekness, as norto suffer himself to run into fuch Intemperances of Pallion and Language. the appears now to be guilty of. Is this the Charity, is this the Meckness of a Quaker? If the, then Sit Anima mea, Let my Soul be with the Philosophers, shall I fay? Or with the Men with World; or indeed with any Men, rather with fuch a bitter foul mouth'd Sect as this What the Temper of this Gentleman is in his Conversation I know not, but if he governs his Tongue no better than he does his Pen, (and in strange if he should) I think 'twould be a Bleffing both to himfelf and others, if he were Specibles. For the Language of the latter is fo very foul, that I believe the Arch-Angel in his

Dispute

the

cel

Pri

H

dec

to

twe

Qu

Art

reco

que

dow

1

wit

feft:

Effer

pose

be i

1

25 a

they

Supp

Way

H

their

ly,

to t

mak

fay i

more

not a

Spiri

yea,

fupp

vine

Dispute with the Devil about the Body of Mole did not only use better to him, (which wouldb but a small matter) but receiv'd better from him I'm fure he could not well receive worfe. And now we are upon Scripture-Allusion, I shall be leave to offer one Text to Mr. Vickris his Con deration, in requital to those many severe our he has fet before mine. This Gentleman is boil by his Principles and by his express Declaration in this Paper, a great Despiser of that Wille which is from below (otherwise by him all corrupt Wifdom) and a great Pretender tothe Wisdom which is from above; let him hearth and confider what St. James fays, The William Jam. 3.17. that is from above is first pure, then peaceable, gentle With which I conclude this part, and h

pass on from the Passion to the Argument that

contain'd in this Paper.

And here, if there be any Dependence up that Saying, that Strong Paffions produce weath guments, I may hence take a Presage of a Su cessful Issue in the present Engagement. In however for fear of the worst, I think it necess ry here in the Entrance to advertise the Reads, that the Question now in Debate between us, not concerning the Truth of the Quakers Prince ples, but concerning the Truth of my Represa tation of them; not whether their Principle are true in themselves, but whether they m truly represented by me. So that if Mr. Vin ris should have the Fortune to win the Stake, would only be a private personal Victory, with out any advantage to the Cause of Quakerisa which is not concern'd in the Issue of this D spute. Thi

This being premised, I must further acquaint the Reader, that the Book which Mr. Vickris excepts against, as guilty of misreporting their Principles, is my Reflections upon the Conduct of Humane Life, particularly in the Post-script added to the Second Edition, where I undertake to give some Account of the difference between my Notion of the Divine Light, and the Quakers Light within. And this I do in several Articles distinctly, which because of the frequent recourse that we shall have to them in the Sequel, it will be a Satisfaction to have here set down. They are therefore as follow:

I. The Quakers usually talk of this Light within as of some Divine Communication or Manifestation only, whereas I make it to be the very Effence and Substance of the Deity, which I suppose vertually to contain all things in it, and to

be intimately united to our Minds.

II. The Quakers represent this Light within as a fort of Extraordinary Inspiration (whence they have the Name of Enthusiasts) whereas I suppose it to be a Man's Natural and Ordinary

way of Understanding.

fden mik

d 6

at is

Ar. Soc.

Bu

ella ider, inciinciipies

III. The Quakers (if I mistake not) confine their Light within to some certain Objects, namely, Moral and Spiritual Truths, in order only to the direction of Practice, and accordingly make it a Suppliment to Scripture, which they say is not sufficient without it, nor indeed any more than a meer Dead Letter. But now I do not appropriate this Divine Light to Moral or Spiritual things, but extend it as far as all Truth; yea, as far as all that is Intelligible, which I suppose to be perceiv'd and understood in this Divine Light, as I have explain'd it.

00

of:

by

1 1

COD

cee

TWO

tak

PAG Wal

thi

2BY

tha

St.

And

tha

on, Pri

froi

end

fano

Qua

Prin

WOE

g00

one

fequ

Do

of t

allo

ly

Sen

No

IV. The Quakers make their Light within special Priviledge of a certain order of Ma their own Party. Not indeed as to the Polibilin because they suppose all Men to be indifferent capable of this Divine Illumination, as maya pear from their contending against Predesting on, and for Universal Grace. But thothey de not make it a special Priviledge as to the Position ry, yet they do as to the Act, making none be those of their own Way to be actually enlight ned by it. Whereas according to my Principle this is no special Priviledge, but the common and universal Benefit of all Men; yea, of all theh telligent Creation, who all fee and understand in this Light of God, without which there would be neither Truth nor Understanding.

V. The Quakers by their Light within understand forme determinate form'd Dictate or Proposition, expressly and positively directing and instructing them to do so or so. Whereas my Light is only the Essential Truth of God, which indeed is always present to my Understanding, as being intimately united with it, but does not formally enlighten or instruct me, but when I attend to and consult it, and read what is written in those

Divine Ideal Characters.

VI. And Lastly, The Quakers do not ofter any rational or intelligible Account of their Light within, neither as to the thing, nor as to the mode of it, but only Cant in some loose general Expressions about the Light, which they consume with the Authority of St. John's Gospel, though they understand neither one nor tother. Whereas I have offer'd a Natural, Distinct and Philosophical way of explaining both, namely, by the

Omniformity of the Ideal World, or the Divine

by us and by all Creatures.

and

ler.

po-

ght eed

ing ally it,

ofe

ffer

ght

ral

rm igh

re-

10-

he

Now this Mr. Vickris is pleafed to cenfore as Misrepresentation of the Quaker's Principle concerning the Light within. But before I proreed to confider whether it be or no, there are two intermedial Passages of his that expect to be taken notice of. I had faid in my Reflections. Mr. 17. Speaking of the Light within as untowardly represented by the Quakers, that the thing in it felf rightly understood is true, and if any fuch shall yet call it Quakerism or Enthusiasm. that 'cis fuch Quakerism as makes a good part of St. John's Gospel, and of St. Auftin's Works. And so again to the like purpose in my Postscript, that if the Quakers understood their own Notion, and knew how to explain it, and into what Principles to refolve it, it would not much differ from mine: Of which two Passages Mr. Vickris endeavours to make a notable Advantage, and fancies that they mightily befriend the Caufe of Quakerism, as implying a fort of Confession to their Pag. 7. Principle, and that the Difference between us would only be in Terminis. But is the Man in good earnest? Or does he think that he writes to one that understands not what belongs to Confequence, and will be imposed upon by any thing? Does it therefore follow that I confess the Truth of the Quakers Principle of the Light, because I allow the Notion in its felf to be true when rightly understood, that is, when taken in a right Sense? What, does the General Truth of any Notion rightly flated infer the Truth of this or

that

wh

the

BO

nev

give

lons

diff

Stoc

lige

he fi

rent

Phil

that

tore

Aruf

into

of F

prefe

the F

askt 1

hame

the S

as th

him :

yet th

met an

Prop

nuate

ackno

Autho

as be

God:

differ

muft

and V

that particular way of explaining it? May there not be a false and erroneous Explication of a true Hypothesis? Suppose I should say that the Do Ctrin of Justification by Faith only is a true Notion rightly understood, do I thereby confess the Truth of the Solifidian way of understanding it who fo explain our being justified by Faith only, as to exclude the concurrence of Obedience? O Suppose I should say that the Doctrin of Pleasure being our supream Good is a true Doctrin rightly understood, do I thereby acknowledge it to k true in that Sense of the Proposition usually z. tributed to Epicurus? Or (to put these two la stances together) can I justly be supposed to inply that the Solifidian and the Epicurean differ from their respective Adversaries only in Term nis, because I acknowledge that the Notionsia themselves rightly understood are true? If M. Vickris had but been at the pains to deck himel with a little more of that Corrupt Wildom, call Logick, he would never have imposed upon himfelf, nor have offer'd to impose upon me with fuch Womanish Consequences as these.

Pag. 8.

The other Passage that I must take in my will is his general Complaint against me, for not queting the Quakers own Words and Authors in my presenting their Principle. Now to this I answer, that having in my time read several of the mass considerable of the Quakers Books, and (all thought) well enough comprehended them as in they were intelligible, I thought I might trust my Memory so far, as to venture to represent the Sense as to one (and that the most remarkable) of their Principles, without ransaking the Books, and mustering up their Quotation, which

是医子宫等更更

10.10

110-

re.

er, noft as I far

eir ole) neir

which at that time I could not very well do nei ther having but few of them by me. But neither hould I have thought it necessary if I had had never fo many. For fure a Man may venture to give an Account of one Notorious Principle belonging to a certain Perfwalion, and to fhew the difference between that and another, upon the stock of his former Reading, without being obheed to bring an Author to atteft to every thing he fays. Thus were I to state the general Differeice between the Cartefian and the Aristotelian Philosophy, might I not securely place it in this, that the one offers to explain Appearances of Natore by Forms and Qualities, and other fuch abfruse Beings, which the other chuses to resolve into the more simple and intelligible Principles of Figure and Motion, &c. without appealing presently either to the Text of Aristotle, or to the Principles of Descartes? Or suppose I was askt the Difference between a Socinian and a Mahimetan, might I not be allow'd to fay, that tho the Socinian denies the Divinity of Christ as well as the Mahumetan, and the Mahumetan owns him as a true Prophet as well as the Socinian. vet they differ in this, that whereas the Mahumetan allows him to have been only a Temporary Prophet, and that his Religion is now Superannuated, as having had its Time, the Socinian acknowledges him to be a Prophet of a perpetual Authority, and that his Religion is ever obliging, as being the last and standing Revelation of God: I fay, might I not be allowed to state the difference thus between them without Book, but I mult needs be put upon fetching Proofs, Chapter and Verse, out of Socieus and the Alcoran? Mr. Bb Vickris

ly W

agai

plyi

nica

app

It.

thy

viz.

to 1

Prin Mil

feei

feff of 3

haft

Life

Wo

Qu

thir

the

pre

wit

mad

to t

S

ter

Vickris might therefore well have spared this Oh jection, without any prejudice either to the Beau ty, or to the Strength of his Discourse; espei ally confidering, that he himself is not please to bring fo much as one fingle Citation out of am one Quakerish Writer, (for that Passage of Ba clay's (pag. 5.) is quoted upon another occasion to disprove the Representation of their Principle given by me. Now Mr. Vickris was much mon concern'd to alledge Testimonies out of Author than I, as undertaking to shew that Represent tion of mine to be false, which I was then contented barely to propose, not to prove. it was possible for me to give an Account (andthat a right one too) of the Quakers Principle, with out producing any of their Testimonies, veil was not possible for Mr. Vickris to prove that A. count of mine to be false, without referring to their Books. Because 'tis one thing barely tompole, which was my Undertaking, and another thing to prove, which was his. Since therefore Mr. Vickris thought fit to fave himself the Troble of appealing to Authors when more concened to do fo, he might well forgive it me, theat ly thing material in this business being, not whe ther I quote their Books or no, but whether I have given a true Account of their Principle, which's the thing that now comes to be examined.

And here that Mr. Vickris may not have the least Ground to suspect or pretend that I contributings to my own Advantage, by picking and chusing what I can best Answer, or that I missepresent his Arguments, by reporting them in my own Words, or that I omit or conceal any part of their Strength, I will deal so fairly and square

is Ob

Beau

ípeci. esíd

fant

Bar.

ciple

more thor

enta-

con-

the

that vith-

et it

Ac-

pro-

fore

-00-

m.

he-

ave

his

the

ive

end

re-

ny

ITE

ly with him, as to fet down at large his Exceptions against every one of the foremention'd Articles, applying to them their respective Answers distinctly.

Exception against the First Article.

Hou fay'st, the Quakers usually talk of this Light within, as of some Divine Communication and Manifestation only. How does this appear to be true? Thou quotest no Author for it. I apprehend thou intendest hereby, or else thy Affertion has little weight or matter in it, viz. That the Quakers own the Light within to be an Accident, and not a substantial inherent Principle of Divine Excellency; which is a great Mistake, and 'tis to be fear'd a wilfull one too, 'tis generally known, and thou confessest it too, that they quote the Testimony of John the Evangelist for their Principle, as thou haft done for thine, they believing according thereunto, Chap. 1. v. 4. In him was Life, and the Life was the Light of Men: And if the Life of the Word be the Light of Men, then furely the Quakers cannot be supposed to believe in any thing less than a real Spiritual Substance, which the Soul of Man is capable to feel and apprehend: For all things were made by him, and without him was not any thing made that was made, John 1. 3. Thus thy first Distinction falls to the ground.

The Answer.

Suppose for the present, that the Sense intended in the Assertion were what Mr. Vickris apprehends it (though the Antithess or counterpart of the Article plainly implies the con-

Bb 2 ti

ol

u

CE

CE

in

th

DI

en

to

pi

re

Pe

Pi

th

m

E

th

de

p

Ca

it

be

ke

th

01

tp

trary) that the Quakers represent their Light within after the nature and manner of an Ac. cident, and not as a Substantial Principle. I fay as a Substantial Principle; for as for a Sub. stantial Inherent Principle I know not what to make of it, it being the first time that I ever heard that Inherency was an Attribute belonging to Substance. Leaving out therefore by Mr. Vickrishis Leave this ill-fuited Term, which I fancy happen'd to flip in while he was taking a Nod over his Paper; Suppose, I say, my Meaning were, that the Quakers represent their Light not as a Substantial Being, but rather as an Accident, how does he make out the contrary? Why, he tells me this is a great Mistake. But may I not reply to him in his own Language, How does this appear to be true? Thou quotest no Author for it. But the he produces no Authority, yet he offers at an Argument, the Sum of which is this, The Quakers building upon the Authority of St. John, make the Life of the Word to be the Light of Men, and therefore must be supposed to make it a Substance, the Life of the Word being confesfedly fo. But if this be all Mr. Vickris has to fay for his Point, he is very short of proving what he undertakes, tho at the same time what he undertakes be nothing to the purpose. For thoit be true, that both the Word, and the Life of the Word are real Substances, yet this Proposition, The Life of the Word is the Light of Men, considered fimply in its felf, without depending upon fome proper and peculiar Hypothesis that shall limit and determin its fignification, does not at all infer, that the Light here is a Substance. the Word may be faid to be the Light (unless a contrary

k-

b.

to

rd

b-

is

p.

er

e,

2

ls

rs

e

i,

it

.

e

n

.

11

r

a

y

contrary Hypothesis oblige us to understand otherwise) Efficiently, as it causes our Illumination, as it enlightens and instructs by affifting the Understanding, according to the common received Notion, as well as Formally, by being it felf the Formal and Immediate Object of our Conception. And so when Christ says of himself, I anthe Light of the World, there is no necessity, I mean from the Words themselves, of understanding them in a Formal way of Predication; but the Sense may very well be, what it is generally prefumed to be, that he is the Author, or Efficiem Cause of our Illumination. Which is allow'd to be fometimes the certain and necessary Acceptation of the Particle (is,) whereof we have a remarkable Example, Ephef. 2. 14. He is our Peace, that is, the Author or Procurer of our And if these Propositions are not of themselves determin'd to a Formal Sense, but may aptly enough be taken Efficiently as well as Formally, then their grounding their Principle of the Light upon these or such like Expressions does not infer that they hold it to be a Substantial Being.

And it does not of necessity neither, though we should suppose them to understand that Proposition of St. John in a Formal Sense. Indeed in case the Word be Formally the Light of Men, it will truly follow, that the Light is a Substance, because the Word it self is so. And if the Quakers do construe the Proposition in such a Sense, that is, Formally, it will also follow that they ought (if they will be consistent with themselves) to make the Light to be a real Substance. But it will not follow that they actually and expresly do

80

in

12

25

ma

25

Su

an

for

of

cal

15

th

Te

it,

tha

cid

ge

ha

SM

on

ap

en

on

in

ref

not

700

fa

Ap

Bir

the

Q

fo, unless it were necessary that Men should always fo ftrictly attend to the Confequences of what they lay down, as never to fay any thing inconfistent with their Principles; which is an Happiness that Men of more Logical Heads than the Quakers are generally prefumed to be, would give a great deal to be secure of. So that whether the Proposition be taken Efficiently or Formally, it does by no means follow from their bare quoting those Words from St. John in the behalf of their Principle, (which yet is the only Argument Mr. Vickris is pleased to insist upon) that the Quakers do profess their Light to be a Substantial Being. I do not fay they do not, but only that had that been the Sense of my Affertion, Mr. Vickris is far from proving the contrary by vertue of the Argument he has used,

But why must that needs be the Sense of my Affertion? Why, because otherwise, as he conceits, there will not be much weight or matter in No? Suppose this should be the Sense of it, (as 'tis plain from the Antithesis that it is) That the Quakers represent their Light not as God himself. but only as a Divine Communication, that is, a something communicated or exhibited by God, whereas I make the Light to be the very Essence and Substance of the Deity, &c. Will he fay there is little Weight in this Affertion? Is not the Difference very material, if true? And that this is the natural, nay, the necessary Sense of the Article is fo evident from the Antithesis, that I wonder how a Man of Mr. Vickris his Apprehension could For (as he will quickly fee, if he remiss of it. view the place with the least Attention) the Oppolition between my Notion of the Divine Light, al-

of

ng

an

ıld

ne-

or-

alf

nt he

n.

ly

Ir.

T.

y

n-

in

t,

44

e-

6.

t-

10

is

75

ę.

and that of the Quakers, is not made to confift in this, that they make it to be an Accident, and la Substance; but in this, that they represent it as fomething only communicated, exhibited or manifested by God, (whether as an Accident or a Substance I was not then concerned to consider) whereas in my Account it is the very Essence and Substance of the Deity, exhibitive of all Truth, and always prefential to our Mind. And therefore when 'tis faid, that the Quakers usually talk of the Light within as of some Divine Communication or Manifestation only; the Term (only) is not exclusive of Substance in general, but of the Divine Substance, or Essence of God. I know very well, and have no temptation to dissemble it, that 'tis the express Doctrin of the Quakers, that the Divine Light is a Substance, not an Ac-For they make it the Principle of Regeneration, which they all fay, (and Mr. Keith Way to the has taken a great deal of Pains to prove it) is a City of God, Substantial Life, as much as the Life of Vegetati- P. 62. on, Sensation, or Reason is; and as far as I can apprehend, the Notion may be found and true mough in its felf, and wants only to be fix'd upon a right Bottom, And I know that Mr. Barclay in his Apology, lately Printed in Folio with the rest of his Works, says expresly, We understand Pag. 334. not this Seed, Light or Grace to be an Accident, as most Men ignorantly do, but a Real, Spiritual Subfance, which the Soul of Man is capable to Feel and Apprehend; from which that Real, Spiritual, Inward

the New Man in the Heart.

But tho it be too plain to be denied, that the Quakers make the Light to be a real Substance,

Birth in Believers arises, call'd the New Creature,

of C

Qu

A CC

the

p. 1

or I

Par

abo

41 .

are

Par

moft

t,

Mo

adq

JHO

kers

ftan

fam

inco

For

the .

pro

doe

pofi

ther

not

frop

fpea

And

the

or t Boli

fay,

Apology, P. 133.

vet 'tis also as plain, that they do not make it the very Substance of God. By this Seed, Grace and Word of God and Light, (lays Mr. Barclay) where. with we fay every Man is enlightned, and bath a mea. fure of it, which strives with them in order to fave them, and which may by the Stubbornness and Wickedness of Man's Will be quenched, bruised, wounded, pressed down, flain and crucified, we understand not the proper Essence and Nature of God precisely taken. which is not Divisible into Parts and Measures, a being a most pure simple Being, void of all Composition on or Division, and therefore can neither be resisted. burt, wounded, crucified or flain by all the Efforts and Strength of Men. But we understand a Spiritual, Heavenly and Invisible Principle, in which God as Father, Son and Spirit dwells; a measure of which Divine and glorious Life is in all men, as a Seed, which of its own Nature draws, invites and inclines to God. And this we call Vehiculum Dei, or the Spiritual Body of Christ, the Flesh and Blood of Christ which came down from Heaven, of which all the Saints do feed, and are thereby nourished unto eternal Life. Whether there be any fuch thing as this Vebiculum Dei, or Spiritual Body of Christ, (which is a Notion several Learned Men both before and fince the appearance of Quakerism have entertained upon the reading the fixth Chapter of St. John) I have neither Cause nor Mind at present But I think 'tis plain from this Acto dispute. count Mr. Barclay gives of the Light, that thoit be a Substance, ye cis not the same with, but really distinct from the Substance or Essence of For it is not Deus, but Vehiculum Dei. And to the like purpose Mr. Keith, another of their most considerable V Vriters, speaking of the Seed of

d

96

.

I,

r

16

la

-

d

t,

t

it

ıt

ı

d

r

d

of God, (which is the same with the Light now in Question) says, that it is not the Godhead it self, but way to the actuain middle Nature, Substance or Being, betwint City of God, the Godhead and Mankind, &c. Again, says he, P. 130.

D. 131. This middle Nature I call a Divine Substance or Essence, not as if it were the Godhead it felf, or a Particle or Portion of it, but because of its excellency shove all other things next unto the Godhead, as on such m Account Men do call other things Divine which me very excellent, &c. Again, fays he in the next Paragraph, This excellent and intermediate Being may he call'd the Divine Being, because the Godhead is most immediately manifest therein, and dwelleth in it, as in the most Holy Place, or Holy of Holies. More Testimonies I might, but I think need not add, it being sufficiently clear from these cited out of Mr. Barclay and Mr. Keith, that the Quakers do not hold their Light to be the very Subfance and Essence of the Deity, though at the ametime I think they ought, and that they are inconfistent with themselves in that they do nor. For that Text of St. John, In him was Life, and the Life was the Light of Men, which they quote to prove the Light to be a Substantial Principle, does not prove fo much as that, unless the Proposition be understood Formally; and if it be, then it proves a great deal more, viz. That 'tis not only a Substance, (which is all they infer from it) but also a Divine Substance strictly speaking, even the very Essence of the Deity. And indeed what less than that can be a Light to the Soul? How can any thing that is not God, or that is created, be fo? But 'tis not my present Bufiness to consider what the Quakers ought to by, but what they do fay. 'Tis plain, that they

do not make the Divine Light to be the proper Substance of God, but a certain middle Nature: and 'tis as plain that I do, which lays a fufficient ground of Difference between us; fo that my first Distinction is so far from falling to the Ground. that it Rands upon firmer Ground than ever; and if my Adversary has but the Understanding and the Ingenuity of a Man, I dare appeal to him whether he be not fairly Answered and Confuted as far as concerns this first Particular. But what. ever his Judgment be, I presume the Judicious Reader will be of mine, and so give me leave to advance forward to the

Exception against the Second Article.

THY Second is as infignificant, and like an Arrow shot at random, lights on thy own Head, being attended with some contradiction to thy former. Thou fayeft, the Quakers reprefent this Light within as a fort of extraordinary VVhere learn'ft thou this? Before it was a Divine Communication and Manifeltation only, and now an extraordinary Inspiration, Thou wouldst have done well to have let the Quakers Principle alone till thou hadst learn it better, and more honeftly to represent it. Is not Extraordinary, more than Common, or Communication and Manifestation only? Have not the Quakers declared the Light to be Universal, as well as Divine, in its Gift and Manifestation to the Sons of Men? It is certainly true they have; and yet I deny not but fuch who apply their Minds in Obedience to the teachings of this Light and Heavenly Gift, may be made Partakers of

more,

100

the

the

mt

rife

an (

abo

tho to l

fan

Ter

are

and Nat

take whe

vet:

and

Tre

and whe

expi

and

a Da

of

difti

ral

Prin Diff

the

it is whi

be n

Con

deri

per

re:

ent

irft

nd,

er;

ing

im

ted

at-

ous

to

an

wn

on re-

ry

ta-

on,

he

it

tor

10-

he

as

re;

eir

ht

of

re,

more, viz. Extraordinary Gifts and Graces by the Inspiration of the same Spirit. If for this they have the Name of Enthusiasts given them, as inthis Section of thy Postfcript, tho it be in Derilion, they will rejoyce in it; for it shall be as an Ornament of Grace to their Head, and Chains about their Neck. Again, in the same Section thou fay'ft I suppose it, viz. The Light within to be a Man's natural and ordinary way of Underfanding. And just before thou madest it to be the very Ellence and Substance of the Deity, which arethy own express Words: See thy Confusion and Self-contradiction. By these Words, a Man's Natural and Ordinary Way of Understanding I uke thee to intend the fame thing as in pag. 77. where thou call'It it Reason and Conscience; and ret at the same time call'st it, The Divine x620, and Region of Truth, in which are hid all the Treasures of Wisdom and Knowledge, the great and universal Oracle lodg'd in every Man's Breast, whereof the ancient Urim and Thummim was an express Type or Emblem. Here thou debasest and confoundest thy Principle, making it to be spart of Man's Nature, whereas the Principle of Divine Light as held by the Quakers, is a diffinathing from Man's Soul, Reason, or Natural Conscience, viz. a more excellent Spirit and And herein I confess is amaterial Difference betwixt thy Principle of Light and the Quakers, as thou hast exprest it. Sure I am, it is a great Error to render the Divine xoy by which all things were made, and are upheld, to be no higher a Principle than Man's Reason and Conscience, or natural and ordinary way of Understanding, which Reason is a Property Essential

01

be

di

be

W

tu

M

in

CX as

Cr Or

col

Th

it l

In (

25 1

nab

this

WÓ

is t

dra

that

the

on,

ofa

Que

that

a for

ry p

Grad

when

A

to him as Man. I confess to know the things of a Man, according to that Scripture, 1 Cor. 2.9, 10, 14. But as it is written, Eye hath not seen, not Ear heard, &c. By which it manifestly appears, that the true Knowledge of God and Spiritual Things are attain'd by the Spirit, which is superiour to Man's rational Principle or natural Conscience, which may be defiled and corrupted, as 'tis said expressy of the impure, that even their Mind and Conscience is defiled.

The Answer.

HIS Second Exception, confifting of fereral things, must be severally considered. First. He demands of me where I learnt, that the Onakers represent this Light within as a sort of extraordinary Inspiration? But before I anfwer his Question, I must settle the Meaning of my own Words, which he is pleased to take in Sense quite different from what I intended, and what the scope and occasion of the Articlere-When therefore I fay, that the Qua kers represent this Light within as a fort of Extraordinary Inspiration, 'tis plain from the Antithesis of the Article that my meaning is, that they represent it as a Supernatural Gift or Grace, meaning by Supernatural not only fomthing beside, above or beyond the Nature of Man; (for fo allo upon my Principle 'tis acknowledged to be Supernatural, because I expresly make it to be the very Essence of God) but something above or be yond the common Order, State or Condition of Human Nature, Supernatural not only as to the Substance of it, (for that's confest on both Hands, only

of

9,

nor

rs,

ual

pe-

on-

eir

at

ort

n.

of

na

nd

re-

B2-

ti-

hey

ice,

de,

lfo

DET +

ve-

be.

of

the

ds.

nly

only more exalted upon my Hypothesis than upon theirs) but also as to its Dispensation and Order. being fuch an extraordinary fuperabundant Addition to the Nature of Man, as is not necessary he should always have, and without which he would still continue a rational and intelligent Na-In opposition to which I said, that 'tis a Man's Natural and Ordinary way of Understanding, that is, that 'tis fo far from being fuch an extraordinary Superaddition to Human Nature. as Man might be without, and yet be a reasonable Creature; that 'tis fo much the Natural and Ordinary way of his Understanding, that he could not be able to understand at all without it : That he would not only have less Light should it be taken from him, but be quite in the Dark. In one Word, that 'tis not a thing of Advantage only, but of Necessity, necessary to the very Being as well as to the well or better Being of a reafomble understanding Spirit as such, who without this Light would not only not fee fo well, but would be able to fee nothing, or not at all. This is the plain obvious Sense of my Words, only drawn out more at length, whereby it appears that my Notion differs confiderably from that of the Quakers in this particular also.

And now if this be the Sense of my Proposition, (as 'tis plain that 'tis, it being not capable of any other) I may easily answer Mr. Vickris his Question that demands of me, Where I learnt that the Quakers represent this Light within as a sort of extraordinary Inspiration? For 'tis very plain that they make this Internal Light to be Grace, that special and peculiar Grace of Christ whereby he restores laps'd Man from the Cor-

ruption

Apology. P. 330.

Mr. Barclay, (that is, for the Restoration of Man) God bath communicated and given unto every mana measure of the Light of his Son, a measure of Grace, or Pag. 346. a measure of the Spirit, &c. And again he calls it ex. presly a Supernatural Gift and Grace of Christ. Not that I would be thought to deny the Divine Light to be Grace as to certain Degrees of it. So far from that, that I think it to be the greatest Grace of God, that is, with respect to the Degrees of it, many of which I allow to be fuch extraordinary Superadditions to the common Order or State of Human Nature, as Man might simply be without, and yet continue in the Rank and Formofa reasonable and intelligent Creature. content with this, the Quakers make the Divine Lightto be Grace simply and absolutely, as to its whole Nature and Kind, so as to be all over extraordinary. And if so, then they are so far from making it (as I do) necessary to a Man's Natural and Ordinary way of Understanding, that they must make it such a Superabundant Accession to the common Condition of Man's Nature, as without which he would still understand enough to denominate him a Rational Being. For certainly a Man may understand without Grace.

But that my Adversary may not pretend that! lay the whole stress of this matter upon a Confequence, (though it be a very plain one) I will prove the fame by express Testimony, namely, That the Quakers do make their Light to be according to the before-stated Sense of the Word, fomething extraordinary, fomething added to the common way of Understanding, fo as

not

no

in

it.

cul

art

N

m

oth

So

ly

it f

the

fan

in t

juft

com

PECH

com

wit

Dat

bea

dar

CCOF

Und

ther

extr

Req

fets !

mine

as to

toth

their

derft

Detc

ys

n)

14

X-

ot

ht

m

of it,

гу

of

h-fa

ot

ne

to

er

ar

a's

ıg,

Ic-

12-

nd

ıg.

out

tl

fe-

vill

ne-

to

the

d-

29

not

not to be simply necessary to Understanding in general, but only to the greater Advantage of it. For fays Mr. Barclay, That Man, as he is a Apology, Rational Creature, hath Reason, as a Natural Fa- P 337. culty of his Soul, by which he can discern things that are Rational, we deny not. For this is a Property Natural and Essential to him, by which he can know and learn many Arts and Sciences, beyond what any other Animal can do by the meer Animal Principle. So that here the Light is not simply and ordinarily necessary to the understanding of things, which it feems may be done by Reason alone without To the same purpose again, says the Pag. 346. fame Author, Man in his Natural State (that is, in the state devoid of this Light, which he had just before call'd the state of Darkness) can easily comprehend, and doth comprehend those things that are peculiar and common to him, as such. And tho they commonly call the Natural state of Man (when without the Sensation of this Light) a state of Darkness, yet 'tis not because they suppose him to habfolutely dark, and to know nothing, but only dark as to Spiritual and Saving Truths. So that coording to them there may be Knowledge and Understanding without the Divine Light, which therefore they must be suppos'd to look upon as an extraordinary Accession, and not as an ordinary Requisite to Human Understanding. Which again lets their Principle at a sufficient Distance from mine. The I must needs do them so much Justice as to confess, that herein they speak as agreeably to their Principle as I do to mine: For conceiving their Light not as the very Object of Human Understanding, not as that Truth it felf which we perceive, (as is plain, because they do not make

IL

W

OD

per ore

cat

Con

tra gre

OF (

Cali

mon

red.

that

gati

(only

very

de i

ded i

fition

very

moni

appea

vis [

me ju

Buffr

honle

ofthe

not th

bould

those

Lumin

thele

it the Essence of God, as was noted above) but as fomething that ferves for the clearer Revels. tion or Discovery of that Object, that is in one Word, conceiving it rather as a more advantagi. ous Medium, than as an Objett, they might well be excused from making it simply and absolutely necessary to Understanding: Whereas I conceiv. ing the Divine Light to be the Omniform Essente of God, and accordingly making it to be the very immediate Object of my Understanding, that very Truth which (not that Medium by which)[contemplate, was obliged to suppose it so neces fary to the common and natural way of Understanding, that there could be no fuch thing a Understanding without it; which I am fore a Quaker will not, or at least upon his Principles.

can never fay.

And thus far the Difference between us is very clear and plain, and would indeed be on all fides unexceptionable, but that Mr. Vickris has here spied ont something, (for which I very much admire the Quickness of his Eye-sight) and that is a Contradiction. Before (fays he) it was a Diome Communication and Manifestation only, and now m extraordinary Inspiration. Is not Extraordinary more than Common, or Communication and Manifefarion only? And this he takes for a Contradiction of what was laid down in the first Article. But methinks Mr. Vickris should not undertaketo write Controversie, unless he had known better how to judge of a Contradiction. For does it follow, that because I first say, that the Quakers represent their Light as a Divine Communication or Manifestation only, in opposition to its being the very Essence or Substance of God, and afterwards

De

gi-

ely

iv-

900

ve-

bat

11

ef-

er-

25

1

es,

ery

ere

ach

hat vine vine

infe-

cle.

tter

s it

kers

tion

eing

ter-

ards

wards add (as another Step or Degree of Diffe: tence) that they represent it as an Extraordinary one, in opposition to the Natural and Ordinary way of Understanding; I fay, Does it hence appear that I contradict my felf? What if Extraordinary be more than Common, or Communication or Manifestation only, does it therefore Contradict it ? What, is every Addition a Conmadiction? It may indeed be fo, in case the Degree added in the Second Proposition were denied or excluded in the first. But is this the present Case? The Extraordinary Communication be more than bare Communication simply considered, is it therefore denied by it? Is it not plain that this is only an Abstraction, and not any Neeation? For if he should take hold of the Term (only,) where I suppose his Mistake lay, is it not very plain that the Term (only) in the First Artide is not exclusive of Extraordinary, which is added in the Second, but only of the Divine Essence or Substance; this being the Sense of the Propoflion, that they represent their Light not as the very Essence of God, but only as something comnunicated by God? And where then is there any appearance of a Contradiction? But lest Mr. Vichould not take this, (for he has now given me just occasion to question his Capacity) I will Infrate it by an Instance: Suppose a Cartesian hould fay first, That Light is only the Endeavour of the Globules of the Second Element to Motion, not the Motion it felf; and then afterwards bould further fay, that 'tis the Endeavour of those Globules to recede from the Center of the luminous Body in a Right Line, would one of theie Propositions contradict the other? 'Tis more

h

7

D

th

20

im

10

thi

thi

femi

Obi

WC

gro

the

not

thin

expr

all t

this !

Hom

prete

and (

Stray

ne fo

ing,

one.

ning,

do pl

more than I can find if they do. But he continues his Exception upon this part, asking me. Have not the Quakers declared the Light to be Universal, as well as Divine, in its Gift and Manifelta. tion to the Sons of Men? Well what if they have may they not also notwithstanding that, hold it to be an Extraordinary Gift, according to the above-stated Sense of the Word Extraordinary, as it fignifies fomething superadded to the Natural way of Man's Understanding? Does Extraordinary in this Sense import any thing inconfftent with Universal? Suppose Adam had persevered in his Original State, and his whole Posterity had inherited that extraordinary Grace, that Franum Justitia, which (according to some) was Superadded to the Essential Perfection of his Homan Nature, would it have been the less Extraordinary, that is, the less a Superaddition to the Nature of Man, because Universal? Sure Mr. Victio must be but little acquainted with the Nature of Opposition, if he thinks there is any between these two things. But suppose there were, he himself is accountable for it, and not I. For I have brought Evidence enough to prove, that they do make their Light to be (as I have explained it) Extraordinary, and if that be inconfiftent with their other Notion of its Universality, the Inconfiftency lights upon themselves, and I can't help that.

And thus the Former Part of this Section is sufficiently vindicated; but it seems there is a Flaw in the Latter, which Mr. Vickris endeavours to make great Advantage of, though it be such as plainly owing to the Captiousness and Uncandidness of his own Construction. Again, (fast

e,

e,

he

y,

U-

2-

ė.

e-

at

25

0-

r-

a-

is

of

fe

lf

ve

đo it)

th

n-:lp

is

5 2

ors

1 25

n.

175

ne)

he) in the same Section thou sayest. I suppose it, viz. The Light within to be a Man's Natural and Ordinay way of Understanding. And just before thou madelt it to be the very Essence and Substance of the Deity, &c. Did I fo? Then this one would think mould have been a Key to my Meaning to any one that was not refolv'd to misunder stand and pervertit, that he might have fomething to expose and harangue upon. For is it in the least to be imagin'd, or does Mr. Vickris in his Conscience feriously think that I, who in this very Book, and inthese very Articles he reslects upon, as well as in the whole Course of my other Writings upon this occasion, do all along earnestly contend, that this Internal Light is no other than the very Effence and Substance of God, whose Omniformity is exhibitive of all things, and is the immediate Object of our Conception, that very Truth which we conceive: And that I who make this the ground of Difference between my Principle of the Light and that of the Quakers, that they do not make it the Substance of God, but only something communicated by or from him, whereas I expresly do: I fay, can it be imagin'd that after all this, I should so far forget my felf, as to make this Divine Light to be the very same thing with Human Understanding, and so confound (as he pretends) the Divine xoy &, with Man's Reason and Conscience? Sure I should be fitter to pick Straws in Bedlam, than to write Books, should I le fo fenfeless as to make Truth and Understanding, Object and Faculty, God and Creature all But as this is too gross to be my real Meaning, fo the Scope and Antithesis of the Article oplainly shew that it was not. 'Tis plain from thence CC 2

thence (and no candid Reader would have usderstood me otherwise) that my Meaning, the for Brevities fake not fo explicitly worded, was no other than what has been already fuggefted viz. That whereas the Quakers represent their Light as fomething extraordinarily superadded to the natural way of Understanding, (as suppo. fing two distinct Lights in the Soul) and so not absolutely necessary to Understanding as such, which according to them may be without it: Ion the contrary making but one way of Understanding in all, suppose this Light to be fofar from being fuch an Extraordinary Superaddition, that 'tis fo requifite to the Natural and Ordinary way of Understanding, that there is no. Understanding without it. And so when I say, This is Reason, this is Conscience, 'tis Pag. 77. plain enough that I mean no more than that this (namely the Light) is that whereby I perform Acts of Reason and Acts of Conscience; not that it is my very reasoning Faculty, but that whereby I reason and discourse, as furnishing me with Idea's for my Contemplation. And if this be the Natural and Necessary Sense of my Words (asl believe Mr. Vickris must needs be sensible thatit is) then his whole following Harangue about my confounding the Divine xoy with Man's Na tural Reason and Conscience is quite and from a piece of Rhetorick becomes a most trifling Impertinence, (or to borrow a Stroke of Oratory from him) Insignificant, and like an Arrow (hot at Random.

Exception

fir

na

or

ov Fa

Pr

Q

hic

W

the

Spi

wh

Qu

in

as Mo

wh

thi

Lig

the any

our

Exception against the Third Article.

ras ed, eir

led

00-

ot

th,

On

er-

far

ti-

r-

ng.

ıy,

tis

his

m

at

re-

ith

he

s I t it

my

Va-

of

of Ar-

tion

TN thy Third Section thou com'ft in with a Salvo Errore, If I mistake not, the Quakers confine their Light within to some certain Objects. namely, Moral and Spiritual Truths in Order only to the Direction of Practice. How shouldst thou do otherwise but mistake the Principles of others, feeing theu art fo confused about thine own? However, I must tell thee it is no small Fault at fuch an Uncertainty to expose Peoples Principles; and 'tis an Abuse to say, that the Quakers confine the Light within, It is Divine. Supernatural, and Uncircumscribable; in it are hid all the Treasures of Wisdom and Knowledge. Where have the Quakers taught otherwise? Have they not testified and declared the Light and the Spirit of Truth are one and the same in being, which will guide into all Truth, John 16. 13. The Quakers believe this Divine Light to be the Quickner of their Understandings to Know, and of their Wills to Love and Practife all Truth. and that it affifts the Natural Faculties of the Soul in the Attainment of necessary Arts and Sciences. as well as capacitates it to Know and Practife Moral and Spiritual Truths. Thou may'ft read what the Author to the Book of Wisdom says on this Subject, in the 9th and 10th Chapters.

And whereas thou fay'st, the Quakers make the Light within a Supplement to Scripture, which they say is not sufficient without it, nor indeed any more than a meer dead Letter. I answer, These Words Supplement to Scripture are thy own, not ours; and this seems to be a Composition of thy

ule

is

ple

tra

by

the

fw

fhe

af

Mt

the

nef.

up

pro

fo

we

hac

it f

ind

ker

gai

is I

ply

WO

the

Mo

Di

con

own Notion of the Quakers Faith concerning the Holy Scripture, without any Quotation of their Words or Writings; and as 'tis an Objection is built upon thy mistake in thy former Section, viz. The Quakers represent this Light within as fort of extraordinary Inspiration. Which hath been already Answered, proving the Universality of the Divine Light in Man; which considered, there is no just Cause, for this is an Objection against the Quakers affigning the Scriptures to be infufficient without the Light, because the Knowledg of the Holy Scriptures cannot occur to the Understanding without it, and the Formalreafon thereof is its Presence and Manifestation. The Quakers believe that Christ Jesus by his Divine Light within, doth in these Days, as in the Days of his Flesh, expound, fulfil, and open to the True Believers the Holy Scriptures, which according to the Apostles Exhortation to Timothy, Chap. 2. 3, 15. are able to make wife unto Salvation, (Note) through Faith which is in Christ fefus. The Word Supplement in this Senfe, I hope, will be found no Error, but according to thy Construction of the Quakers representing the Light within as a fort of Extraordinary Inspiration, Sect. 2. or special Priviledge of a certain Order of Men, their own Party, as in Self. 4. And then making them to fay the Scriptures with relation only to the Direction of Practice, (as thy Lines import, Sett. 3.) are not sufficient without it, nor indeed any more than a meer dead Letter, is to render the Quakers not only fingular and erroneous in their Principle of the Light (which shall be more duly observed in its place) but also contemptuous of the Holy Scriptures as useless

he

eir

is

n,

th li-

T-

ies

ne to

9-

e

ie

75 16 1nfeles and insufficient to all but themselves, which is a great and hainous Abuse of a Society of People, without Cause and Provocation, and contrary to the Publick and Known Assertions both by Word and Writing, who believe according to the same Apostle to Timothy, Ch. 2.3, 16, 17. that all Scripture, &c. And this shall suffice for an Answer to thy Third Section.

The Answer.

TF I delivered my felf with more than ordinary I Caution and Refervedness in this Article, it was because of my abundant Concern, lest I hould injure those whom I was representing by afalfe Charge; tho I think it is too much for Mr. Vickris to condemn me for misrepresenting them, and yet to upbraid me with my Tenderness in doing so at the same Time. But I find upon further Examintion that I needed not to proceed with fuch Suspense in this matter, being fo fully affured of my being in the right here, as well as in the other parts of my Account, that had I the Liberty to New-Cast this Article again, it should be in the very same Mould. Mr. Vickris indeed tells me that 'is an Abuse to say the Quahers confine the Light within. And I tell him, again, that 'tis an abuse in him to say, that this is my Charge. I never faid absolutely and simply that they confine the Light within, (which would imply a Confinement to Persons) but that they confine it to some certain Objects, namely, Moral and Spiritual Truths, in order only to the Direction of Practice. And that they do thus confine the Light is as plain and certain as CC 4 that

Apology. P 337.

that they hold it, if Mr. Barclay may be allow'd to understand their Principles. For fays he, As God gave two great Lights to rule the outward World, the Sun and Moon, the greater Light to rule the Day, and the leffer Light to rule the Night; So bath be given Man the Light of his Son, a Spiritual Divine Light to rule him in the things Spiritual, and the Light of Reason to rule him in things Natural. Here it is very plain, First, That he supposes two distinct Lights in the Soul, the Divine Light, and the Light of Reason, or the Natural Light, which by the way sufficiently confirms what was faid in the last Section concerning their making the Divine Light an Extraordinary Communication, that is, Superaccessory to the Natural Light, or Man's Natural and Ordinary way of Understanding, which might remain intire and unextinguisht, the separated from the Divine Light, as being a Principle wholly distinct from it, and that stands upon another Bottom. Contrary to what I contend for, namely, That there is but one Light in the Soul of Man, which is the Divine Light, wherein we see and perceive all things, and by which we naturally and ordinarily understand. 'Tis also very plain in the second place, That as he supposes two distinct Lights in the Soul, the Divine and the Natural, fo he assigns them two distinct Offices, no less distinct than Day and Night, the Divine Light being to direct in things Spiritual as the Sun rules the Day; and the Natural Light being to direct in things Natural, as the Moon governs the Each it feems has its proper Orb and Province; and they can no more interfere with one another's Order, than the Sun can usurp the

he G

ime t

this

ertail

Truth

nuch

he D

o be

een l

Good

with t

lone i

In

this or

but fir

the p

parka

Work ious.

for th

imfe

its Co

nels to

Educa Siyle

at a

no par plain

Homa

are 1 cal S

be n

torall Light fore, the Government of the Night, or the Moon afime to her felf the Conduct of the Day. And
this be not to confine the Divine Light to fome
train Objects, namely, to Moral and Spiritual
Truths, I know not what is. 'Tis confined as
such to fuch Objects as the Sun is confined to
the Day, and I defire no more, thinking that
to be Confinement enough. If Mr. Vicky is had
ten but half so much confined to Civility and
cood Behaviour, he would have treated me
with more Humanity and Courtesie than he has

lone in some parts of his Book.

od

be

y, be

ne be

9

į.

d

t,

S

g

-

.

\$

0

I might be more liberal of Quotations upon his occasion, if I thought there were any need; but fince that already produced is fo express to be purpose, I shall only take notice of a Reparkable Passage in the Preface to Mr. Barclay's Works, lately Printed in Folio, where the Ingeious Author giving an Account of his Apology or the True Christian Divinity, makes one imfelf for the Scholastick manner and way of Composition, which it seems was in Tenderthe Scholars, and in Condescension to their Iducation, His Words are, The Method and lyle of the Book may be somewhat Singular, and Pag. 21. the a Scholar ; for we make that fort of Learning, part of our Divine Science, &c. Where 'tis lain, that by that fort of Learning, he means Human Learning, those Arts and Sciences which tre the common Objects of our Academial Studies. And that by our Divine Science means that Knowledge which is supernamally communicated to them by the faving light of Christ, whereof he had discoursed beore, So that when he fays we make that fort of

consi

do W their l

œivis

dde

ing, I dinar

the m

impl

tion it

ters d

aten

lipp

Light mult

But

for, f

Vildo

at t

Learning no part of our Divine Science, it comes halve to as much as if he had faid, We make Human out Learning, or those Arts and Sciences which are the common Objects of Academical Study, to be no part life of that Knowledge which is supernaturally communications. of that Knowledge which is supernaturally communicated to us by the Light of Christ. And if Human Learning be no part of that Knowledge which comes by the Divine Light, then the Divine Light is not extended to Human Learning, and confequently must be confin'd to Spiritual Truths, the very Province which Mr. Barclay had affigu'd it before.

And to this Supposition the Thread of their former Principle naturally leads them. For fuppoling the Divine Light to be an Extraordinary Communication of God, that is, fomething fiperadded to the Natural and Ordinary way of Understanding, there is all the reason in the World that they should assign to it Divine and Spiritual Objects as its proper Sphere and Province, fince Natural things were before fofficiently difcernible by a Natural Light and Principle. Especially considering that this Divine Light is also conceiv'd and represented by them as that very Grace of Christ whereby Men are Converted by, t and Saved, and which was given to them by God for that very purpose. For fo Mr. Barcia Barcia in his 5th and 6th. Propositions, reckoning up the Ends and Purposes for which the faving and hat of spiritual Light (as he calls it) was given by God, makes them to confift in making manifest allthing that are reprovable, in teaching all Temperance, to Righteousness and Godliness, and (in general) in Lightning the Hearts of all in order to Salvation. So then it feems this Light is purely in order to be be because the salvation. Sal-

Pag. 317.

salvarion, and confequently ought to be confined othe things that concern it, that is, to Divine ad Spiritual Truths in order to the Direction of the and Manners. Herein therefore they are per life and Manners. Herein therefore they do thus man to their Principles: As they do thus man to their Light to Spiritual things, fo they man the thus to confine it. For what has Grace to with the things of Nature? And as they follow with the things of Nature. For not conand the Principle, fo I follow mine. For not conided to the ordinary way of Man's Understanding, but as that whereby he naturally and ording, but as that whereby he naturally and ording. diarily Understands, and not conceiving it after the manner of Grace neither (I mean as to its imple Kind, tho I allow it may have that Estimaionin some of its Degrees) but rather as accordto the Natural Order of Human Understandof ig: I had no reason to confine it (as the Quathe msdo) to Divine and Spiritual Truths, but to stend it to all Truth without Exception, which Prohi. Improse to be equally perceivable in this Divine light, which as being the very Essence of God,

ths.

Sup-

ary

fu-

and

Sal-

that But Mr. Vickris will still have it an Abuse to tted by, that the Quakers confine the Light within. by for, fays he, It is Divine, Supernatural, and Uncla manferibable, and in it are hid all the Treasures of his and Knowledge. Alluding, I suppose, to and that of the Apostle concerning Christ, Col. 2. 3. and the Apollie concerning Chilit, Col. 2. 3. and the they do make it to be Divine and Suings anatural, yet I do not see how they can make nee, i, or he can call it, Uncircumscribable, since (as) in as been observed in the preceeding Pages) they conot make it to be the proper Essence or Subserve ance of God, but a certain middle Nature between

tween God and Man: And for the same reason he cuts himself off from all Pretence to that Text concerning the Divine Word, that in him are hid all the Treasures of Wisdom and Knowledge which indeed is very applicable, and carries very apposite and emphatick Sense upon my Hr. pothefis, who make the Divine Light within to be the very Divine xoy himself, the Substantial and Essential Wisdom of God; but must beal. together usurpt upon his, who either does, orby the Principles of Quakerism is obliged to make it not the very Essence of the Deity, but something of a middle Nature.

But favs he, Have they not testified and declared the Light and the Spirit of Truth are all one and the Same Being, which will guide into all Truth? John 16. 13. And I do not at present well remember whether they have or no, nor shall I give my felf the Trouble to enquire, thinking it altogether impertinent. But fure I am, that they have often testified and declared that the Light is not the proper Essence of God, but a certain middle Being between God and Man : and if they will afterwards testifie and declare that 'tis the very same with the Spirit of Truth (which is really and truly God) I think they will evidently testifie against themselves, and declare Contradictions, and then 'tis no great matter what they testifie or declare. But besides, suppose they did make the Lightto be one and the same with the Spirit of Truth, which according to that of St. John, will guide into all Truth; yet 'tis plain from the foregoing Measures that they must, and from the Context of the place, that they ought to mean it only of Spiritual, Saving and necessary Truths, which

may

inen

bris 1

Ligh

the .

this

of hi

and

FOU

and

did a

Unfi

his t

Lear

Frui

anot

me)

tang

But

700

divid

to h

conf

Wifa

Mr.

lam

the

then

faid

dom

teac

and

which

ther

B

may very well confift with their supposed Con-

mement of the Light.

ext

hid

ige,

es a Hy-

1 to

tial

2.

by

eit

ing

ered

the

obn

ber

felf

her

ten

-01

be-

rds

ith

uly

nft

nen

ert.

to

th,

ide

ing

ext

of

ich

127

But how can this be so confined, when Mr. Vichis testifies again, and declares that the Divine Pag. 6,7. Light does assist the Natural Faculties of the Soul in the Attainment of Arts and Sciences? But how is this confiftent with what he fays in the Beginning of his Book, where he calls this Corrupt Wifdom, and the Forbidden Fruit, and what will prove folly in the End, and the Wisdom that is Below, and that which God's Wisdom from Above ever did and will confound? See the Inconfiftency and Unfincerity of this Writer! When it would ferve his turn to disparage and beat down Human Learning, then 'tis Corrupt VVisdom, Forbidden fruit, and I know not what. And when he had nother turn to ferve, (that is, to contradict me) then this Wisdom, as corrupt as it is, is aught us by the Instruction of the Divine Light. But stay, Sir, tho this Divine Light be not as 100 fay the very Essence of God, yet is it so far divided against him, as to teach what is contrary whim, and what his Wisdom ever did and will confound? Are the Divine Light and the Divine Wildom at fuch Defiance with each other? But 'tis Mr. Vickris that is at Defiance with himself, and lam afraid will not eafily be reconciled. the Divine Light teaches this fort of Wisdom, then 'tis not Corrupt, which contradicts what he faid in the other place; and if this fort of Wifdom be Corrupt, then the Divine Light does not Apology, teach it, (as being a Pure and Clean Principle, P. 338, and fuch as cannot consent to any Evilor Wickedness) which contradicts what he fays bere. So that ei-

ther way Contradiction is his Doom.

As to what I faid concerning the Quakers making the Light within a Supplement to Scripture, &c. it was not intended as a direct and particular Objection against them, of it felf, but only to confirm the other, wherein they are charged with confining it to Moral and Spiritual Truths in order to the Direction of Practice. For that be ing the acknowledged End and Use of Scripture. it feems an high Prefumption, that what is made a Supplement to the Scripture, is also intended for the very fame End and Use. And therefore Mr. Vickris need not have opposed himself against this as a direct and particular Objection, it being not by me either intended or used as such. For whatever my Thoughts may be concerning the Sufficiency or Insufficiency of the Scripture without the inward Light, I had no occasion then, nor have any Mind at present to engage in a Dispute about that matter. Only I would defire Mr. Vickris and his Brethren by the way to confider, whether they can answer that Argument of Episcopius, whereby he proves the Vanity and Abfurdity of the Spiritualifts, (as he calls them) in making the Inward Word the Interpreter of Scri-Either (fays he) they will have that inward Word, as they call it, to have an intelligible Sense in it, or not to have an intelligible Sense. If they will have it to contain an intelligible Sense, then they must grant that that Sense being perceived by the Understanding may be pronounced and expressed by outward Speech. And if so, then it follows that this Sense may also be written, since whatever is pronounced by the Mouth, may also be expressed in Writing. then this written Sense will again be nothing else than an external Word, which being exprest in Letters will

Inflicut. Theolog. Pag. 253. vil 4

ter of

if th

mell

ten, i

¥ #0

muft bey

pon l'an public Diffi

Senfi

mit

B

to Si

fince

that

is th

ptur

go

dead

ters

acco

Ligh

01,

then

ned

H

on,

on,

will

cers

alar

to

rich

in

be-

re,

ide

led

ore nk ng

Of

he

h-

1 2

re

n-

of

b-

in

rd

ill

eft

7-

rd

efe

by

ut

an

ill

vill according to them be nothing elfe but an inky Letwor Scripture, which they deny to be sufficient. But they will have this inward Word to have in it no melligible Sense, or that may be pronounced, or writun then it will necessarily follow, that this their Word in Word, or only a vain foolish Word, For such auf that Word be which has no Intelligible Sense. If in fay, that this Word is not perceived but by him non whom it is immediately impressed by God. Then Ilay again, Either it is impressed without any intellithe Sense, or with it, If without any, then the same Difficulty will return, viz. That 'tis a vain and foolish Word. But if it be imprinted with intelligible Scale, then what hinders but that it may be expressed ad written? And then how will it differ from that witten Word we have bitherto treated of ?

But he tells me that these Words, Supplement " Scripture, are my own, not theirs. It may be 6. I will not stand with Mr. Vickris for a Word, face he is so kind to me as to acknowledge all that lintended by it, namely, That the Light is the Interpreter of Scripture, and that the Scripture is not fufficient without it; without denying that 'tis not any thing more than a meer dead Letter, a Phrase usually applied by the Quaters to the Scripture confidered in its felf, as unaccompanied with the Testimony of the Internal Light. For the further clearing of which Notion, when any of them write next, I would defire them to take the Argument of the before-mentioned Learned Objector in their way.

He tells me again that this, as 'tis an Objection, is built upon my Mistake in my former Section, viz. That the Quakers represent this Light within as a fort of Extraordinary Inspiration,

which

Spiritual internal in

me s

all b

Mc

10 H 20

of th

to Sa not, illum feein

The

Chri

refe

this

dearl

Man ?

duce

in th

Fifth.

which he fays has been answered already in proving the Universality of the Divine Light. But I answer, First, That this was not intended as a particular Objection of its felf, but only to fe. cond and inforce another. Secondly, That if it be an Objection, it is not built upon what he supposes, which is only a mistake of his own concerning my meaning by the Word Extraord nary, which because by me not opposed to Univerfal, cannot be faid to be any way answered by his proving the Universality of the Divine Then as to what he fays afterwards con-Light. cerning my rendring the Quakers contemptions of Holy Scripture, as useless to all but themfelves, in regard that 'tis held as a dead Letter without the Light, which Light according tomy Construction of their Principle, is an Extraordingry Inspiration, and a special Priviledge of certain Order of Men, their own Party. I anfwer, That indeed the Scripture would be made useless to all but themselves upon this double Supposition. 1. That 'tis a dead Letter without the Light. And, 2. That this Light is their own peculiar Priviledge; but then this latter (upon which the Strength of the Objection turns) was not absolutely charged upon them by me. For as for the Term Extraordinary, it has been more than once noted, that it was not used in Sense opposite to Universal. And as for their making the Light a special Priviledge of a certain Order of Men, that this is not to be underflood in fuch a Sense as will lay any Ground for my Adversary's Complaint, will sufficiently appear, when I come to confider his Exception against the Fourth Article, to the Examination of which I now proceed. ExBut

as a

fit

he

wn di-

red

ine

-00

ous

m-

ter

my

)[·

fa

n-

de

ble

h-

eir

er

is) ne.

12

eir ereror

ely

on

y.

Exception against the Fourth Article.

THE Fourth is a down-right Falfity, viz. The Quakers make their Light within a special Priviledg of a certain Order of Men, lity vet they do as to the Act, making none bethole of their own way to be actually enlightd by it. This is so abundantly confuted by he Writings in general of those People, more micularly in the afore-recited Book of Robert larley's, which confidering thou haft read, I am temore amazed at thy Affertion. They fay all ne actually enlightned by it, all are call'd, but Il have not obey'd, according to those Scriptures, Mant 8. He hath shewed thre, O man, what is nd, &c. 1 John 9. 3,19. 1 Cor. 13. 17. Tit. 2.11. Thy Distinction of a Possibility, and not shally with respect to the Divine Illumination of this Principle is trivial, the not with respect osalvation by it. The Capacity or Possibility is m, nor can be in Man abstract from the actual ilminating Power and Spirit, no more than king, if the Light be not separate from the Eye. The Quakers have, and still do declare that Christ lesus the Light of the World, is the Uniwal Free Gift of God, for the Benefit of all Men, in order to their Salvation. And is not this Common, yet Special Priviledge, because dearly purchased and freely bestowed on lost Man? That thou may'ft not want occasion to traduce the Quakers, thou hast so much overdone it in this Section, as to contradict thy felf in the fifth. As for Instance, Sect. 4. to be actually

enlightned according to thy Principle is no for cial Priviledge, but the common and universal Benefit of all Men, yea, of all the Intelligent Cre. ation, who all fee and understand in this Light of God, without which there would be neither Trus nor Understanding. On the contrary, Sea c thou fay'ft, thy Light does not Formally enlighten or instruct thee, but when thou carefully attend to and confults it, and read what is written in those Divine Ideal Characters. The like Dodrin thou haft, Pag. 71. of thy Book, Sett. 5. and 6. where treating of thy double Illumination of the Divine x620, viz. Fundamentally and Potentially, or elfe Effectually or Actually; in the former Sense (thou say'st) he enlightens every Man; in the latter, only those who duly consult him and attend to him. See what Contradiction thy strain'd Notions run thee into. One while to be actually enlightned, is the Common and Universal Benefit of all Men; another while only of those who consult it and attend to it, and all w avoid being thought to Symbolize with the Quakers in thy Notion of the Divine Light. Thy Distinction of Potentially and Actually can have no more in it than the Difference in the Subject, the one Universal Act of the Word or Light eslightning every Man, comprehends both. are but new invented Terms to express the most ancient, and more Divinely, and better reveald Truths of the Gospel.

Delle 以外的 und www Mining and on Do

to to alo the

SE E

fore

this

not :

ibeir by 1

The Answer.

THIS Exception feems to have in it the mot of Subtilty and Artifice, and to be the mot nt of

h. S.

ends n in

trin

d 6.

the

nti-

mer

in

and thy

be

hi-

y of

lto

hy

ave ect, ennele nost

of

air

fair and plaufible of any: But I make no great Overtion but that it will have as fair and clear an Answer as any of the reft. And because a Quefion well stated, and put in a good Light, is half refolv'd, I shall first of all define the Sense and Meaning of my own Words in this Fourth Artide, that fo it may appear what it is that I there ffirm, & what he does or should deny, & wherein the Point of the Question between us lies. Now when I fay that the Quakers make their Light within a special Priviledge of a certain Order of Men, 'Lis plain, First, in general, from the Article its felf, that I do not mean as to the Capain or Possibility, but as to the Act. For I grant sto the Possibility, that they suppose all Men to he indifferently capable of the Divine Illumination, as appears from their contending against the Doctrin of Absolute Reprobation, and for Univerfal Grace. I do not therefore make them to confine the Light as to the Possibility, but only as witheAct. And then as to theAct (in which respect slone I fay they confine it) it is also very plain, that I mean not the Act of Having or Poffeffing the light (for I know very well that 'tis one of their fundamental Tenets, that God has Communicaand given unto every Man a Measure of the light of his Son, a Measure of Grace, or a Meafire of the Spirit, as Mr. Barclay expresses it) Apology but the Act of being enlightned by it. And that P. 330. this is my Meaning, the Tenor of my Words do infliciently shew, because I there say, Tho they do not make it a special Priviledge as to the Possibility, putbey do as to the Act, making none but those of their own way to be actually enlightned by it. Where by Act it is plain that I mean not the Act of Pof-

Dd 2

feffion.

fession, but the Act of Illumination. For Mr. Fie. bris is to consider, that tis one thing to have or to poffels the Light, and another thing to be ach ally enlightned by it. And they who very zesloufly contend for the Universality of the former. may at the same time, and that without any hconfiftency, confine the latter. Which is the thing that I affirm the Quakers do, viz. The though they do not confine the Light either ast the Possibility of being enlightned by it, or as to the Act of having or possessing it, yet they do confine it as to the Act of Illumination. That they do thus confine it, I will first shew, and then consider what he alledges to the contrary, which I think is a fair and distinct way of Proceed ing.

That the Quakers do confine the Light as to the Act of Illumination to certain Men, of to Men of a certain Order, I think will be readily granted me, if I can shew that they do thus confine it to the Regenerate. And that they do so, I believe I can not only render exceeding Probable, but even Demonstrate; which I under use

to do in this Form of Argument:

They that do exclude all Men that are in their Natural and Corrupt Effate from the actual Illumination of the Divine Light, do confine the Divine Light as to the Act of Illumination to the Regenerate.

But the Quakers do exclude all Men that at in their Natural and Corrupt Estate from the Actual Humination of the Divine Light.

Therefore the Quakers do confine the Divine Light as to the Act of Illumination to the Regperate. De in a se cape la cap

Die

th

The first of these Propositions is most evident.

The Second I prove thus:

からまかの

be st

to

at

en

d

to

ily

0-

io,

ke

eit

lo.

he

art the

ine

he

They that do exclude all Men that are in their Natural and Corrupt Estate from any Sensation or Feeling of the Divine Light, they do exclude all Men that are in their Natural Corrupt Estate from the Actual Illumination of the Divine Light.

But the Quakers do exclude all Men that are in their Natural and Corrupt Estate from any sensation or Feeling of the Divine Light.

Therefore the Quakers do exclude all Men that are in their Natural Corrupt Estate from the

The First of these Propositions is again most

Actual Illumination of the Divine Light.

And for the Proof of the Second, which alone requires it, I appeal to the Testimowof their most Authentick Writer Mr. Barclay, Apology who in his Fourth Proposition speaking concern- p. 310. ing the Natural Condition of Man, gives this exwell Account of it, that he is not only fall'n, degenerated and dead, but deprived of the Senfaim or Feeling of this Inward Testimony, or Seed of God; And is Subject to the Power, Nature and Seed of the Serpent, which he fows in Men's Hearts, while they abide in this Natural and Corrupted Estate. From whence it comes, that not only their Words and Deeds, but all their Imaginations are Evil perpetually whe fight of God, as proceeding from this depraved and wicked Seed. Man therefore as he is in this state can know nothing aright; yea, his Thoughts and Conoptions concerning God and Things Spiritual (until he disjoyn'd from this evil Seed, and united to the Divine Light) are unprofitable both to himself and thers. Thus Mr. Barclay. Now how far Man in his Natural and Corrupt Estate may be capable Dd 3

ble of conceiving rightly, either of God, or of Divine things, 'tis not my present Business to enquire or dispute. But what I observe from these Words of Mr. Barclay is this, That Natural Men, or Men in their Natural and Corrupt Effate. however they may have the Divine Light in them Seminally or Radically, yet they have not (while in that state) any Sensation or any Feeling of it, They are his own express Words. And if they have not any Sensation or Feeling of the Light then they are not actually enlightned by it, which agrees with the Distinction before laid down that tho they have the Light as to the Act of Pos fession, yet they have it not as to the Act of Il-And if all Natural Men are exclulumination. ded from the actual Illumination of the Divine Light, then 'tis plain that the Divine Light as to the Act of Illumination, (tho not as to the Act of Possession) is confined to the Regenerate. Which was the Proposition I undertook to prove.

And that all Natural and Unregenerate Men, tho they have the Divine Light lodg'd in them, are yet supposed to be deprived of all actual llumination by it, may be fairly collected from another remarkable place in Mr. Barclay, where he uses this as an Argument to prove, that the Light is a Substance not an Accident, because it may be in the Heart of wicked Men without denominating them, that is, as appears by the Tenor of his Discourse, without affecting them, or deriving any influence or Vertue upon them. His Words are these, We know it to be a Substance, because it subsists in the Hearts of Wicked Men, even while they are in their Wickedness. Now no Accident

Apology P. 334. dent

jeat

a Sill min

Seed

nak

may

CAR

He

Me

ful.

Mr.

imp

tha

ned

dor

or e

as a fick

it;

ame Wo

tha

OD.

MD

tot

leg of

free

WIC

enele

ral

ite,

em ile

it.

ey ht,

ch

いった

||.

1

ne

a

e.

tq

1.

0

re

be

it

e.

10

is

2.

CH

nt

lent can be in a Subject, without it gives the Subielt its own Denomination; as where Whiteness is in subject, there the Subject is call'd White. So we difinguish betwixt Holiness, as 'tis an Accident. which denominates Man fo, as the Seed receives a have in him; and betwixt this Holy Substantial Seed, which many times lies in Man's Heart, as a unted Grain in a Stony Ground. So also, as we may distinguish betwixt Health and Medicine. Health cannot be in the Body, without the Body be called Healthful, Because Health is an Accident. But Medicine may be in a Body that is most unhealthful, for that it is a Substance. In which Words Mr. Barclay does, as far as I can judge, plainly imply, that wicked Men, tho they have the light really and truly in them, as well as those that are good, yet they are not actually enlightned by it. It is indeed in their Hearts, but it lies dormant there like hot Embers cover'd up under the Ashes, without affecting, or denominating, orenlightning them. For it feems, it lies in them wanaked Grain in a Stony Ground, and as Phyfick in a Body that is not at all wrought upon by it; that is, it lies there, and that's all. amounts to as much as if he had faid in the Words of the before mention'd Distinction, that they have it indeed as to the Act of Possession, but not as to the Act of Illumination. Which must therefore be confined to good Men only, or wthe Regenerate.

To this purpose I might cite many other Passes which occur up and down in the Writings of Mr. Barclay, as when he says (as he does frequently) that the Divine Light or Seed lies in wicked Men, hurt, bruised, wounded, slain, yea,

Dd 4

HOU

if ei

cent

rest

ma

to t

it.

dos

to B

opo

bra

OW

ther

DED

any

ior

I sh

COD

1 to

to t

of i

ther ther Illo the

in I

ube in-t

1 60

yea

(m)

Apology P. 334.

Ibid.

and buried. And when he fays that in this Sui in the Hearts of all Men is the Kingdom of God as in Capacity to be produced, or rather exti bited, according as it receives Depth, is nowill's and not choaked. And when he fays the this Seed was in the Pharifees, as a Seed lying in a Barren Ground, which springs not forth &c. But I forbear more Quotations, having! think already produced enough to make it plain and out of question that they do exclude all wir. ked Men from the actual Illumination, though not from the actual Possession of the Divine Light; or which is all one, that they confine the Divine Light to good Men, to the Rege. nerate, as to the Act of Illumination, the not as to the Act of Possession. In short, All have it, but the regenerate only are actually enlightned by it.

I may urge this also as another Argumentto prove that they do confine the Light as to the Act of Illumination to a certain number of Mes. because (as every body knows that has had the least Conversation either with them or their Writings) they do confine it only to those that turn their Soul and Mind inwards unto it, (for till then, as the Prefacer to Mr. Barclay's Works fays, Man is darkness) at the same time suppofing that not all, but a very few do so convert themselves unto it. Upon which double Suppofition are grounded their fo often repeated M. monitions and Exhortations to those they address their Writings or Discourses to that they would turn their Souls inwards unto the Light, that they would mind, heed, follow it, and wait up on it in quietness and silence, &c. All which would

P. 30

mould be extreamly ridiculous and impertinent, if either Men might be enlightned without converting themselves to the Light, or if it were cortain and necessary that all Men should so convert themselves to it. Since therefore they do consine the Light as to the Act of Illumination to those that convert or introvert themselves to it, and at the same time do not make all Men to do so, it appears plainly that they do confine it

wacertain number of Men.

ははははははい

icgh ne

Ot

į.

to

at

or ks

o-

0.

fs

ı

And fince again (as is well known) they look open themselves as the only Professors and Embracers of the Truth of God, and the only Followers of the Light, and the only Converters of themselves to it, (which it seems is so much the proper Characteristick of a Quaker, that when my one is Proselyted to their way, 'tis ordinary for them to fay, Such an one is turn'd to the Light) I think I had very good reason to say that they mined the Light to their own Party, meaning, (a plainly appears, and as I have often fuggefed) not as to the Capacity or Possibility, nor as to the A& of Possession, but only as to the A& dillumination. That the other Men as well as themselves, yea, all Men have the same Light in them, yet they only fee by it, and have actual Memination from it, because they only turn themselves unto it, and (to express it in their more peculiar Phrase) are Inward with it. Whereal think they differ again sufficiently from me, the am fo far from confining the Divine Light the least respect or degree, that I extend it as rommon Benefit to all Men without Exception, yea, to all the Intelligent part of the Creation (which by the way I do not find they do, nor

that

ally !

this

there

when

thefe

be w

from

10 0

from

ävid

x ca

wher

enlig

mer,

lhav day:

thor

in ar

why

1 Ma

light

Irrad

Seed,

culty

Heal

fer i

m M

Lord

tet ca

do I see how upon their Principle they can, since making it the Grace of Christ they must consist it to Man) and that not only as to the Act of Post session, but as to the act of Illumination too, be cause I express fay, that they all see and under stand in this Light of God, without which there would be neither Truth nor Understanding.

Now to consider what Mr. Vickris alledges to the contrary: He fays First of all, that it is down-right Falsity that the Quakers do thus confine the Light. But let him prove this to be Falfity as well as fay it. He fays again, that its abundantly confuted by the Writings of the Quakers in general, particularly those of It bert Barclay. But I have made the contrary appear from those very Writings. But he fays again, that they fay all are actually enlighted by it, Gc. To which I return. That then they fay Contradictions, and are inconfiftent with themfelves. But he fays again, that the Quakers have, and still do declare, that Christ Jesus the Light of the World is the Universal Free Gift of God, for the Benefit of all Men, in order to their Sal-But by Mr. Vickris's leave this dos not reach the Point in question, as proving the Universality of the Light only as to the Capacity of being enlightned by it, or as to the Act of Pofession only, which I never supposed them tode But the Question is concerning the Univerfality of the Light as to the Act of Illumination, which is not at all proved by faying, that Christ Jesus the Light of the World is the Universal Free Gift of God, for the Benefit of all Men in order to their Salvation. But he further fays, that

Ż.

7.

to

1

D.

is

0

à.

Ŋ

1.

ht d,

ŀ

C

he

ing of-

þ.

小城市

fal

in

iat

ally with respect to the Divine Illumination of the Principle, is trivial. But why so? May there not be a Capacity of being enlightned

where there is no actual Illumination? Are not

hele distinct Idea's? And may not therefore one without the other? But he fays, the Capacity a Possibility is not, nor can be in Man abstract from the Eye. No? Why then do they so often more than feeing, if Light be not separate from the actual Illuminating Power and Spirit, livide the one from the other, in making all to heapable of Illumination by the Divine Light, when yet according to them all are not actually alightned by it, nor any at all times ? Of the forner, That all are not actually enlightned by it, lhave already given an Account out of Mr. Barday: And as to the Latter, That none are actually enlightned by it at all times, the fame Auther is fo kind as to furnish me with an Account in another place, where he gives this as a Reason why the Light is no Power or Natural Faculty of Man's Mind, because he cannot be actually enlightned by it at pleasure, but must wait for the irradiations of it. For, fays he, This Light, Sud, Oc. appears to be no Power or Natural Fa- Apology sulty of Man's Mind, because a Man that is in P. 338. Health can, when he pleases, stir up, move and exrose the Faculties of his Soul. He is absolute Mafir of them. But this Light and Seed of God Man he cannot move and fir up when he pleases, in it moves, blows and strives with Man as the land seeth meet. For tho there be a Possibility of Salvation to every man during the Day of his Visitation, in cannot a man at any time when he pleases stir up

that Light and Grace, but be muft mait for it is Bringing in the of Comparison the Lake of Ball aids, and the Angel at certain times moving the Waters. From which Words of Mr. Ban it plainly appears, that no Man, tho never close a Follower of the Light, is under the al Illumination of it at all times; and yet fame Author does not deny, but every wen earnestly contend, that the Light is always a Man as well as in every Man; and if this bene to abstract the Capacity or Possibility from the Act of Illumination by the Light, I despaired to know what is. And why may not the Capcity of Illumination be abstracted from Attan they are not only in themselves distinct, but preced from different Causes, and between which there is (according to them) no necessary Connection? The former depending upon the being only of the Divine Light, and the later upon the Soul's Introversion of it self to it, with out which she will not be enlightned by the Light which she bears. According to what Mr. Barclay fays upon that Text, Rom. 3. 11. The Calumnies, is none that understandeth: Why understand the not, but because they are not turn'd to the Light that can give them Understanding?

Truth clear'd of p. 8.

> As to his Comparison taken from seeing, which he will not have possible to be abstracted, if Light be not separated from the Eye. I answe, First, That if there be any Force in it, tist gainst themselves, as convincing them of Absudity in Abstracting or Dividing what is not to be divided. For the Signification of it mul be this, That as the Act of Seeing is not to be abstracted from the Capacity, when Light

is not separated from the Eye, fo the nof Illumination is not to be abstracted from Capacity of it, when the Divine Light is not meted from the Saul. And why then do they when they suppose the Divine Light to be ent in the Soul; as tis plain they do when teach, that 'tis not in the power of Man to alightned when he pleases, though the Light ally in him? If the actual Illumination of soul must necessarily follow upon the Presence seing of the Divine Light in it, then why do abstract it from it, by supposing that Man it not always in his Power to move and ftir nthe Light, as was before noted? But indeed, matever Connexion there may be between the the is any from the Similitude he here uses, in with there is no Force at all, the ground of it ightly conceived not being true. For Seeing Affical Impression made upon the Retina by the of Light that pass in various Refractions trough the feveral Humours of the Eye; or thin a Formal Sense, for that Sensarion of the which ordinarily accompanies that Imprefand whereby the is conscious of it. If Seethe taken in the former Sense, then indeed twill hardly be possible to abstract the Act of from the Capacity of it, if the Light be leparated from the Eye. But if Seeing be the soul, whereby the is confeious of that Phy-Impression made upon the Organs of Sight, which

(which is the most proper acceptation of the Word Seeing) then the Act of Seeing may very well, and is often abstracted from the Capacity of it; and that notwithstanding the Light be not separated from the Eye: There being nothing more common than for Men, whose Minds are deeply fix'd upon some engaging Objects that call off and divert their Attention another way, not to observe what stands just before their Eyes, and what Physically and Materially speaking they cannot chuse but see. So that this Comparison as prettily as it looks, will not serve the purpose for which it is used. But I forget my self; 'tis pity a good Similitude should be spoil'd by being too nicely examin'd.

ST

O

20

M Loading at the state of the s

And now as to the Contradiction which he pretends to find between the Fourth Article, where all Men are supposed to be actually enlighted, and the Fifth, where the Light is supposed not Formally to enlighten me, but when I attend to it and confult it: Though he has fo lately spoiled his Credit with me in this point, that I shall not be very forward to take his Word for a Contradiction again, yet I shall not scruple to confess, that there is at first View fome Appearance of Opposition between these two, but I think no more than what any Fair and Candid Reader may easily accommodate, only by considering and collating together the two different occasions apon which these two seemingly opposite Passages were delivered. For in the Fourth Section, being to oppose my Notion against the Quakers as to the Confinement of the Light to certain Men, at to the Act of Illumination, there I lay down

the

VETY

y of not

hing

are

that

vay,

yes,

ing

ri-

ur-

If:

by

re-

сте

ed,

101

to

il-

all

071-

ſŝ,

of

no

ay ol-

on es

K-

TS

in

ıy

down this Assertion, That all Men are netually misbined. And in the Fifth Section, being to oppose my self against another Notion of the minate formed Dictate or Proposition, expresly ad positively directive and instructive; there I by, that it does not formally enlighten me but hen I attend to it and confult it, as being no formed Proposition, but only the Essential Truth of God. Wherein 'tis plain that I o not deny the actual Illumination of all, the thing afferted in the former Section) but only determin the manner of it, that it is not done by any Proposition ready formed and held forth to the Soul, but by the Soul's confulting the Divine Idea's, or Essential Truth of God. For when I fay it enlightens Men only when they attend to it and confult it, Ido not suppose that there are any that are not chally enlightned, but that those who are enlightned are enlightned by attending, supposing Withe same time that all Men do in some meaime attend to, and confult the Divine Light, fo far at least, as is necessary to their common and ordinary way of Understanding, and to render them rational and intelligent Beings. 'Tis true indeed, the Quakers do also make the Light to alighten none but those that convert themselves wit: But then there is this material Difference between us, that they reprefenting the Light as mextraordinary Accession to the Order of Human Understanding, and as a Saving Grace in the whole Kind of it, do not suppose it necessary that all should turn themselves to it: Whereas I not looking upon it as an Extraordinary Grace

n

Go

40

av

efte

thoi

of C

othe and

der

Ligh

ne :

that

weth

héou

Fank

prefe

mite

the I

thy F

both

goilt

That

B, 2

prefer

Opera

the or

Dore

as to the whole kind, but as an ordinary Requifite to Human Understanding, do suppose it necessary for all to turn to it, or consult it so far, as to render them thinking and understanding Beings. And so long as I make all Men in some measure to consult it, though I do withal say, that they are enlightned by it only when they consult it, I do not thereby deny that all are actually enlightned by it. For this does not do ny the Universality of Actual Illumination, but only determins and specifies the way and manner of it. So that here is no Contradiction, but all things are Uniform and Consistent.

Exception against the Fifth Article.

THE Fifth I take in the First Part of it tobe the fame in Substance with thy First, differ. ing in Form of Expressions, viz. The Quality by their Light within understand some Determina Formed Distate or Proposition expresty or positively directing or instructing them to do fo or fo, as in thy first thou called'st it some Divine Communication or Manifestation only. Where didst thou learn this Definition of the Quakers Faith and Do-Arin of the Light within? The Quakers believe the Light of Christ within to be God's Divine Oracle of Wifdom in the Soul, the Former, Dictator and Determiner of Heavenly Propolitions, them directing and instructing what to chuse, and what to refuse, as the Original Cause of the Knowledge and Love of Truth, which are its proper Effects. Now wherein is the Quakers Light, as thou term'ft it, inferior to that of thine, except in the differing Character thou givelt it? They

in, was hype

Ė

1

ÿ

e

ŀ

5,

ts

73

t,

They own the Real and Substantial Truth of God, the Life of the Word, the Light of Men. Christ said of himself, I am the Way, the Truth Withe Life. That this Divine Light, which is Light of Men, is always in some Degree and Weafure present in the Soul, by which it is upold either in God's Love or Anger, the Quakbelieve; and that in him we live, move, and here our Being, tho with respect to Operation fier a different manner and measure, and pasfigithe Understanding of Man. And whereas don fay ft, Thy Light is only the Essential Truth of God; This is an high Presumption, about which I have already shew'd thy Confusion in other places, calling it Reason and Conscience, and a Man's Natural and Ordinary way of Uninstanding. Consider, Mat. 6, 22, 23. The light of the Body, &c. If therefore the Light in is in thee be Darkness, &c. So may I say, if hat Doctrin and Principle thou recommendest wthe World for Truth and Excellency be errosous and faulty, how great is that Error and fult? Again, thou fay'ft, Thy Light is alwys present to thy Understanding, and intimately mited with it still, Sect. 5. which in thy Sense of the Light is to fay, thy Soul is never without the Reason and Conscience, I wish they were both reform'd, that thou may'ft be no more milty of these and such like false Aspersions; That the Light, supposing it to be what really it a diffinct Principle from the Soul, is always present to the Understanding, which implies its Operation upon the Natural Capacity or Organ, theordinary means of knowing, I conceive is more than can be fafely or experimentally faid, Еe because

because the Organ may be hurt, and the Under. flanding in that Senfe interrupted, and confequently no fit Medium or Receptacle either for the Soul or its Light. Besides, the Soul may be absent from the Natural Understanding by the Interpolition of Spiritual, as well as Natural Caufes, and yet prefent with the Light in its Soiritual way of Understanding. But to say as thou doft, that Thy Light is only the Effential Truth of God, and that it is always present to the Understanding, and intimately united with it, and yet that it does not formally enlightenorinftruct it, but when carefully attended to and confolted, feems to discover a Contradiction in its felf, and to the Formal Reason of its Being, and Presence, and Sufficiency in the one Act of the fame Principle, as well as to the State and Na ture of Intimate Union. For how can any thing be intimately united to its Principle, and not partake of its Nature and Influences, which is the Reason and Manner of its Union? Andhow can this be, and not carefully attended to and confulted? Is not this manifest Confusion? That the Presence of the Light does enlighten and in-Aruct all in some Sense and Degree, is sufficiently proved by Scripture. By thy Word Formal-· ly Enlighten I apprehend thou intendest the Operative Exercise, as in Pag. 17. and as here applied to Light, fignifies no more than actually to enlighten, ex parte Objecti. I grant fuch a do not carefully attend to and confult this Divine Light, do not witness the Increases of it unto the Redemption and Salvation of their Souls.

not

Pro

Wi

M

dif

Tex

cali

F

t

toan

Difce

whic

ed al

from

tion.

at the

r-ie-or be he al

ty

E,

.

its ad he

is is

nd nat

in.

ntal-

the

ere

Hy

25

)i.

eir

It is the Path of the Just that is as the shining light, that shineth more and more unto the per-Day. If the Light, as thou affertest, doth formally enlighten or instruct, but when carehally attended to and confulted, how then should # quicken and raife the Soul from Death to Life. cording to the multiplied experience of Holy David? And how doth God speak once, yea twice, yet Man perceiveth it not, 70b 33, 14, it. 16, 17, and Ifa. 65. How frequently doth the Lord complain by his Prophets of his calling his People to return and repent, but they would not answer? Note, the Call of God is not without Instruction; read Mic. 6, 8. and hov, t. from 20, to the end. And fee what Wisdom does also in Nehemiah, how they rebelagainst God, notwithstanding he gave his good Spirit to instruct them. The Testimony of John the Evangelist, the 5th and 21th, Ephef. 2. 1, 4, 5. 2 Cor. 4. 6, 7. Thefe and many other Texts, abundantly prove that God quickens and mlightens Man, before Man can turn unto him.

The Answer.

Have given my felf the trouble to fet down this Exception at large, not because I intend wanswer it all, but that it may appear to the Discerning Reader, that there is a great deal of it which I need not answer, as being either answerd already, or so very impertinent and remote from the business, that it deserves no consideration. But in the first place, I cannot but admire the singular Happiness of his Fancy, in imagining the former part of the Fifth Article to be

Ee 2

the fame in Substance with the First, and to differ only in form of Expression. In the First it is faid, that the Quakers usually talk of the Light within, as of some Divine Communication or Manifestation only; that is, as it has been explain'd. that they represent their Light, not as God him. felf, but only as a divine Communication, or as fomething communicated or exhibited by In the Fifth, it is faid, That the Qua-God. kers by their Light within (that is as Directive.) understand some determinate formed Dictate or Proposition, expresly and positively directing and instructing them to do fo or fo: That is, that they make the Direction of the Light, or the Light as directive, to confift in Dictates or Propositions ready form'd and presented to the view of the Soul, whereby she is formally taught and instructed. Now are these Two the same? If they are, fo are a Square and a Circle, Mr. Vickris and my Self, Quakerism and Primitive But he demands of me where! Christianity. learnt this Account of the Quakers Faith and Doctrin of the Light within, viz. That they represent its direction by a determinate form'd Dictate or Proposition? I answer, from one that may be prefumed to have dived into the bottom of Quakerism, and to have comprehended the whole Mystery of it, as well as any Man of that Profession, and that is Mr. Barclay, who speaking of that Letter of our Master that is writ in our Hearts, fays, Our Master is always with us, and be requires us to do all our Works by his immedi. te Counsel, Direction, &c. And again to the same effect, speaking of the lews rejecting Chrift, notwithstanding that they had the Scriptures,

Truth clear'd of Calumnies p. 13.

tu

-

Ba

W

DY

Co

0

fu

G

Tel

thi

yel

Fo

thi

Ti

pro

to

ver

Ke

the

tha

the

tis

HA

иро

Tay

1m

All

tha

ter

or

and

ack

lif-

t is ght

112-

'nd,

im-

25

by

ua-

e,)

or

ng

is,

10

or

ht

e?

Ar.

ve

e I

p'd

ne

n-

ny

ay,

ays his

to

ng

es,

mres, he resolves it into this, because they heark - Thid, p. 16. not unto the inward Voice and Testimony of the P. 17. Father concerning him. Again, he speaks of a Word in the Heart, and of the inward Testimopy of the Spirit. Again, He writes them a living Again, he talks of immedi- Pag. 18. Copy in their Hearts. at Teaching of the Spirit, and of Preaching that He fays page 28. omes immediately from the Spirit. further, that this faving Spiritual Light, is the Gospel Preach'd in every Creature under Hea- Apology, ven. And that the the outward Declaration of P. 349. the Gospel be taken sometimes for the Gospel. yet it is but figuratively, and by a Metonymy. For to speak properly, (fays he,) the Gospel is this inward Power and Life, which preaches glad Tidings in the Hearts of all Men, &c. More exprefly yet, Mr. Barclay calls the Revelation of partility the Light, the Voice of God inwardly speaking and necess. to the Mind of Man; and in this he makes the ty of invery form of Revelation to confift. And Mr ward im-Keith makes the Divine Light to be all one with Revelation, the Divine Voice and Call; and to this he applies p. 896. that of the Twenty Ninth Pfalm, The Voice of way to the the Lord is a Glorious Voice. And to this purpose City of God, is usual with them to apply that of the Prophet Pag. 170. Habakkuk, I will stand upon my Watch, and set me upon the Tower, and will watch to see what he will lay unto me, Hab. 2. 1. And that of the Pfalmitt, I will hear what God the Lord will Speak, Pfal. 85.8. All which Passages do plainly enough imply, that they represent the Direction of the Light after the manner of a determinate form'd Dictate or Proposition formally and expresly tutouring and instructing them. And Mr. Vickris himself acknowledges as much in the Words immediate-

Ee 3

th

00

ly

In

fe

te

Ç

-

it

P

0

is

ly following his Question, when he says that the Quakers believe, the Light of Christ within to be God's Divine Oracle of Wisdom in the Soul, the Former, Dictator and Determiner of Heavenly Propositions, them directing and instructing what to choose, and what to refuse. Which how it differs from my Account, that they make it a determinate form'd Dictate or Proposition expressly directing and instructing them to do so or so, I consess my Eye-sight too weak to distinguish. But if Mr. Vickris be as good at finding out Differences, as he is at finding out Likenessen, perhaps he may be able to distinguish them.

As to what he fays concerning the Light's not always actually operating upon the Understand. ing, because the Organ of Perception may be fometimes indisposed, he does not therein at all contradict me, who tho I make the Light to be common to all Men, even as to the act of Illumination in some degree or other, (enough to render and denominate them Rational Creatures,) yet am far enough from supposing with Descartes, that the Soul does at all times actually His Principle indeed obliges him fo to Suppose, becanse he makes actual Cogitation to be of the very Essence of the Soul, as much as Extension is of the Essence of Matter. But my Principle does not any way oblige me to the like supposition; nor do I suppose that the Soul is inevery instant actually inlighten'd, or (in other words) that the does always actually think. Tis plain fhe does not, as in the case of Infants in the Womb, and of Maturer Persons when in a deep Sleep. Herein therefore Mr. Vickris does not at all contradict me, who agree with him as to this Point,

be

he

ily

ng

ch

ke

on

fo

n.

ıg

1,

1

e

that the Soul is not always in actual Illumination. But tho in this he does not contradict me. ret he very foully and grofly contradicts himfelf. in making at the same time the Presence of the Light to the Understanding, to imply its Operatimupon it, when according to his Principles he nust acknowledge the Light to be ever present with the Soul: And if always present, then according to him it must always operate, since the Presence implies the Operation, wherein he plainly contradicts himself. As he does again afterwards, when he taxes me of Contradiction and Inconsistency, in supposing the Light always prefent to my Understanding, and intimately united with it; and yet that it does not formally enlighten it, but when attended to and confult-This he fays, discovers a Contradiction in it felf, and to the formal reason of its Being and Presence, and Sufficiency in the one act of the fame Principle; as well as to the flate and nature of Intimate Union. For how can any thing, fays be, be intimately united to its Principle, and not partake of its Nature and Influences, which is the reason and manner of its Union? In all which, he still proceeds upon his former Suppofition, that the Presence and Union of the Divine Light with the Soul, does necessarily imply and infer its operation upon it. And fince upon the Principles of Quakerism 'tis acknowledg'd, and by Mr. Vickris himself in this very exception openly confess'd, that the Light is always present; (for says he expresly, that this Divine Light is always in some degree and measure present in the Soul, the Quakers believe;) this plainly contradicts what he had laid down before, that the Ee 4

the Light does not always operate upon the Understanding, and that to say that it does, is more than can fafely be faid. Why more than can fafely be faid, if the Divine Light be always present to the Soul; and if the Presence of the Light does necessarily infer its actual Operation? I may ask here of him in his own Words, h not this manifest Confusion? Nav. is it not withal manifest Contradiction, and downright Inconfistency? If not, I will never pretend to

judge of a Contradiction again.

As to the Contradiction, he would fain fasten upon me, because I suppose the Light always present, and yet not actually to inlighten but when attended, 'tis evident that it is of no force. unless I had held the same Principle with him. that the bare Presence of the Light does infer its actual Operation on the Soul; and then indeed I had been guilty of Contradiction, as he plainly is. But I do not acknowledge the truth of that Principle, that the bare Presence of the Light does of it felf infer its actual Operation. and that for the fame reason he himself has provided ready to my Hands, viz. because the Organ of Perception may be indisposed. must concur the Disposition of the Organ as well as the Presence of the Light. Among which Dispolitions, the most immediate is Attention, which I therefore make necessary to actual Illumination, not intending thereby to deny the Univerfality of actual Illumination, but only to specifie and determine the manner of it, supposing at the same time, that all Men do attend in some measure or other, tho not at all times, or in every instant of their Lives.

Now

N

nDOI

Atte

Man

of w

retui

any 1

on t

tnov

whic

e a

1, T

the P

igni

to He

of ti

rerfie

God.

then

ten A

a Ma

Bot i Wan.

Text

Conv i, b

by M

as a this a

that

Cond

Grac

bat

100 (this, is

n

S

6

Now as to those Texts of Scripture he insists mon, to prove that our Illumination is not by Attention, because the Grace of God prevents Man's turning to him, which I think is the fhort of what he deduces in many Words. To this I neturn this double Answer, 1. That if there be my force in this Argument, it falls as heavy upon themselves as upon me, because (as 'tis well hown) they also make turning to the Light, which is the very same with my Attention, to a necessary requisite to actual Illumination. That there is no force in the Argument; for the word Turning here is Equivocal, and may ignifie either the Moral Conversion of the Will wHoliness and Righteousness, which is the fruit of true Illumination, or that Simple Conunion of the Understanding to the Light of God, which is the cause of it. If the former, then I grant that the Grace of God does Inlighm Man, before Man can turn to him; that is, Man is first Inlighted before he is Converted. but if the latter, I deny that God does Inlighten Nan, before Man turns to him; nor do the Texts cited by him prove it. Man must first Convert himself to the Divine Light, and attend before he can be inlightned by it: For 'tis Man's Attention to the Light, that the Light as any actual Influence upon his Mind. his any derogation to the Grace of God, to fay hat Attention is on Man's part a prerequifite Condition to Illumination, because 'tis by the Grace of God that he is first moved and enabled pattend. For the work of Divine Grace does ot (as Mr. Vickris feems to fancy) confift in his, in Inlightning Man without his Attending, but

but in ingaging and inciting him to Attend, which fince I acknowledge, I may without any derogation to the Grace of God fay, that Man must Attend, before he can be Inlightned; or that without Attention, there is no Illumination. As suppose I should say, (what is commonly presumed as true in Moral Discourses,) that Consideration is the Principle of Repentance, I do not thereby either exclude or prejudice the Grace of God, because 'tis by the Motions of that, that the Man is brought to consider. And now I think I have answered all that is Material in this Fifth Exception.

Exception against the Sixth Article.

'HY Sixth and Last Section, I take to bean extravagant Noise and Bluster against the Quakers, to supply the want of real matter of What means elfe fo great and general Reflections, without offering any proof, or reducing it to a particular Charge? To fay the Quakers do not offer any rational or intelligible account of their Light within, proves nothing. To tell the World as thou doft, they Cant in fome loofe and general Expressions about the Light, does little else but impose upon truf, which is to beg Credit, whilft thou mentioned not what those loose and general Expressions are But to fay they confirm them by the Authority of St. John's Gospel, is in some fort to contradic thy Affertion; for fo to confirm them, argue they agree to what is there taught, oc.

101

do

rel

not

gag

out

which

Ligi

fince

this

1 be

done

Und

able

Qua

prin

this i

intir

Ligh

fer it

inten

of The within

to this lift Exception to which therefore in a long or ly or the come of

nd.

any Man

ion.

ore-

mfi-

not of

hat

ink

ifth

e an

the

r of

ene-

or

the

ible

ing.

it in

the

ruft.

neft

are.

rity

gues

Th the Sixth and Last Article against which he now excepts, I had charged the Quakers with not offering any rational or intelligible account of their Light within, neither as to the Thing, me as to the Mode, which I then thought, and oftill, to be altogether unprincipled and unthilosophical. Now this he is pleased to call Noise and Blufter, and complains of it as a gengmi Charge without any Proof, 'Tis true, I did not prove it then, not because I distrusted my ability to do fo, but because it would have ingaged me upon a Subject too large for my undertaking at that time, which was only to point out in short those principal Heads of Difference which distinguish'd my notion of the Divine light within, from that of the Quakers, ince he is pleased to call upon me for a Proof of this now, I will be so civil as to give it him, tho I believe he will find occasion by that time I have one, to wish he had never put me upon this Undertaking. For I doubt not but that I am able to make my Words good, by shewing the Quakers Notion of the Light to be indeed unprincipled and unphilosophical. But because this is a general Charge, and fuch as concerns the intire Body of their Hypothesis concerning the Light, I shall not do it here, but shall rather reir it to a particular Treatise by it self, which I intend as an Appendix to this, under the Title of The Groffness of the Quakers Notion of the Light within, with their Confusion and Inconsistency in explaining it; which I suppose will be a full Answer to to this last Exception. In which therefore I shall only further take notice for the present, of a pleasant fancy of our Author, in supposing that I make their Expressions about the Light, agree, able to what is taught in St. John's Gospel, because I say that they confirm them by that Authority. Whereas it is plain that I mean no more than that they alledge the Authority of St. John's Gospel for their Confirmation, which is the common sense of the Word Confirm, as it is used by the best Lasin and English Authors. If Mr. Vickris does not know this, he is very Ignorant; if he does, he is very light and trifling.

THE

GROSS NESS

at

ere

ne

r.

E

OF THE

Quaker's Principle

OF THE

Light within,

WITH THEIR
Inconsistency in Explaining it.

DISCOURS'D

In a Letter to a Friend.

If the Light that is in thee be Darkness, how great is that Darkness! Matth. 6.23.

H M Pull white to do on the moor product that for the that the little as an Lee

S

SIR,

HAT you observe to me concerning the general Exultation and Triumph that is among the Quakers upon the late Publication of Mr. Barclay's Works in Folio, is what I can easily believe, and know not well how For I know of no Church or Society to blame. of Christians that is already so rich in Reputation for Great Men, but might well be proud of the Accession of so considerable a Writer, much more they who have hitherto been so barren in Productions of this kind, that inflead of having many Intelligent Writers, they have had but few that are fo much as Intelligible. We may therefore well allow the Quakers to swell and plume a little, when they have at once fo Rare and fo Great an Occasion for it. For really, Sir, Mr. Barclay is a very great Man, and were it not for that common Prejudice that lies against him, as being a Quaker, would be as fure not to fail of that Character in the World, as any of the finest Wits this Age has produced.

But to qualifie and allay their Joy, that they may not be overfet with it, you fuggest to me that it would be convenient if they were now a little taken down and humbled, to which I assent as a very proper and seasonable Undertaking, and withal as now worthy of the greatest of our learned Pens. For I cannot forbear thinking Quakerism to be now considerable enough to de-

ferve an Opposition, and that if any of the Learned would with Credit and Reputation attempt the Consutation of it, now is their Time, now their loose and scatter'd Notions are reduced to Order and Method, and appear under the Form of a Regular and Artificial System, with all the Advantages that can be given them from a Scholastical Head, and a well-ordered Education. Now therefore there would be some Adventure in the Engagement, and Honour in the Conquest.

And thus far, Sir, I agree with you, thinking an Undertaking of this kind to be as proper and feasonable as any that the Juncture of the prefent Age can afford; I only diffent from you in the Choice of the Undertaker, who I doubt is not fo well qualify'd for fuch a Work as you who are not fo well acquainted with him as I, feem to However, fince you are pleas'd to impose your Commands upon him, he is loath wholly to decline them even while he knows himself unable to perform them, but does so far prefer his Duty to his Reputation as to be willing to lead the way, and to flourish a little upon the Strings, in hopes that his aukward and inartificial touching of them may provoke fome more Masterly Hand to take up the Instrument.

By this, Sir, you may perceive that 'tis not my Design to examin the whole Body of Quakerism, which indeed would require more leisure and opportunity than the ordinary Business and particular Engagements of my Life will conveniently allow. I shall chuse rather to single out one of their most Capital and Fundamental Principles, and to expose the Grossness and Absurdity of it, and that is, their Famous Doctrin of

the

the

Poir

fen

1

igno

firft

ente

tho

ther

o m

been

tribu

and the p

and t

havi

No

thus

with

prefe Patro

Cont

par

ter, i

opon

most

than

ons o

to dif

Ayr o

few t

Meaf

the Light within, which you know, Sir, to be the very Heart of their Cause, that Cardinal Point upon which the whole Weight of their Sytem turns, the very Life and Soul of Quakerism.

pt

W

to

m

ne

)-

w

t.

g

n

30

9

l-

15

ır

n

.

10

x

a-

d

e-

10

rof

I know very well, nor can you, I suppose, be ignorant, that this Principle of theirs, since its sift appearance in the World, has been all along meetain'd with Laughter and Contempt; and the by themselves the most regarded of any, (for there is no Point of theirs upon which they lay is much Stress as upon this) has yet by others been most of all vilined and ridiculed, and has considered the most of any one thing to that great and general Contempt they lie under, next to the particular Oddness of their Habit and Ayr, and the Uncourtliness of their Language and Belaviour.

Nor is there any thing either strange or amiss For certainly this Notion of the Light within, according as the Quakers explain and represent it, is really ridiculous enough to make the Patrons of it fo, and well deferves the Scorn and Contempt that is cast upon it. But that which lparticularly observe as blameable in this matter, is this, that the generality of those who deride the Doctrin of the Light within, know not opon what Grounds they do it; nay, for the most part, they do it upon no other Grounds, than barely to indulge the humerfome Inclinations of a certain light and wanton Spirit that loves to disparage and run down all novel and singuar Opinions, especially if they carry in them an Ayr of Seriousness or Religion. And of those few that proceed by more certain Grounds and Measures of Judgment, the greatest part proceed

by

by false and undue ones, condemning the Doctrin of the Light within not as 'tis held and represented by the Quakers, (for to my Knowledg very sew even of the Learned have given them selves the Curiosity to know how they hold it) but in Gross, and in General. They condemn the Doctrin not as so or so stated, but in common, and as such, in its most direct and immediate Sense, thinking it absurd to suppose that there is any other Principle of Light in Man besides his Rational Soul, which they will have to be a Light to it self, at least as far as concerns Natural and Moral Truths.

Now herein I confess I am so far from agreeing with these Gentlemen of the Second Order (for the former are of no account) that I think they do very ill in deriding and ridiculing this Doctrin of the Light within in general and as fuch, and are guilty of more Impiety and Profanenels than they are aware of. For upon the deepest Consideration of all the possible ways of Human Understanding I am thoroughly perfwaded, (and have partly shewn the Grounds of this my Perswasion elsewhere) that Man cannot be his own Light, or a Light to himself in the acquirement of Knowledg, and therefore that there must of necessity be some other Principle of Light in him distinct from his own Rational Nature; which you know I make to be the Divine Ideas, the Eternal x'20, the Substantial Word and Wisdom of God, in whom I suppose Man to perceive all that he perceives. I am therefore so far from disallowing or condemaing the Doctrin of the Light within, that I very earnestly stand and contend for it, as for a vesy.

whi

of t

fo f

Sup

wit

Ma

mor

For

Lig

thin

cern

tual

Hig

Supp

unca

with

Nat

one

which

CETT

even

derf

for 1

far f

the L

nece

not (

gene

of it

for i

that

Poin

expla

0-

re-

dg

m-

t)

he

n,

ite

re

is

ht

nd

e-

er

k

is

as one of r-

1-

e

i.

e

al

n

ry true, folid and necessary Notion, without which there can be no intelligible Account given of the Mode of Understanding. I am therefore fo far from taxing the Quakers for the general Supposition they go upon, that I heartily joyn with them in it, supposing, as they do, that Man cannot be a Light to himself; only I adhere more closely to this Supposition than they do. For when they make Man uncapable of being a Light to himself, they mean, not as to Natural things, (which according to them may be difcern'd by a Natural Light) but only as to Spirimal things, which cannot be difcern'd but by an Higher Principle. Whereas I acknowledg the Supposition in a greater Latitude, supposing Man uncapable of being a Light to himself, not only with respect to Spiritual Truths, but even as to Natural things, all which are difcern'd by that one common Light of Man, the Divine Light, which I make to be necessary not only to the Difcernment of Divine and Spiritual Truths, but even to the Natural and Ordinary Acts of Understanding.

I do not therefore find Fault with the Quakers for holding such a thing as the Light within; so far from that, that I very much out-do them in the Latitude of the Principle, as making it more necessary, and more extensive than they. I do not (as some do) disapprove of the Doctrin in general, but have a very convincing Assurance of it, and a very awful Regard and Veneration for it, as a very Noble and Sacred Theory. But that which I condense in the Quakers as to this Point, is their particular manner of stating and explaining the general Notion of the Light with-

Ff 2

in, which I think to be as Gross and Absurd, as the Notion it self in general is fine and rational. And that upon this double Account.

I. Because they make it to be a Creature.

II. Because they make it a Material Creature. Upon which two Grounds I question not but that I shall be able so to demonstrate the Falsehood and Absurdity of this grand Article of Quakerism, as to render it utterly uncapable of Defence, even tho Mr. Barclay himself were now

alive to be my Opposer.

But because this is to be the Ground and Bottom of the following Discourse, and that I may not bethought to contend against a Supposititions Notion, or Imaginary Absurdity, I must take care in the first place, that this, which is to be the Foundation of all, be well laid, by proving plainly and undeniably that the Quakers do represent their Light within after the manner already intimated, viz. As a Creature, and as a Material Creature, before I undertake to expose their Absurdity in so doing. In order to which I must give an Account of this Light within, and flew what it is according to the Quakers. Wherein I believe (fo little have the Principles of Quakerism been enquired into, even by those that nevertheless take the liberty to laugh at them) ! shall tell a great many even of the Learned World a confiderable piece of News.

And here to reduce the matter to as narrow a Compass as may be, (there being nothing wherein I admire Brevity more than in Quotations) I shall be content to take my Account from two of their most eminent and approved Writers, Mr. Barcley and Mr. Keith; and to pre-

ient

ve

liv

M

vir

W

M

Ar

ma

W

fla

(en

din

PHI

on,

ed,

of

ly,

Son

ani

sts

A

Bo

CAI

fee

1.

to

it

CO

be

2.

Cr

M

an

an

al.

re.

at

bo

2-

e-

W

t-

ay

us

ce

De

g

ę.

].

(e

h

d

.

1-

.

I

d

W

vent all suspicion of Misrepresentation, shall deliver it in their own express Words. Thus then Mr. Barclay, speaking of the Universal and Saving Light of Christ, By this Seed, Grace and Apology, Word of God, and Light wherewith we say every P. 333. Man is enlightned, and hath a measure of it, which frives with them in Order to fave them, and which may by the Stubbornness and Wickedness of Man's Will be quenched, bruised, wounded, pressed down, lain and crucified, we understand not the proper Eflence and Nature of God precisely taken; which is not divisible into Parts and Measures, as being a most pure simple Being, void of all Composition or Division, and therefore can weither be resisted, burt, wounded, crucified or flain by all the Efforts and Strength of Men. But we understand a Spiritual, Heavenly, and Invisible Principle, in which God, as Father, Son and Spirit dwells: A measure of which Divine and Glerious Life is in all Men as a Seed, which of its own Nature draws, invites and inclines to God. And this we call Vehiculum Dei, or the Spiritual Body of Christ, the Flesh and Blood of Christ, which came down from Heaven, of which all the Saints do feed, and are thereby nourish'd unto Eternal Life. From which Account of Mr. Barclay it is plain,

1. That they do not make their Light within to be God, (for he fays they understand not by it the proper Essence and Nature of God) and consequently must make it to be a Creature, there being no Medium between God and the Creature.

2. That they do also make it to be a Material Creature, since he supposes it divisible into Measures and Portions, calls it Vehiculum Dei, and the Spiritual Body of Christ, and that Flesh and Blood of his which came down from Hea-

Ff 3

ven,

Apology, P 494.

ven, and which is both Food and Nourishment to the Saints. None of which Affections can with any tolerable Congruity agree to a Spiritual Substance, strictly fo call'd. Again, fays Mr. Barclay, discoursing of the Communion or Participation of the Body and Blood of Christ, The Body then of Christ which Believers partake of, is Spiritual, and not Carnal, and his Blood which they drink of is Pure and Heavenly, and not Human or Elementary. If it be asked, what that Body, what that Flesh and Blood is? I answer it is that Hea. venly Seed, that Divine, Spiritual, Celestial Sub. stance of which we spake before in the 5th and 6th Propositions; (the place just before quoted) This is that Vehiculum Dei, or Spiritual Body of Christ, whereby and where-through he communicateth Life to Men, and Salvation to as many as believe in him, and receive bim, and whereby also Man comes to have Fellowship and Communion with God. Again, fays he, That this Body and Spiritual Flesh and Blood of Christ is to be understood of that Divine and Heavenly Seed before spoken of by us, appears both by the Nature and Fruits of it. And again, That Christ understands the same thing here by his Body, Flesh and Blood, which is understood, John t. the Light enlightning every Man, appears, Again, As Fefus Christ did by the Eternal Spirit offer up that Body, (meaning his Carnal Body) for a Propitiation for the Remission of Sins, so hath be likewise poured forth into the Hearts of all Men a measure of that Divine Light and Seed wherewith be is cloathed, &c. I shall quote but one Passage more from Mr. Barclay to this purpose, and 'tis in his last Discourse concerning the Possibility and Necessity of inward Immediate Revelation,

Ibid.

Pag. 495.

where

wh

Su

tho

su ral

2714

par

ga

Th

SA

ge

N

th

of

B

Li

be

vi

B

ar

S

is

N

where having distinguish'd between Natural and Supernatural Ideas, he says, As the Natural Ideas are stirr'd up in us by outward and natural Bodies, so those Divine and Supernatural Ideas are stirr'd up in us by a certain Principle, which is a Body in Naturals, in relation to the Spiritual World, and therefore may be call'd a Divine Body. Not as if it were a part of God, who is a most pure Spirit; but the Organ or Instrument of God, by which he worketh in un, and stirreth up in us these Ideas of Divine things, This is that Flesh and Blood of Christ, by which the Saints are wourth'd which is a Mastern to all unreserved.

Saints are nourish'd, which is a Mystery to all unre-Pag. 501.

generated and meer natural men, &c.

nt

an

0-

1r.

r-

is

Ey

121

it

į.

.

h

Here we meet with a Continuation of the fame For as in the former Instances he made the Light to be all one with the Spiritual Body of Christ, and again reciprocally the Spiritual Body of Christ to be the self-same thing with the Light, fo now supposing our Spiritual Ideas to be raised by a Divine Body, he makes this Divine Body to be no other than that Flesh and Blood of Christ by which the Saints are nourish'd, and which he had before made all one with the Light, and confequently he makes the Light to be a Divine Body. For if the Light be the fame with that Flesh and Blood of Christ by which the Saints are nourish'd; and if that Flesh and Blood be a Divine Body, then 'cis plain that the Light is also a Divine Body.

So much at present for Mr. Barclay. Now let Mr. Keith take his turn, who in his Way to the Pag. 64. City of God, speaking of the Divine Seed (which they always make one and the same with the Light) says, That it is call'd in Scripture the Body of Christ, and his Flesh and Blood, which the

Ff 4

Soul

Ibid.

Soul feeding upon becomes cloath'd therewith, as with a Body, and thereby dwelleth in Christ, and liveth in him, as the Branch in the Vine. Again, The Saints feel it (namely the Divine Seed or Light) in them as really to be a Part or Particle of the very Sub. stance of Heaven, viz. Of that Spiritual and Invifible Heaven where the Saints live, as they do feel the Body of their outward Man to be a Part or Par-Pag. 130. ticle of the Substance of this outward World. he fays, that this Divine Seed (or Light) is not the Godhead it self, but a certain middle Nature. Substance or Being, betwixt the Godhead and Man-This will be thought the more strange kind, &c. (fays he) by many, becamfe they have been com. monly taught, and have commonly received it, that there is no middle Substance betwixt the Godhead and us, at least as to the inward : For they have supposed that the Spirit or Mind of a Man or an Angel is next unto the Godhead, which I deny; for the Heavenly or Divine Substance or Effence of which the Divine Birth was both conceiv'd in Mary, and is inwardly conceiv'd in the Saints, is of a middle Nature.

And left by their Calling (as they often do) this Substance a Divine Substance, they should be thought to imply that it was the very Substance of God, he takes care to lay in a Caution against any such Construction in the next Paragraph. This middle Nature (fays he) I call a Divine Substance or Essence, not as if it were the Godhead it self, or a Particle or Portion of it, but because of its Excellency above all other things next, unto the Godhead; as on such an Account Men do call other things Divine which are very excellent; yea, some call Holy Men Divine, and some call these who teach the things of God, Divines, as John, who wrote

Ibid.

prote

Alfo t

all'd !

immea

be mo

He

on of

noft I

and t

Perfec

all ot

eath 1

nuch

the Se

ibis I

cine to

6 the

Leave

fible C

&c.

phich

ly the

Creat

Impu

and r

ther s

Body

lima

Was

accon

of C

our !

pore

Supp

SVE

note the Revelations, is call'd John the Divine.
Mothis excellent and intermediate Being may be
all d the Divine Being, because the Godhead is most
immediately manifest therein, and dwelleth in it as in

the most Holy Place, or Holy of Holies.

He further tells us, speaking of the Conception of the Virgin Mary, that God did really for a Pag. 129. noft Divine and Heavenly Seed in the Virgins Womb, and that by Vertue of this, Christ had a Divine p. 132. Infection and Vertue, and that Substantial, above Il other Men. Again, he fays, that his Body hah not only the Perfections of our Body, but also P. 133. nuch more, because of its being generate not only of he Seed of Mary, but of a Divine Seed, and that Ibid. his Divine Seed is that Universal Balsom or Mediine to cure and restore not only all Mankind, but alhthe whole outward Creation: That this is the Little Pag. 134. leaven that shall Leaven the whole Lump of this vifile Creation, whereby all things shall be made new, dc. That this is that Stone of the Wife Men, Toid. which by its Touch shall in due time change, not onh the Bodies of the Saints, but the Body of the whole Creation, and purge it from all its Weakness and Impurity. For (lays he) what can perfectly cure Ibidundrestore the Sick and Diseased Boay of Nature either in Man, or in other things, but his incorruptible Body? &c. All which Expressions do plainly intimate, that this Divine Seed whereof Christ was generated, and we are regenerated, (for according to them, that which was the Principle of Christ's Natural Birth, is the Principle of our Spiritual Birth) was really a material corporeal Substance; and fince the Light is by them apposed to be all one with this Divine Seed, it svery evident that they make the Light to be alfo a material corporeal Principle. The fhort of the this matter lies in this Form of Argument.

The Divine Seed whereof Christ was naturally generated, and whereby we are regenerated, is a Body.

But the Light within is one and the same thing

with this Divine Seed.

Therefore the Light within is a Body.

And thus do these two great Pillars of Quakerism Mr. Barclay and Mr. Keith agree with each other, and both of them in this, in making the Light within not to be God, or a Substance properly Divine, but to be a Creature, and more than that, to be a Material and Corporal Creature.

But that the Reader may have yet a more full Account, and more clear and exact Comprehension of this matter, I will take their Hypothess from the very Ground and Bottom of it, and resolve it into certain distinct Principles or Suppositions, which (as far as I am able to gather from the forecited and other like Passages that occur up and down in their Writings) are such as these.

1. They suppose that the Spiritual Life, or the Life of Holiness and Grace, is a Substantial Life, even as the Life of Vegetation, the Life of Sensation, and the Life of Reason are all Substantial.

2. They suppose that this Substantial Life is by the Vital Union of the Soul with some Body or other.

3. They suppose that this Body in the Vital Union of the Soul with which Spiritual Lifedon consist, is a certain Divine or Celestial Body,

even

fria

fend

ofa

texti

2 Bo

and

he to

Wor

of p

large

Chri

im e

Scrip

phick

Flefh

not di

in wh

the V

ratio

wher

to hi

al Bo

al Bo

which

does

God

was f

Hear

natur

reger

that I

tof wen as the Natural Life does confift in the Vial Union of the Soul, with a Natural or Terretrial Body of the common Elementary confiral-

4. They suppose that Christ had Two Bodies

ted, fence.

ing

na-

ith

ing

nce

and

eal

full

en-

elis

ind

ıp-

ner

at

ch

or

ial

of

b-

dy

al

es

en

to his Incarnation.

fadistinct Original, and of a different Conexture, a Carnal Body, and a Spiritual Body, Body which he took from the Virgin Mary, and a Body in which his Soul existed long before ketook Flesh of the Virgin. They are the very Words of Mr. Barclay, which because they are of particular concernment, I will fet down at large. To the Question of his Adversary, Had Christ Two Bodies? He answers, Yes, and let Apolegy im deny it if he dare, without contradicting the p. 861. Scripture, Joh. 6. 58. Christ speaks of his Flesh thich came down from Heaven; but this was not the Helh he took from the Virgin Mary, for that came m down from Heaven; but he had a Spiritual Body, in which his Soul existed long before he took Flesh of the Pirgin. Which I think is an express Declantion for a Twofold Body of Chrift, the Bedy

s. They suppose that this latter, the Spiritual Body of Christ, is that Divine or Celestia Body in the Vital Union of the Soul, with which our Spiritual Life, or our Life of Grace does confift; that this is properly that Seed of God mention'd by St. Peter and St. John, which was fown in the Womb of the Virgin, and in the Hearts of Mankind, that whereby Christ was naturally generated, and whereby the Saints are regenerated; that this is that Heavenly Manna, that Living Bread discours'd of in the Sixth of St.

wherein he was Incarnate, and a Body antecedent

John, that Divine Aliment upon which the Saints do feed, and whereby they are nourish'd unto

everlasting Life.

6. And Lastly, they do also suppose that this Spiritual Body of Christ wherein his Human Soul existed before his Incarnation, a measure whereof is given as a Divine Seed to every Man to Le. ven, Season and Sanctifie his Nature, and by closing and uniting with which our Nature becomes actually Sanctified, that this I fay is that very Divine Light which God has fet up as a Monitor, Instructer and Teacher in the Hearts of Men, to guide and direct them in the way of Salvation: That this Spiritual Body of Chrift, is what they suppose to be the Light of Mankind. I need appeal to no other Evidence than the latter part of the first Quotation out of Mr. Bar. clay, where he fays that they understand by the Light, a Spiritual, Heavenly and invincible Principle, in which God as Father Son and Spirit dwells, a measure of which Divine and Glorious Life is in all Men as a Seed, which of its own nature draws, invites and inclines to God. And this we call (obferve) Vehiculum Dei, or the Spiritual Body of Christ, the Flesh and Blood of Christ which came down from Heaven, of which all the Saints do Feed, and are thereby nourished unto Eternal Life. which I think it is plain as far as Mens meanings may be gather'd from their Words, that they make the Light within to be the Spiritual Body of Christ, or a certain measure or Portion of that Body.

And thus having according to the best of my Understanding and Observation given an Extract of the Quakers Principle concerning the

Light

Lig

hot

pea

hav

Pri

my

- 6

ing

the

ons.

the

was

be !

fber

amo

alle

of to f

has

me

rea

nen

Seno

Co

of I

as :

ftre

bec

and

light within, (which I have so well consider'd both before and after the framing it, that I can with good assurance stand by it, and dare appeal to all the Learned of that way, whether I have not given a true and just account of their Principle.) I now hasten to the Second part of my Undertaking, to expose the Grossness and

Absurdity of it.

ints

OID

this

Soul

ere-

Le. by

be-

hat

Mo-

of of

ift,

nd,

he

ar.

he

in-

Us.

all in-

b-

of

me d,

By gs

of

y

And here in the first place, I shall be so free and ingenuous, as to declare that I shall not stand with them concerning any of the Five First Propositions, which may be all true for ought I know to the contrary. Particularly I shall not stand with them concerning the Spiritual Body of Christ, as distinct from that Natural Body, wherein he was incarnate of the Virgin Mary: There may be fuch a thing for ought I know, or am able to hew to the contrary, and I know that feveral among the Ancients have been of this Opinion, alledging for its Foundation the Sixth Chapter of St. John, which to confess the truth, seems to favour it not a little. And this Hypothesis has been of late to the great furprize and amusement of the stiffer and severer fort of Divines, readvanc'd by a Person of singular note and eminence in our Church, who makes use of it to falve and maintain the Doctrin of the Real Presence, supposing that while the Bodies of the Communicants feed upon the groffer Elements of Bread and Wine, their Souls (as many of them as are firly disposed) do take in and feed upon this Divine and Spiritual Body of Christ, which frengthens and nourithes their Inner Man, and becomes to them a Principle of Regeneration and Spiritual Life, as you may fee more fully

deduc'd in his Discourse of the Real Presence, particularly in the First and Sixth Chapters of that Treatise.

I shall not therefore, I say, contend with them concerning the Spiritual Body of Christ, either as to its Existence, or as to this its use, whether there be fuch a thing, or whether it be the Principle of Regeneration and Spiritual Life to the Saints: They may be both true for ought I know. I fee nothing abfurd or fo much as improbable in them; and as I do not furrender up my full Affent to what I cannot demonstrate to be True, fo neither do I care to run down and condemn fuch Principles which I cannot Prove to be But that which I dislike, and condemn here, is their making this Spiritual Body of Christ to be the Light within, that Light which is to teach Man Wisdom and Knowledge, and to be his constant Tutor, Monitor and Director; which notion of the Light within (notwithstanding that I highly approve of the thing it felf) I take to be extreamly gross and absurd, and that upon those Two Accounts before touch'd upon.

1. Because hereby they make it to be a Crea-

ture.

2. Because they make it a Material Creature.

That they do make the Light to be a Creature, and a Material Creature, is sufficiently shewn already, in the account that I have given of their notion concerning it. I am now only to lay open the absurdity of this.

And First, 'cis absurd to make the Light within to be a Creature. Monsieur Malebranche ide whe

thin

mer

the

that

that

phe

thin

es

Or e

feet

of C

way

len

ceir

and

eno

me

peć

fou

wit

to

ters

WO

be

upo

the

tio

the

on'

for

of

10

ai

em

her

her

in-

the

t I

m-

up

be

n-

be

on

of

ch

be

T;

h-

it

ď,

re

3-

1.

n

r

y

e

idering with himself of all the possible ways De inquiwhereby we may come to have the Ideas of rend. Vethings without us, makes this Division or Enu-ritat.p.158.

meration of them. It is necessary (fays he) that these Ideas should either proceed from the Objects, or that our Mind has a power of producing them; or that God should produce them, either with the Mind when he Creates it, or occasionally, as often as we think of any Object. Or that the Mind should posless in it felf all the Perfections which it fees in things. Orelfe lastly, that it be united to some Absolutely Perfet Being, that includes in himself all the Perfections of Created Beings. And these are all the possible ways of Human Understanding that this excellent Theorist could conceive, or thought conceivable. But this 'cis to have a dull Invention, and a straitness of Imagination, that is not open enough to let in a full view of things. We now meet with a fort of Philosophers of a freer Profpect, and more inlarged Survey, that have found out another mode of furnishing the Mind with Ideas, and that is, by its being united to some Created Being, and that too a Ma-Strange, that fo inquisitive and fo terial one. working a Head as that of M. Malebranche should be so defective in his Enumeration, as not to hit upon this most wonderful Expedient of uniting the Soul to a Creature, in order to its Illumination! But fure he could not overlook it, but rather thought it too inconsiderable to be mention'd. 'Twas no doubt very easie and obvious for him to have confider'd, that the last Member of his Distribution might have been divided into Two, only by making his Supposition run first in general, that the Mind be united to some Being

or other, and then by diftinguishing that general This I say he might into Created or Increated. eafily have done, and in point of exact order and Method, perhaps should have done; but not dream. ing that any would ever be fo extravagantly groß. as to refolve the Illumination of the Mind into its union with any Creature, and not in the least que. flioning but that if Men were once come fo far into the right path, as to make the Illumination of the Mind to depend upon its Union with fome Being or other, they would have no further formple upon them whether this Being were God or no, he chose rather to pass over all union with the Creature in Silence, and to make this his last and only further supposable way of inlightning the Mind, that it be united to some absolutely perfest Being that includes in himself all the Perfections of Created Beings. Which no doubt is the only Basis upon which an Intelligible Hypothesis of Human Understanding can ever be raised. And I cannot but greatly wonder that those who come up fo very near it, should yet upon a suddain turn off, and pass it by.

The Quakers feem with M. Malebranche to disclaim and renounce the Four First of the ways proposed, and they agree with him so far in the Fifth and Last, as to resolve the Illumination of the Soul into its Union with some Being or other. But herein they divide and take several ways, in that M. Malebranche, makes this Being to be God, who is absolutely Perfect and all-comprehensive, eminently and vertually all; and the Quakers will needs have it to be a Created Being. Which Hypothesis I think to be very Absurd.

1. As needlefs.

2. As impossible.

Mario I

wit

plic

000

aid

for

Min

ent

ite

Det

all t

ther

fore

200

HOU

only

the

Fo

for a

085

Cres

ther Spir

(m)

ceafi Prin

poli

on h

ral

ght

and

m-

ofs.

its

ne-

of

me

rn-

or ith

aft

ng

re-

p-

an

n:

up

rn

if-

YS

he of

T.

in

d,

e,

y.

İŧ

It is a needles Hypothesis : For if the Soul of Wentbe not fufficient to be a Light to it felf, but Mumination must be resolved into its union with some other Being (which these Men imwhich grant when they resolve it into its Uniwith a Created Being,) then what Being fo fit ad proper for this purpose as God, who by reaon of the Immensity and Spirituality of his Name must needs be intimately present to all Minds, and by reason of the Infinity of his Esintial Perfection, must needs have in himself merian eminent and intelligible manner, all the Decrees of Being, and confequently the Ideas of things if there be a Being fo qualified, cerminivour Illumination must be resolv'd into our Mion with that Being; And if there be a God, henthere must be a Being fo qualified, And therefore it must be from our Union with him that Both Light and Knowledge is derived, who would of himfelf fufficiently inlighten Man, not only without the Conjunction, but even without the Coexistence of any other Creature,

For I would demand of these Men that contend for a Created Light, an Answer to this one Questing Suppose God should annihilate all the whole Creation except one Intelligent Spirit, so that there should be nothing in being but that single Spirit and Himself, would this Spirit upon the removal of all his Fellow Creatures out of being, case to understand or no? I know upon their removal of all his Fellow Creatures out of being, case to understand or no? I know upon their removal of the must say that he would, as supposing his capacity of Knowledge to depend upon his Union with a certain Created Being, viz. the Spiritual Body of Christ: But setting aside the Principle, (which is the thing under Que-

Gg

ftion,

flion, and therefore must not be used as a Medium to prove another thing by,) lice no reafon in the nature of the thing it felf, why they fhould fay that fuch a Spirit would in fuch a Supposition cease to understand; and I think there is altim reason in the World to suppose he would not as being ftill notwithstanding this great and Universal Emptiness, united most intimately to a Being of Absolute and Infinite Perfection, and that contains in himfelf the Ideas of all things Certainly this great and universal Representative would be a fufficient Light tothat Solitory Spirit who would not want Thoughts or Ideas, the might want a Companion to Communicate then And therefore the the Notion were other wife never fo possible and consistent, yet it is al together needless to feek out for Union with any Creature, in order to that Illumination, which confidering the Omniprefence and Albertedion of Gody must needs be supposed, the there were no other Creature belides one Intelligen Spirit in being But as this is needlefs, fa

'2. It is also an impossible Hypothess: It is absolutely impossible, that a Creature should be a Light to Man, or that one Creature should be a Light to another. God is the great Luminary of the whole Intellectual World, and it is he only that can be a Light either to the Soul of Man, or to any other Intelligent Being. No Creature, the never so glorious and excellent, not even the Human Soul of Jesus Christ, (much less his Spiritual Body,) can ever be a Light to the Mind, is to be to it the Principle of Understanding, to such list with Ideas, and to be the formal and

6

all

df

Belle

27

gre.

con For

thi

thia

of

WO

immediate Object of its Conception. And for the there are Two Conditions necessarily required, first, That it be intimately present and mided to the Mind. Secondly, That it have the whole Persection of Being, so as to have the Meas of all things, and to be an Universal theoretical which Conditions, (especial-section of the have of And therefore no Creature is able

wheatight to the Soul of Man.

fon!

gs.

-

T.

0

12

of

ly.

OF 100 100

i.

to

B+ :

d

Were a Creature never fo excellent, yet it dull be of a finite Perfection, and confequentof fuch a certain determinate order, rank. ind or species; that is, it would be such a par-Butar degree of Being. It would not be all Being or Being at large, (for then it would be (od.) but only fuch a definite and determinate detree of it. And confequently were it never intimately united to my Mind, it could be able to represent only it felf, and such degrees of being as were in it felf. That is, it might represent it felf and all that is of the same kind with it felf, but it could not represent any thing elfe, for it could not represent more degrees of Bring than it had. It could not therefore reprefint any Creature of another Order from it felf. ara Sun suppose could not represent a Tree, nor a Triangle a Circle, fince each of these have degrees of Being that are not in the other, and confequently cannot be represented by the other. For this is a most undoubted Principle, that nothing can represent any more degrees of Being than it has. Suppose your Creature therefore of never so raised and excellent an Order, it would beable to represent only it felf, and those

Gg 2

of

of the same Species. And what a poor account would this turn to! What a dim Wooing Light would this be for a Rational Soul to see by!

And as fuch a Created Light would represent but little of the Creation, fo it would not be able to represent God at all, whom we are chiefly concern'd to know, if 'twere only that we might be ascertain'd of the Truth of other things, the certainty of which (as Descartes has well observ'd and proved, depends upon our knowledge of God. But now it is impossible that God should be represented to our Minds by a Created Light; for as there must be at least as much Reality in the Object, either formally or eminently, as there is of Objective Reality in the Idea, (which is one of the Principles upon which M. Descartes grounds the existence of God,) So'tis as true on the other Hand, that there must be as much Reality in the Idea, as there is formally or eminently in the Object, or else that Idea will never be able to represent that Object, and that by vertue of the Principle before laid down, That nothing can represent any more degrees of Being than it has. But now God does infinitely furpass the whole Order of the Creatures, exceeding the highest degrees of them by a whole Infinity, and therefore cannot possibly be represented by any or all of them. God indeed may represent a Creature to my Mind, as having all the degrees of Being in that Creature, and infinitely more, but a Creature can never represent God, as having no manner of Proportion to his Excellency. For if (as it has been shewn, one Creature cannot represent another, that has but one degree of Be-

ing beyond it, or which the other has not, as a Triangle cannot represent a Quadrangle, as having one Angle more than it felf, much less can Creature be a Representative of God, of whom it falls short by no less a measure than a whole Take an Extract of all Created Perfection, and you will never be able to raise an Idea of God out of it; for to add Creature to Creature, is but to add finite to finite; and as that will never make, so neither will it be ever able to represent an Infinite. So much impossibility is there in the notion of a Created Light, which can represent but little of the Creature, and God not at all. And so very Absurd are those that stand for it, since the end for which they mainly defign it, and suppose it to be afforded to Man, is to conduct him to God, of whom it feems it cannot give him fo much as an Idea.

e - e

And now if it be so Absurd to make the Light within to be a Creature, then how much more Absurd is it to suppose it a Material Creature? Aquinas I remember proposes it as a Question, Prim. Part. Whether one Angel may not Illuminate another: Quell. 106. But among the many trifling and frivolous Queflions which he puts, I think he never thought it worth his while to inquire, whether a Clod of Earth, or a Sun-Beam (if you please) could illuminate an Angel? He that thought an Inferi- :bid.A.t.3. or Angel could not illuminate an Angel of a Superior Order, to be fure did not imagine that it could ever enter into any considering Head, that Matter should be able to illuminate Spirit. And I cannot but stand amazed at the Extravagancy of their Imagination Gg 3

ce

th

án

it

di

TE

pe

to

who think it can. Sure the wild diforders of a Fever, can can hardly produce a more odd phantastical conceit than this. It has been thought a strange adventure in Speculation, to Suppose, that Matter by the advantage of a finer Mechanism, should be made capable of Though, and be able to reason and understand; and the truth is, I would give a great deal to fee the Mould in which those Mens Heads were cast, who could entertain such a Notion. But alas, what is this in comparison of making Matter the Principle of Illumination! 'Tis gross enough of any fense to suppose Matter capable of thinking it felf, but to suppose it to be an Intellectual Light, to be a Principle of thinking, to make it a Mafter and Instructor, a Furnisher of Thoughts and Ideas, what an Extravagance must this be! What, Matter illuminate Spirit! How harfply it founds! How it grates upon a Philosophical Ear! For besides that such a Supposition as this would invert and confound the whole Order of things, by exalting Matter above Spirit (which according to this must depend upon Matter for the noblest of its Operations, that very Operation whereby it is diftinguish'd from it, and fupposed to be placed above it,) I say besides this, how is it possible that Matter should be a Principle of Illumination to the Soul? For in the firth place, how shall we suppose it capable of being intimately united and present to it? Or if we could conceive this to be possible, yet what would it be able to represent? It would be able to represent nothing but what is Material, and but a little of that neither, even no more than those few degrees of Material Perfection it self is fupnor,

e

appeled to have; it could represent nothing inmaterial or Intellectual. For its Ideas could te no other than certain Material Images or Fiterations; and a Material Idea can never reprefent an Immaterial Object. A Principle fo very clear and certain, that even those who derive our Ideas from Sensible Objects, Supposing them to be Gorporeal Emanations, do yet find it nereflary to look out for an an Expedient, whereby they may be refined and Spiritualized after their admission into the common Sensory, namely by the help of what they call Intellectus Agens, whose Office they say is to purifie and refine these Material Phantasms, and to render them Immaterial, that so they may become Intelligible. A very hard Task for poor Intellection Agens, and were he not a Creature of their own Brain. itwould move any ones Pity to think what a piece of Drudgery he is Condemn'd to. But that buts an end to our concern ; for fo he that reads the many severe toils and hardships of a poor Romantick Adventurer, feels a fecret warmth about his Heart, and is apt ever now and then out of a tendernels of Spirit, to diffolve into a passion for the Noble Sufferer, till as he hinft about to drop a Tear, he remembers that tis but a Romance, and then all is well again. But by the way, this is a most miserable Device, and fuch as fufficiently betrays the absurdity and nellity of their Hypothesis. For hereby they plainly acknowledge the truth of this Principle, that a Material Idea cannot reprefent an himaterial Object, (for otherwise, what necesfity of having it Spiritualized,), and if fo, then fince their Ideas are Material, the whole weight Gg 4 of

of their Hypothefis refts upon this lingle Bottom The Transmutability of Material into Immaterial Ideas, which if found to be impossible, their Hypothesis can no longer stand. Now I must needs confess it does as much furpals my Under-Standing how a Material Idea can be transform'd into an Immaterial one, as how a Material Idea can represent an Immaterial Object. They are alike Mysteries to me, and I doubt not but that they are both alike Absurdities in themselves.

Well then, the Sum of the matter in hand will come to this: If the Ideas of a Material Principle must be also Material, and if a material Idea cannot represent an Immaterial Object, then 'twill follow, that a Material Light will not be able to give the Soul a Representation of any thing that is Immaterial or Intellectual. And if fo, what little stead will it serve us in! The greatest part of our Notions are Intellectual; We cannot speak or write three or four Words but some of them will stand for Ideas that reprefent Intellectual Objects. There is no thinking nor conversing, the never fo little, without this. And what shall we do then with a Light that is not able to furnish us with Ideas for a quarter of the things we are concerned both to think and to discourse of? So utterly unserviceable and infufficient is a Material Light for the purposes of Human Understanding, and so senseless and abfurd is the Notion that Afferts it. The Sum of what has been hitherto discours'd turns all within the Compass of this Syllogism.

That Notion which makes the Light within to be a Creature, and a material Creature, is deat are write

Abiurd.

Bu

the L

T

ing t

T

been

DEON

aple

follo

Ligh

fition

much

DIOV

the C in th

ing 1

Perfe

him

Part

Gro

to b Poin

whic

with

him

anot ther

min

is th they

com Нур

trad

For

led

A

But the Notion of the Quakers concerning he Light within makes it to be fores and when

Therefore the Notion of the Quakers concern-

ing the Light within is Abfurd. I and year area

The first of these Propositions is what we have hen proving now last of all. The Second was proved in the Account given of the Quakers Priniple of the Light. The Conduction therefore follows, That the Quakers Principle of the light within is Abfurd. Which was the Propofin I undertook to prove, and I am very much mistaken in my Accounts if it be not well eve now faith'd what I undercook, bayon

And thus having represented the Groffness of the Quakers Principle of the Light, I will now in the Conclusion add a Word or two concerning their Inconfiftency in explaining it. That Person may well be esteemed Inconsistent with himself, who so explains this Hypothesis in the Particulars of it, as to contradict that general Ground upon which it is built. But now this I take to be the Fault of the Quakers in relation to the Point in Hand. The general Ground upon which they build their Hypothesis of the Light within, is this, That Man cannot be a Light to himself, (for if he could, what need there be mother Principle of Light within him) and does therefore require some other Principle of Illumination for his Conduct and Direction. This is the Principle they go upon, and fo far I think they are right enough. But now when they come to give a particular Account of their Hypothesis, they so order the matter as to contradict and give up again that General Principle. for if that Light within, which is to be the Per-

10

H

hore

in Co

nho

taber

ut.

betat

the i

Fiche.

the T

la ge

thefis

cape

Perfections of his own Nature, the only Reason why Man cannot be a Light to himself being this because no Creature can be so. But if a Greature ture may be a Light, and the Light within being that Creature; and then what need of any such thing as a Light within distinct from the Raional Nature of Man, which would be to renounce their general Principle, and to fall in with the Vulgar Hypothesis of Human Understanding. So that this is, a Notion altogether inconsistent with it felf, as well as disagreeable to Truth.

I have now finish'd what I undertook, and, if I mistake not, fully answer'd the Title of this Treatist. If any should think it strange that I would be so far diverted from better Studies, as to spend time and pains in disproving the Principles of so inconsiderable a Perswann a that of the Quakers, I must in answer to this

take the Liberty to tell them,

that (as to better Studies) I know nothing that does better deserve the Consideration of a Rational Creature, than the Mode and Way of

Human Understanding.

2. That (as to the Inconsiderableness of the Perswasion) I cannot think Quakerism to be so, as the Principles of it are laid down and managed by Mr. Barelay. That Great and General Contempt they lie under, does not hinder me from thinking the Sect of the Quakers to be by far the most considerable of any that divide from us, in case the Quakerism that is generally held be the same with that which Mr. Barelay has deliver'd to the World for such a whom I take to be so great a Man, that I profess to you freely, had

tale of Human Understanding, his a Creature, len Man might as well have been his own Light. as Light to himself, that is, be might arrive w the knowledg of things, by confulting the ad rather engage against an Hundred Bellarmins, Herdings, or Stapyleons, than with one Barclay. However, as great a Man as he is, I think I are given his Principle of the Light a clear and brough Confutation, and have likewife therein fufficiently answer'd my particular Adversary, no perhaps by this time may have reason to ment that ever he fet me to work. I know, Sir. www well, that 'tis one thing to answer the Book. ad another thing to answer the Man: For some Men will never be answered, the their Books But I am not ambitious of the last Word, transe I know wife Men do not use to inde of the iffue of a Dispute by that Measure. Mr. Fichris therefore may have that if he please, and the rather, because I think it will be all he is like aget by his Engagement with

SIR,

Your Humble Servant,

J. N.

POSTSCRIPT

SIR,

lon

rea.

tt

uch io-

ice the ignit

his

ti

es, he

iż

iğ

d

0

THERE is one very material Consideration relating to the Impossibility of the Hypothesis of a Created Light, which happen'd to escape my Thoughts till I was past the place where

where it ought naturally to have come in, and therefore I must fet it down here by it felf You know, Sir, a very great Part of our Study and Thinking, as well as of our Familiar Di course and Conversation, is employ'd about Necessary and Eternal Truths. And most of our Arts and Sciences are Conversant about them as being indeed the Principal Object of Human Knowledg. And therefore it is necessary, that that which is to ferve us as an Intellectual Light should be able to represent these things to our Minds whatever else be left unrepresented But now this is what a Created Light will never be able to do, there being nothing in a Created Light that is able to answer or bear any Correspondence to that which is Necessary and Eternal, For a Creature is a Contingent Being, all the Reality that is in it is wholly Contingent; and as Contingency can never represent Necessity or Eternity, fo neither can that which is Contingent represent what is Necessary or Eternal. This I confess is a very Metaphysical Argument, and fuch as will require a great deal of Abstraction and Attention in him that will be Master of it. But if attentively weigh'd and consider'd, I believe it will be found to amount to no less than a Demonstration,

Hea

SPIRITUAL COUNSEL:

and felf

our em, nan hat

ght

our red.

ver ted refnal.

Re-

or ininial. nt,

of

, I

OR, THE

Father's Advice

TO HIS

CHILDREN.

Hear ye Children the Instruction of a Father, and Attend to know Understanding, Prov. 4. 1. SPIRITUAL COUNSEL: OR, THE her's Advice HALL the west of a first and a first and

the prieses be seened tents

dren

calsi and in a being fere ing and those

Advertisement.

THIS little Manual of Spiritual Counsel, was at I first undertaken and composed by the Author for the private Use of his own Children; but upon a Review, it being thought as useful to other as to them, he was induced to make it publick for the Common And that the rather, partly because the Conums of these Papers (tho directed to his own Chilbun) are of general Concernment, and such as are calculated for People of all Ages and Conditions; and partly for the greater Security of the Copy, which is a private Manuscript would be in great danger of hing lost; but by being Printed would be better prefived for the use of those for whom it was first defind. And as the Printing of it secures it from being loft one may, fo 'tis the Author's Serious Will and Prayer, that the Pious Care and Attention of those that peruse it, may hinder it from being lost mother:

SPIRITUAL

SPIRITUAL COUNSEL:

tha

for

con

fare

Car

gre

Life

mai

rou

mer

Ti

VVC

VVe

eter

fore

felv

the

YOU

mer

A

vou

cert

one

The Manual of Science Counted, was at

Father's Advice

Good. And that the sail or party because the Con-

on) a contact Comment, and fuch as are only in the state of the state

hing loft a bur by being To pared would be better

My Dear Children,

happen to die before you do fo, remember and consider the Words of a careful and affectionate Father, touch'd with a most lively Concern both for your Present and Future Welfare, but chiefly your Future, that of your precious and immortal Souls, which must be either Eternally Happy or Miserable in another World, according as you live and demean your selves in this. And therefore,

Be fure that you dedicate your tender Years to the Service and Glory of God, and that you remember your Creator in the days of your Youth. You will then have most need to remember

member him, and you will then be most apt to forget him. Set therefore a double guard upon that part of your Life, and be then especially diligent to remember and be mindful of him that made you. It will make your Duty Easie, and your Lives Happy. You will have the Comfort of it when you come to Die, besides the constant Satisfaction it will afford you all your Lives long.

II.

And as you make Religion your First, so be fore you make it your Greatest and chiefest Care: Not a By-work (as the general manner of the World is) but your Principal Concern, the great Bufiness and Employment of your whole For indeed Religion is your Greatest Life. Concernment, and therefore ought to be your main Business and Employment. Solomon tells you. That to Fear God and keep his Commandments is the Whole of Man: And a Greater than he, That 'tis the One thing Needful. Tis For this that you have your Being in this World, and 'tis By this that you can hope for a Well-being in that which is to come. Your eternal Happiness depends upon it, and therefore as you tender that, fee that you apply your felves chiefly to the Fear and Love of God, and the keeping of his Commandments; and that you make Religion the great Affair and Employment of your Mind and Life.

6.6

161

1

III.

And as you make it your Great, so be sure you make it your Daily Employment; as you certainly will if you truly make it your Great one. Let not a Day pass over your Heads with-

Hh

out Serious Thoughts of God, and a due Per formance of Religious Homage to him; and endeavour to spend every Day as well as you can. and to make every Day a Step towards Eternity. You do fo in a Natural, and therefore take care you do fo also in a Spiritual Sense, making every day some Preparation for your Last, consider. ing that you know not when that will be : that you have but a few days in all to fpend, and how precious every Portion even of the longest Life must needs be, upon which an Eternity depends. And therefore for your better Improvement of every day, I advise you,

To accustom your felves upon your first waking in the Morning, to Meditate feriously upon God, to offer to him your first Thoughts, and most folemnly to dedicate to him your selves. your Souls and Bodies, your Defigns and your Undertakings, and the whole succeeding day, which in all likelihood will be the better fpent for having been fo happily begun. And as you thus begin the day with God, fo let it end with him too, making him the last subject of your Meditations when you lie down in your Beds, and as you compose your felves to your nightly Reft; that fo you may both Wake and Sleepin God.

The two Extremities of the day being thus fecured, there will be the less danger of Misfpending the middle parts of it. But for the better Security and Improvement of thefe, let me advise you in General, to accustom your felves to make Solemn Prayers to God in Private tar

Mc

hal 26

Go

do.

TO

ter

in 1

YO

gic

FO

WI

qu.

yo for

tw

ab

VO

W

8n

ipon your Knees, at least three times a day; (besides occasional and less folemn Ejaculations) and as much oftner as you find your selves disposed. And if these times be fix'd and stated to some certain Hours, so much the better, that so the return of the Hour appointed may as a Natural Instrument, put you in mind of your Deventions.

VI

To make which the more Orderly, pray take care, that the first thing that you do in the Morning affoon as you are up and conveniently habited, be to Sanctifie and Hallow the day, by folemn devotional Address of your selves to God in Prayer. Let this be the first thing you do, I mean before you take any Secular or Worldly thing in hand. For there is one certain thing that I would advise you to do even before ion fay your Prayers, and that is, to read as attentively and confiderately as you can a Chapter in the Bible. This I would have you do every Morning before you go to your Prayers, which you will find to be a most excellent and advantagious Practice, not only as serving to inform your Understandings, and bring you acquainted with the Holy Scriptures, but also to warm and micken your Wills and Affections, and to tune your Souls, and put them into a due Composure for your following Devotion. For which the two other more folemn Seasons are, some time about the middle of the day, and at night when you go to Bed. At which time besides your ordinary Devotion, as in the other two, you would do well to take a Review of the day past, and particularly examine how you have fpent it, Hh 2 not

not only that if you have done well you may glorifie God; and if ill, may humble your felves before him, and make your Peace with him before you commit your felves to fleep; but also that by this Exercise you may know what progress you make in Piety, what the State of your Souls is, and how Accounts stand between you and God; and may also learn to spend every day the better, as you will find your felves induced to do, by the very prospect of that returning Account which you are to render of it to your felves at Night.

grab out wolled by the days or ad Besides which Nightly Review, I think it very expedient that you should fet apart some certain Seafons, wherein to fit in more folemn Judgment upon your felves, to review and take account of your past Lives, to call your Sins to remembrance, to confess and bewail them, and to humble your Souls and Bodies in the Presence of God for them, and to form new Refolutions, and new Acts of Abhorrence and Deteffation against them. These days I would have to be purely and intirely days of Religion, to be wholly spent in Reading, Prayer, and Divine Meditation. Sequefter your selves upon these days from all Worldly Bulinels, retire from all Company, and let nothing be the Imployment of them, but what relates to the Grand Affair. And that these days may be thus strictly kept and fpent, I would have their return to be but Monthly, suppose the first or last Friday in every Month. I the rather mention Friday, because it is one of the Church-Fasts, and I would have you make these days to be days of Fasting as well as

en

fc

10

fe

82

181

of Prayer, that fo both together may compleat your Repentance and Humiliation. The great Advantage of thus frequently making up your Accounts in these your Nightly and Monthly Reckonings, you will find to be chiefly this. that it will greatly contribute to the prefent Amendment of your Lives, your Repentances thus keeping pace with your Miscarriages : and to the ease of your Consciences when you come to die. At which time you will find it work enough to engage with your bodily Diforders, and to attend to the proper Duties of your prefent Condition, the you have not the Course of whole Life to unravel, nor a train of Actions long past and long forgotten to bring to remembrance. And indeed 'twill be a fad thing to . have then Sums to cast up, and Reckonings to make, when you are Sick and in Pain, and have neither Understanding, nor Memory, nor Leifore, nor Will to recollect your felves. especially when all wray for the Foculty

In these and all other times of your Devotion, let me further advise you to observe this General Rule, Never to put your felves into the Prefence of God by Prayer, till you have first recollected your felves by awful thoughts of the Divine Majesty, and have by some short but ferious Meditation, composed your Minds to a reverent and devout Performance of fo Important and Divine a Duty. For besides that 'tis rude, and favours of Profaneness, to rush into the Prefence of God without previous Confideration: He that approaches him fo Irreverently at first, knot like to bespeak him with much greater Reverence afterwards. Therefore compose your Spirit Hh 3

not only that if you have done well you may glorifie God; and if ill, may humble your felves before him, and make your Peace with him before you commit your felves to fleep; but also that by this Exercise you may know what progress you make in Piety, what the State of your Souls is, and how Accounts stand between you and God; and may also learn to spend every day the better, as you will find your felves induced to do, by the very prospect of that returning Account which you are to render of it to your felves at Night.

to

to

an

fe

10

b.

ha

m

ne

fu

-55

119

let

R

fei

fer

He

ist

Ye

of another live daily well the day of Besides which Nightly Review, I think it very expedient that you should set apart some certain Seafons, wherein to fit in more folemn Judgment upon your felves, to review and take account of your past Lives, to call your Sins to remembrance, to confess and bewail them, and to humble your Souls and Bodies in the Presence of God for them, and to form new Refolutions. and new Acts of Abhorrence and Deteftation against them. These days I would have to be purely and intirely days of Religion, to be wholly spent in Reading, Prayer, and Divine Meditation. Sequefter your selves upon these days from all Worldly Bulinels, retire from all Company, and let nothing be the Imployment of them, but what relates to the Grand Affair. And that these days may be thus strictly kept and fpent, I would have their return to be but Monthly, suppose the first or last Friday in every Month. I the rather mention Friday, because it is one of the Church-Fasts, and I would have you make these days to be days of Fasting as well as of

of Prayer, that fo both together may compleat your Repentance and Humiliation. The great Advantage of thus frequently making up your Accounts in these your Nightly and Monthly Reckonings, you will find to be chiefly this, that it will greatly contribute to the present Amendment of your Lives, your Repentances thus keeping pace with your Miscarriages; and to the ease of your Consciences when you come to die. At which time you will find it work enough to engage with your bodily Diforders, and to attend to the proper Duties of your prefent Condition, tho you have not the Course of whole Life to unravel, nor a train of Actions long past and long forgotten to bring to remembrance, And indeed 'twill be a fad thing to . have then Sums to cast up; and Reckonings to make, when you are Sick and in Pain, and have neither Understanding, nor Memory, nor Leifore, nor Will to recollect your felves.

specially what all versy for the Torgive In these and all other times of your Devotion, let me further advise you to observe this General Rule, Never to put your felves into the Prelence of God by Prayer, till you have first recollected your felves by awful thoughts of the Divine Majesty, and have by some short but serious Meditation, composed your Minds to a reverent and devout Performance of fo Important and Divine a Duty. For besides that tis rude, and favours of Profaneness, to rush into the Prefence of God without previous Confideration: He that approaches him fo Irreverently at first, is not like to bespeak him with much greater Reverence afterwards. Therefore compose your Spirit Hh 3

Spirit before you begin, and be not like one, that goes to play upon his Instrument before he has tuned it. 'Tis the Advice of a wifer Person than I, Before thou Prayest, says the Son of Syrach, prepare thy self, and be not as one that tempeth the Lord.

P

W

de

th

M

th:

T

A1

.

Go

are

Ot

lol

iní

by

VO

Na

and

tha

70

270

is pli

Re

W

fire

IX.

After you have thus duely disposed your Heart, then you may with decency proceed to bend your Kneen And whenever you Pray to God, be fure you do it with all that Humility and Rererence that becomes a Creature and a Sinner, speaking both to his Maker and his Judge; and with all possible affectionate Warmth and Feryour of Spirit; this being the very Life and Soul of Prayer, and the only thing that diffinguishes it from pronouncing so many Words, or the going over in ones mind fo many Thoughts, Let Earnestness therefore and Fervour of Affection, be constant Ingredients in all your Prayers, especially when you Pray for the Forgiveness of your Sins. Pray then yet more earnestly, as your Saviour did in the Garden, when he was about to Suffer for them. And fure one would think, that he who begs God to forgive him his Sins, and understands how great a thing he asks, and is fensible in what need he stands of it, and withall how unworthy to receive it, and confiders the gratness of the Person of whom he begs it, and of the Price by which the very Possibility of it was purchased, and how much Goodness that is which bestows it, and consequently how high a Favour it must be in case he receive it, need not be further minded or admonished of being Earnest and Fervent in his Devotion. But X.

But that you may Pray Fervently, be fure you Pray Attentively. This is necessary to the other, as well as upon its own account, it being impoffible that a Man should be moved or affected with what he either does not at all understand, or does not confider. But indeed these mutually help one another. Warmth of Affection will make Men Attentive, and Attention will help to procure and excite Affection. Be fure therefore that you use great Attention and Fixation of Mind in your Prayers, that you attend heedfully both to the Matter of your Prayers, and to that awful Majesty you Pray to, and that your Thoughts do not wander from either of them. Always remembring, that there can hardly be greater piece of Irreverence, than to approach God by a Bodily Movement, when our Spirits are absent from him, and ingaged upon other Objects: That a Prayer so put up, is not only loft and thrown away, but profaned; and that instead of performing a Duty, we commit a Sin, by taking God's Name in vain. And let me tell you, that this is the worst way of taking God's Name in vain, because we do it so deliberately, and under a pretence of worshipping him. But that you may not be guilty of this, let me advise you,

XI.

Not only to that which by Spiritual Persons is commonly advised in this case, viz. to Simplifie and recollect your Spirits by Silence and Repose, to be loose and disingaged from the World, to have as little Business and as few Desires as may be; but also to accustom your

Hh 4

felves

felves, whenever you happen to hear the Name of God mention'd; to make a Reverential Paufe, and form within your felves an inward Act of Adoration and Worship: Which you will find not only to be a good Practice in it felf, but also to tend to good, as leaving and fixing a Pious Impression upon your Spirits; whereby you will become lefs apt to Profane that great and venerable Name in your more folemn Address, which you have thus accustomed your felves to reverence, when even occasionally mention'd.

XII.

And as you take care that your Spirits accompany your Bodies in your Prayers, fo let it he your next care that your Bodies accompany your Spirits. I mean that you joyn Bodily Worthip to your Spiritual, and use the most lowly and reverential Gestures and Postures that you can possibly put your felves into. Such as Bowing the Head, Elevation of the Hands and Eyes, bending the Knees, and even Proftration it felf upon more Solemn Occasions. And this not only because God has a right to his whole Creature, and confequently to the Worship of the Body as well as of the Soul; but also because Bodily Worship contributes mightily to the Advantage of Spiritual; and the Soul not only fignifies and expresses her Devotion by the lowly Postures of her Body, but also cherishes and helps it forward. As some Men by acting an Angry Part, and putting on the outward Air of Resentment, begin at last to enter into the Spirit of that Passion, which they intended only to persomate.

Bo

no wi

the

its

ha

Sa Icl

of

on

thi

mo

the

6

to

Гe

in

ft

XIII.

If you find your felves tempted to lay afide Bodily Worship (as some have done and do) upon the Pretence of Worshipping God in Spirit and in Truth, I would have you look upon it as no other than a Suggestion of the Devil, who is willing to defraud God of one half of his due. the Service of the Body, under the Pretence of its being unnecessary (tho he would fain have had it himself, when he would have bribed our Saviour to fall down and worship him) and your felves of that advantage which the Humiliations of your Bodies would derive upon the Disposition of your Souls. But for your Satisfaction in this Point (not to trouble you with any Critical Remarks upon the Words Spirit and Truth) you need only confider, that as God under the Ceremonial Law did not command the Worship of the Body without the Engagement of the Spirit, to neither does he now require that of the Spirits without the Fellowship and Communion of the Body. And that as a Religion confifting in Externals only, is not worthy of an Intellectual Nature, so a Worship purely Mental and Intellectual is too Abstract and Sublime for a Nature allied to Sense, and depending upon it. But our Saviour is the best Interpreter of his own Law, and his Practice the best Comment. He to be fure was the most Spiritual Worshipper that ever was, and yet none more remarkable than he, for using Bodily Prostrations, from which he would not excuse himself even upon the Cross it felf: For when he was to furreder up his Soul into the Hands of his Father, he did it in a Poflure expressive of Reverence and Devotion, He bowed ingris

giv the

toc Sul

In:

of

fice.

im Re

ob

ba: thi

thi

10

OD

pre

an

bowed his Head, the Text says, and gave up the Ghost. But for this it may suffice, that God has given you a Soul and a Body in Conjunction, and you are to Serve and Worship him in the same manner as he has made you.

XIV.

But this composure of your Bodies into a reverential and devotional Posture, to which I advise you in your Glosets, ought more especially to be regarded and observ'd in the publick Wor. thip of God (that in the very Nature of it, requiring visible Testimonies and outward Tokens of Veneration) which I would have you constantly to frequent in all the parts of it, especially those two so much difregarded and neglected by fome of late (which yet are the only parts that properly deserve the Name of Worthip) the Prayers of the Church, and the Administration of the Holy Sacrament. In all which let your outward Deportment, as well as inward Spirit, be Grave, Serious and Composed; fuch as becomes the Place and Presence you are in, and the Duties you are about, and that decent Respect which you owe to the Assemblies of the Saints.

XV

So much may serve for your Direction, as to the Manner of your Devotion. Concerning the Matter of it, I need only mind you, that Spiritual Blessings are the things you are chiefly to Pray for; and that those are also the things for which you are chiefly to give Thanks. For which you have a plain President in that Divine Form of Prayer commended to our use by our Lord himself, in which the Preserence is so far given has

on, the

reid-

ly t-

ę,

İs

iven to our Spiritual Part and Concern, that here is but one Petition for the things relating to the Good of this Temporal Life. And that no no farther than is requifite to our prefent Suffentation, Give us this day our daily Bread. In imitation of which it has pleased the Wisdom of our Church, in that large comprehensive Office call'd the Litany, or general Supplication. to have but one Clause of Prayer, that directly and expresty Petitions for the good of this Life, which is, That it may please thee to give and pre-Gree to our use the kindly Fruits of the Earth, fo as due time we may enjoy them. From which ('cis observable) without dwelling long upon it. The immediately passes to the requesting of true Repentance and Forgiveness of Sins, with which he closes all. Wherein you may yet further observe, that the the Church in great Wisdom, has thought requifite to have but one Prayer of this fort, and to drive off even that one among the laft, yet with equal Wildom the thought fit not to make it the lait of all, left fuch a Close of our Devotion should leave behind it a relish of Earthly Good upon the taste of the Soul. To prevent which she concludes with a Petition of an higher Nature, That it may please thee to give m true Repentance ; to forgive us all our Sins, Negligences and Ignorances, and to endue us with the Grace of thy Holy Spirit, to amend our Lives acperding to thy Hely Word:

XVI.

To the Exercise of Attnal, I would in the next place advise you to joyn the Practice of Habitual Prayer. By which I mean, that you would so accustom your selves to the Contemplation of

God in and through all his Creatures, that none of those Objects which furround you, may ever present themselves to your Senses, without awakening the Idea of God in your Minds, and admonishing you of that absolute and intire De. pendence you and all Creatures have upon him. So that where-ever you are, or with whomfor ver you converse, or whatever your Business or Occupation be, you may always have a lively Sense of God ever returning upon you, and miring it felf with all your Ingagements, and San-Ctifying even your most indifferent Actions; that your Hearts may be always flaming with his Love, and your Imaginations fix'd and flavid in his Holy Fear; your Passions being in profound Silence and awful Repose, and you your felves always ready and disposed to perform those more express Acts of Worship, which in their proper Seafons you owe to God. Whom however you may be truly and properly faid continually to worship, and so to fulfil that Exhortation of the Apostle, of Praying without ceafing, when you have learnt thus to carry about with you a constant Habit of Divine and Religious Thoughts.

Water LIVX

This Habitual Prayer is the Perfection of Devotion, and the trueft Mark of a Heart thoroughly warm'd and animated with the Spirit of Piety and Religion. The Images of those things which we dearly love, will frequently return upon us, and we are always disposed to give them entertainment as often as they offer themselves. And from our doing so, we may conclude how well we love them. And were

the L

het

toul

lent 1

would

naki

on hi

Senfe

ding

senfe

for I

State

ly to

this

that

the I

ing t

Bear

B

have

UDT

hip

and

who

con

Lar

Me

goo

Ri

Yo

tel

the Love of God as thoroughly kindled in our Hearts, had we but the same Passion for him. het we have for some sensible Objects, we fould find his great Idea upon all occasions preent to our Thoughts, and that every thing would bring him to our Remembrance; our raking and our fleeping Thoughts would be upm him, and he would enter in upon us at every senfe, we should fee him and feel him in all things, be always under a quick and affecting sense of him, and always in a ready Disposition for his Worship and Service. Thus would the state of our Minds be, if we had them once trubrouch'd with the Love of God, to which also his way of Habitual Prayer is the most certain and compendious Method, it being impossible met a Man should so continually put himself in the Presence of so amiable a Being, without being taken and ravish'd with the Perfection of his Beauty.

XVIII.

But after all (my good Children) I would have you think and remember, that a just and upright Conversation is the best way of Worflip, and a holy and good Life the best Prayer; and that then you serve God most acceptably, when you keep his Commandments, and live in constant Obedience to his Will. When in the Language of the Prophet you do justly, and love Mercy, and walk humbly with your God; or as the Apostle expresses it, when denying all Ungodliness and worldly Lusts, you live Godly, Righteously, and Soberly in this present World. You may meet with some perhaps, that will tell you by way of reproach, that this is Mora-

could not have call'd it by a better name. That it is such Morality as our Saviour taught in his Sermon upon the Mount, and of which his whole Life was the most shining Example; such Morality as was taught by his great Forerunner the Holy Baptist, and by all the Prophets that went before him, and by his Apostles that came after him. In fine, such Morality as is absolutely necessary to the present order of this World, and to the Happiness of the next; to our Admission into Heaven, and to our Enjoyment of God there, whom (as we are expressly told) without Holiness no Man shall ever see.

XIX.

Now in order to a Holy Life, I shall not think it necessary (at least in these Papers design'd for more particular Remarks) to describe to you the feveral parts of it, they are so plainly and fully laid down in the Holy Scriptures, and to largely commented on and explain'd in those many excellent Practical Treatifes, which by the good Providence of God we of this Age and Nation enjoy. To which therefore I chuse rather to refer you, advising you in the first place, to be very Diligent and Constant in reading the Bible, especially the New Testament, and in that particularly our Lords Sermon upon the Mount, which you would do well to get by heart. And among Human Writings, recommending to you chiefly Bifhop Taylor's Holy Living and Dying, the Whole Duty of Man, Dr. Sherlock's two Books of Death and Judgment, and Thomas a Kempis of the Imitation of Christ, Which last Book, tho not of so nice and artificiin i

Com

n D

ou Adv

lett

d it

Holy

An

doí mhi

Boli

Pref

Mo

the

Vie

hav

nex of

ma

Ma

tha

let

oth Mi

the

in Composure as the rest, seems yet to be written in such an excellent and truly Christian Spint, and to have such a Vein of Piety and Simplicity running thro' it, as is beyond all the Fine-rese and Imbelishments that Art can give. Laving you therefore a while with this good company, for a full Instruction in your Christian Duty, I desire, that after you have learn'd it, you would lend your Attention to the following invices, which I shall suggest to you for your letter Affishance in the Practice and Performance of it.

XX.

The first great and general Instrument of a Holy Life is Consideration, by which I mean the Anal Attention and Advertency of our Minds to ofe things, the Knowledge and Theory of hich, we have already in Power and general Habit. This, in relation to Civil Action and Business, or the Management of Affairs, is call'd Presence of Mind; but in the Concernment of Morality and Well-living, it usually goes by the name of Consideration, and implies an actual View of those Practical Truths, whereof we have an habitual Notion or Knowledge. ext to the Grace of God, is the great Principle of a Good Life; and the want of this is the main Cause, into which the Sin and Misery of Mankind is generally to be refolv'd. Infinitely more Perish through want of Consideration. than either through Ignorance or Infidelity. Ignorance, indeed, and Error, of one fort of other, I suppose to be the true Cause of all our Miscarriages, (for if we Thought rightly, we should Will and Act rightly too) but as actual Ignorance is more common than Habitual, so I suppose more Men to Miscarry through Actual than through Habitual Ignorance, that is, for want of Considering, than for want of Knowing. Let me therefore advise you in the first place and in general, to apply your selves, with all possible Care and Diligence, to the Practice of Consideration; to have in your Minds a present and actual View of those things which you know, both concerning your Duty, and the several Motives and Ingagements to the Performance of it. Ever remembring that the Performance of it. Ever remembring that the knowing a great deal, but the due considering of that little a Man knows, that must make him either Wise or Good.

are and Great

n ti

ime

for i

in ti

you

sile B

XXI.

Now among the particular Objects of Confideration. I think it advisable that you should, by repeated Reflections, endeavour to fix and imprint upon your Minds, and have always in actual View this Thought, That Sin is the Greatest of all Evils. Which Sentiment, as long as you preserve a bright and clear Sense of it in your Understandings, will secure your Wills from all possibility of Error. 'Tis the losing the View of this Truth, by some Cloud of Passion intervening, that makes Men Sin; and 'tis the recovering of it again, that, next to the Grace of God, leads them to Repentance. Now the same Principle that makes Men repent after they have finn'd, would, if then duly attended to, have kept them from Sinning. As this will certainly For it being impossible that a Man should will Evil as Evil; he that commits Sin, must for that infant think it at least a Comparative Good, which

wich he can never do, if he actually thinks it the atest Evil (there being then no greater Evil pofed, in respect of which it may receive that fimation) and confequently can never commit while he continues actually in that Judgment. less you will suppose him to will Evil formally Evil. which is utterly impossible. Take great are therefore, to have this Principle well lodg'd od fix'd in your Understandings, That Sin is the Greatest Evil XXII.

or We sull for

ıŁ

This Confideration will fuggeft to you another. the Consequence and Improvement of it, which That Sin must necessarily be repented of one ime or other. There is an unavoidable Necessity for it. I do not mean only a conditional Necessity? we will be pardon'd, but that it is fimply and bolutely necessary, that is, That the Judgment of his being the greatest Evil (however filenc'd and interrupted for a time) will infallibly return again mon us; and we must and shall one time or ther repent of it; and wish we had never committed it; either in this Life (if we be fo happy) in order to our Pardon and Salvation, or at leaft. in the other, when the Powers and Faculties of our Souls shall be more awakned, and our Spirit mal-Eyes fo open'd, as to fee things in their pro-Colours, and in their true Dimensions. Now confider this, especially when you find your leves tempted to any Sin. Confider then, what you are about to do, a thing that you must again ando, and wish, one time or other, that you had never done, and so whether with such Thoughts bout you, you can be guilty of fuch a Folly.

XXIII.

But to render this Confideration of Sin's being the cter

the greatest Evil, and an Evil confequently that must and will be repented of the more effectual my next Advice is, That you would joyn to it the Confideration of the otter Emptines and Vanity of all those Pleasures and Enjoyments which tempt to the Commission of it. A thing which every Man's Reason may suggest to him, and which every Man's Experience does, and ve which fo few are actually, and in all Inflances convinc'd of; that forgetting their Disappoint ments, they run again as greedily to their figiovments, as if they had never made trial of the Vanity. Infomuch that the great Wifdom of S. tomon gave proof of it felf in nothing, more than in having obtain'd at length (tho late) a the rough Senfe, an abiding Conviction, of the Worlds Vanity, which was the top of his Wifdom, and the refult of his many Experiments. Endeavour therefore to poffess your Minds of the like Conviction, and to fortifie your former Consideration, of Sin's being the greatest Evil, with this addition nal Perswasion, of the Vanity and Nullity of all those Pleasures which may allure to the Commisfion of it, that so having lightned this opposit Scale of the Ballance, as well as added weight to the other, this last, by this further advantage, may not fail to weigh down; and you may bet fectually fecured from transgrelling your Duty, when the Evil of it appears to great, and the Pleasure to small.

Which that you may be extend your Thoughts yet further, and confider with your felves, at what rate, and to what degree, God hates Sin. God who is infinite Wisdom, and infinite Love, all whose Wills are govern'd and directed by the

co

活品がおお品

sernal Rules of Order and Realon, and are from Il Eternity form'd and faid out in Number Weight, and Measure, who affighs to every Obeft its due Proportion of Love and Hatred, love ig nothing but what is truly hovely, and fo far It is fuch and frating nothing but what is troly hateful, and fo far as it is fuch. I fay, conider how this great and ruft Dilbenfer of his 1890 and Hatred hates She, and let your Hatred It take its measure from his And if you would day measure it with the same Line wherewith St. does his Love towards Mankind, So God lov-Withe World, Pays St. John, that he gave his only be-And fo may we fay, So God had Sin, that he gave his only begotten Son to be Sacrifice and an Atonement for it. God's Hawed to Sin, was, it feems, fo great, that he himonly could pacifie his Anger for the Commiton of it; which also was so great, that he chose ather that his own beloved Son should bleed and de for it, than that it should go unpunished. And now confider with your felves, whether you can conceive a greater degree of Hatred than this. How could God pollibly hate Sin more, or How could he give a more sensible and convincing Demonitration of his Hatred of it? And if God thought it fuft and Meet to punish Sin fo fevere-If in the Person of his own Son, who also was ready to fink under the weight and burthen of it; the but for a few hours under it; then confider yet further with your felves, how heavy the Stroke of Divine Juffice will fall upon all persevering and impenitent Sinners, when having no interest in the Pallion of their Redeemer, they shall suffer as fully Ii 2

Name of all mailcolors of all mailcolors of all mailcolors of all mailcolors of all mail-

the

ghts what God all the

ter-

fully for themselves, as if no Mediator had interposed; and how little they will be able to stand under the weight of God's displeasure to all Eternity. XXV.

To these Thoughts, concerning the Nature of Sin. and the Confequences of it, you may yet add a further Improvement. By confidering that this is the only Evil which was thought worthy of the Undertaking of the Son of God to deliver us from In the heighth of all that Love and Affection which the Compassionate Saviour of the World had for Perishing Mankind, he did not think it worth his while to rescue them from Pain, Sickness, Poverty, Disgrace, or any of the common Afflictions and Uneafineffes of Human Life, no no even from Death it felf. All these he seemsto have over-look'd, as beneath his Notice, and mworthy his Regard; however we are apt to think them the only Evils. Only Sin and Damnation appear'd to him to be Evils of fuch a Magnitude that he could not endure the thought of our being subjected to them; and to deliver us from thefe. was indeed thought an Undertaking worthy of a Redeemer from Heaven, and from the very Bofom of God. Confider this, till the Confideration of it has given you some Idea and Apprehenfion of the Evil of Sin; if not fuch as our Saviour had in the Garden, when he was about to fuffer for it; yet at least fuch as may ferve to deter you from the Commission of it. And do not vo-Inntarily plunge your felves into fuch a State of Mifery, for the Prevention of which, and only for the Prevention of which, the Lord of Life XXVI. was content to Die.

Free

the

gnil

ajo

DOT.

and for p

the

is at

that

unes

than

Bein

Rela

nefs

ry E

care

and from

later

how

After you have thus arm'd and fortifi'd your Minds.

(479)

linds, by the Confideration of the great Evil of in, turn your Glass upon the contrary side, and let your next Prospect be, of the Beauty and Exellency of Goodness and Vertue, of Righteouses and true Holiness. Consider how highly reaenable it is in it felf, and how becoming of you, rational Creatures; how fuitable to the order nd end of your Beings; and how truly perfelive of your Natures; how it conduces to the Inargement of your Understandings, to the true freedom and Redemption of your Wills (for if be Son shall make you Free, you shall be Free indeed) nd to the Calm of your Passions; and indeed to the general Health, Pleasure, Satisfaction, Tranmility, and Repose of your Souls, which then djoy most Content, when in due Frame and Temwhen their Thoughts are best govern'd, and their Wills and Movements are most Conarmable to Order. Confider that Goodness is the Natural State of the Soul, and how much the hat eafe, and how well she feels her self when in that State; as on the contrary, how restless and measie she is, how full of Pain and rational Difplacency, when otherwise affected and disposed. than by the Law of God, and that of her own Being, the ought to be. Confider what a Natural Relation and Connexion there is between Holiresand Happiness; that the latter is the necessaw Effect and Refult of the former; which procures and produces it as a Natural and Physical Confe; infomuch, that the very inward Reason and Moral Distinction of Good and Evil, is taken from its Natural Aptness, or Unaptness, to the Interest and Happiness of Human Life. Consider, low of its own proper Nature, it tends to the

li 3

true Advantage and Benefit of Man, in all his Canacities, both as a Solitary, and as a Sociable Crea ture; how it procures our Happinels on Earth and what a necessary Preparative and Disposition it is (not only as a Positive, but as a Natural Condition) to qualifie us for that of Heaven, the Fruition of God, into whose Likeness we must first awake before we can be fatisfied with his Beauty, and be partakers of the Divine Nature before we can enjoy it. Consider how pleasant and full of Satisfaction a Holy Life is, in the prefent Exercife and actual Discharge of it; (there being no Joys like the Joys of Religion, and no Peace like the Peace of God) and how pleasant and raviding upon the Review, especially the last, when we come to look back upon a Life well spent upon our Death-beds, and can thence also with Comfort and Satisfaction look forward into the unconceivable as well as unspeakable Joys of Eternity, the last Crown and Reward of a Holy and well-order'd Life.

ly c

oft

of a

Gra

and

and

Mife

Ord

Con

of th

ien i

infu

igio

tures

doft,

ad E

ived

XXVII.

As a Corollary to this Consideration, let me suggest to you another: Whenever you are tempted to Sin by Pleasure (for that's the great Bate and Allurement to Sin) consider that the Pleasures of Innocence are much greater, even at present, setting aside all regard to After-Happines, much more if that be also taken into the account. And that therefore the true Question or Competition does not lie here, whether you will do your Duty or enjoy Pleasure (for you may do both;) not whether you will chuse to Sin or want Pleasure (for you may do neither;) but whether you will enjoy the Pleasures of Sin, or the Pleasures of Innocence

motence and a Holy Life; the Pleasures of Sin that are but for a Season, or the Pleasures of Rightcousness that endure for ever. This you will find
(if you well attend to it) to be the true State of
the Question, whenever you are tempted to Sin;
and by putting it into these Terms, you will
quickly perceive the Absurdity of the strongest
Temptation wherewith the Devil can assault
won. Therefore consider it well.

XXVIII.

C

And when you have let your Thoughts fufficiently expatiate upon this great and double Profpect of the Evil of Sin, and the Reason and Advantage of a Holy Life, let them transport you for a while to the other World, among separate and dearted Spirits, those whose Season and opportuty of Action is over, upon whom the Sun of Grace as well as of Natural Life is gone down; and that Night come in which no Man can work; and who are either in actual Happiness and actual Mifery, or at least in a certain and unalterable Order and Delignation to those respective States. Confider with your felves feriously the Condition of these Spirits, and what Thoughts and Sentidents they have of things. What they think of a inful, and what Sense they have of a holy and rebious Life. What inward Rejoycings, and raptires of Spirit those have, who were so Wise and Happy, as to apply themselves to the latter; and ow they do now justifie and approve their Conof, bleffing and admiring the Grace of God, and with what Anguish, Rage, Self-condemnation ad Regret, those return upon themselves, who wed and indulged themselves in the former. What Retractations! What Repentances! How

do they unwish their Thoughts and their Actions, their Designs and their Undertakings, their Businels and Employments, their Leagues and their Friendships, their Conversations and their merry Meetings, their Jests upon Religion and upon good Men, yea and their very Being! How do they unwish all these things; and how passionately do they wish again for those Opportunities which they once had; and what a value do they set upon that Time which they can never again recover, and which you perhaps misspend and tri-

fle away! XXIX.

But that you may no longer do fo, consider that Eternity is the only considerable State of Man, and that therefore the great and proper Business and work of Time ought to be, to make Preparation for it. Consider, that after a Man has lived so long in the World, as to come to the Use and Exercise of his Reason, it will be high time to think of going out of it again, and to prepare for that World which is to last, and wherein he is to continue for ever. For 'tis fo late before we come to the Use of our Reason; and the rest of the time we have to spend here afterwards is so fhort, and withall fo very uncertain, that the longest liver had not need to mis-employ any part of his Time, but rather Study all the possible ways of improving it. Especially if it be further confider'd, that the Time of this Life is the only Opportunity for transacting the great Affair of Eter-You have it now in your Power to be Happy, to make your great Fortune, and to fecure your Final State; but it will not be always fo. Your Day will end, and your Night will come, and God will not give you another turn of Probation,

ſ

efecond Trial; and therefore it highly concerns you to make the most of this; and to work while tis Day, before the Night come, when no Man can work.

If you are not yet sufficiently moved and affeded with a Sense of these things, Consider what Sentiments and apprehensions of them, you will have when you come to Die, what your Notion and Sense of things will then be; what you will then think of a good and of a wicked Life, of your selves and of the World you live in, and are going from; what your thoughts will then be of all this Shew and Pageantry, which now fo strikes the Senses, and engages the Hearts and Affections of Worldly Men: With what Contempt and Disdain will you now look, now you are going out of the World, upon all those Pomps and Vanities which you renounc'd at your coming into it; and how will you wonder at your felves and all other Men, for having been so often in love with them, and fo long captivated and enflaved by them; and for having so lately discover'd their ntter emptiness and deceit. Confider how vain and infignificant will then appear to you all those little Great things which the World is now so eager upon, and runs fo mad after, Honours, Riches, Pleafores. State and Grandeur, Birth and Quality. Dignities and Preferments; nay, even Wit and Learning, every thing but a good Life and a fatisfied Conscience; and how well you will then wish you had lived. These will then be your Thoughts, and this will then be your great wish. Endeavour therefore to have the same Thoughts now that you will have then; and to live now, as you will wish you had lived, when you come to Die. XXXI.

enterioro y la til til a vovent b

XXXII bas s lent hamile

And to make you the more fensible of this, that you may the better imagin to your felves that fense of things which you will have when you come to Die, it would be a very advantageous Practice. frequently to recollect with your felves what your thoughts and apprehensions of things really, mere; and what Sentiments you actually had, when at any time under a great and dangerous state of Sickness. Recollect and consider (which you may the more easily do, because tis a thing of actual Experiment) what you then thought of your selves, and of your Condition; of the Life that you had led, of the Time that you had mif-fpent, of the infinite Vanities and Follies you had been guilty of of the Duties you had neglected or but carelelly and imperfectly perform'd; and of the World of Sins you had committed, and it may be till then, had lived in without Repentance; and of the Hell which you had deferv'd, and which for ought that you knew, you were then to be plung'd into, and for ever after to fuffer in. Confider I fay, what thoughts you then actually had of these things; and withall, what strong Resolutions, Promises, and Vows, you then made to amend and reform your Lives in case it should. please God to Reprieve you a little longer from the Sentence of Death, by restoring you again to your Health. Well, he has done fo; you have recover'd your Health, and you have lost your Thoughts and Convictions. But what a strange thing is this! Do you think that your Health makes any real Change in the nature and truth of Things? Those things that were true in your Sickness, are they not as true now; and will they not

not be as true for ever? Or do you give greater Credit to your Well than to your Sick Indement? But confider that you would have the fame moughts when you are well, as you had in your ckness, if you did but afford the fame Attention. nd had your Spiritual Senses equally awake, and our outward Senies equally difingaged from worldly Objects. Consider therefore, that those sick thoughts are most likely to be true, and according to the right Ideas of things; and that therefore they will certainly return again when you shall be in the same Condition, and things hall be placed to you in the same Light. will return again whenever you return to your felves, whenever you dare think; or if you should by Arts of Divertion Ward them off for a while. yet you will be fure to meet with them again when within View of Death; for they are part of her Train and Retinue. But 'tis best to have them before, and to live in the full Senfe, and under the constant direction and government of them, And indeed I cannot give you better instruction in order to a holy Life, than, in one word, fo to lead your Lives, as you shall wish when you come to Die, and as you have already wish'd when you And that you may do fo. were Sick. XXXII.

I further advise you, to be much in the Contemplation of the fhortness and uncertainty of Life, and of the Day of God's Grace; whose limits are equally uncertain, and perhaps of a shorter and narrower compass than those of your Natural Life. Be as much also in the Contemplation of the four last things, Heaven, Hell, Death and Judgment Place your selves frequently upon your

your Death-beds, in your Cossins, and in your Graves. Act over frequently in your Minds, the Solemnity of your own Funerals; and entertain your Imaginations with all the lively Scenes of Mortality. Meditate much upon the Places, and upon the Days of Darkness, and upon the Fewness of those that shall be faved; and be always with your Hour-glassin your hands, measuring out your own little Span, and comparing it with the endless Circle of Eternity. These are great and engaging Thoughts, and such as will lessen, contract, nay, even annihilate any thing that shall be placed to gether, and compared with them.

WC

lefe

ent

di

011

nei Tra

mer

of y

fro

hin

box

in

di Da

XXXIII.

There is indeed nothing that can diminish the Idea's of these things to a Mind assured of the Truth of them, but only that which represents every thing little, Distance and Futurity, The greatest Objects when a great way off, appear, but little to the Eye; and Futurity represents things after the same manner to the Mind. Even Heaven and Hell, and Eternity it felf, when eyed thro' this end of the Prospective, lose their just and native Dimensions, and draw up into so many little Points, while in the mean time, this World of ours which really is fo, by this one fingle advantage of being Present, makes a very bulky Figure, and appears as Great as it is Near. There is fomething in the. very Name of the World to come, that even to those who are perswaded of its future Existence, lessens the Idea of it. But you are to consider that this is a meer Fallacy of our Imaginations, as the other is of our Senfes. For distance of time can make no more real Change in the true and natural dimenfions of things, than Distance of Place can; and

(487)

hings Future, if of a certain and infallible event. wht to have the same Power and Moment with as if they were prefent for that they will be oneme or other, or elfethey are not now truly Future. This therefore I fay, is a meer Fallacy of our Imamations, to make the Futurity of a thing diminuive of its greatness. And tho it be a good Remeragainst it to remember that it is so, yet I think would be a better, if in your Contemplations of hefe great Objects, you would no longer repreent them to your Mind as Futurities, and things at distance but consider them as Prefent Realities, as Objects that bear hard upon, and almost touch our very Eyes, Lay therefore the great and last cenes of the Divine Drama often before you; ace them in your very next View; and imagin very part of the dreadful Solemnity, as in present Transaction, Imagin to your felves, that you now ear the Arch-Angels Trump founding to Judgment, and calling you and all the Sons of Adam out of your Graves to meet your Judge, and receive from him your final Doom. Imagin that you fee him coming in the Clouds of Heaven with Power and great Glory, with his Angelick Attendance about him, and the Standard of the Cross carried before him. That you fee his Throne erected, the ludgment set, and the Books open'd. That you behold all Nations gather'd together before him. and the Dead, both Small and Great, standing at the Bar of his Justice, and, with trembling, waiting for the great deciding Sentence. Imagin that you fee him making his Preparatory Division, feparating them one from another, as a Shepherd divides his Sheep from the Goats; placing the Sheep upon his Right Hand, and the Goats upon the

the Left And here frand a while and make a Paule and imagin if you can, what Passions of Joy and Horror respectively, this preparatory Distinction will raise in the Parties concern'd, what a Deloge of Grief, Confternation and Despair, must over. flow the Spirits of them, whole Lot is to be placed on the Left hand! And what Tides and Inunda. tions of Joy and Confolation must break in upon those Happy Souls, whom their fmiling Judge, as an Omen of his following Favour, places on his Right Hand. Who can imagin the Sentiments that will follow upon fuch a Signal, fuch a Fatal Difference! But if you would have fome Notion of it, try it upon your felves, fancy your felves now placed on the Right Hand, now on the Left, and le with what different Sentiments you will be affected. But to go on, imagin now after this vilible Distinction made of all Men, that you hear and fee the Judge proceed to that which shall feparate them for ever, the last and irrepealable Sentence Taying to those on his Right Hand, Come ye Bl fed, &c. and then to those on his Left, Depure from me ye Curfed, &c. Imagin, if you can, how differently these two Sentences will be pronounced, and how differently they will be receiv'd. Then let your Imagination go on and attend the Bleffed into Heaven, and the Damned into Hell, where both enter upon their respective Portions, the greatest Happiness and the greatest Misery, and that not for a few Months, Years, or Ages, but for a whole Eternity, without the least Hope or Few of any End. Make all thefe things as prefent to your Minds, as the things of this World are to your Senfes, lay them out thus before you, contemplace them at hand, and when by this Anticipati-

は他にいいのは、

Eff

份

the lt things of Eternity, and have by that Paich, which is the Substance of things hoped for, and the Evidence of things not feen, given the next World the fame Advantage that this his, that of being Present, you may then (as no longer living by some but by Paich) fecurely trample upon all these temptations of the World, to which you be others, for want of this intellectual view, yield every day; and may make the World it Tell, with all its Pomp and Glory, vanish and disappear then you please. But for your further Security, and you please.

Whenever you undertake any Set and Deliberate Action (for as for those that are done by fudden prevention of Inflinet, and as it were Mechanical Impulso, as spreading out ones Hunds then one is like to fall they come under no moral Estimation) I would have you fit down and confider, whether you can any way refer that Action to the Glory of God; whether you can truly and incerely fay, Lord, I do this Action for thee, and in regard to thy Honour and Glory And in cafe the Nature and Quality of the Action be fuch that It will not admit of an Affirmative Answer to that demand, I advise you not to meddle with that Action For as that Action which has no end at all. bur is done by the mere force of Mechanilm, is no Haman Action, fo that which has an ultimate end, thort of the Glory of God, and that does not terminate in him, can be no good Action, For God ought to be the End as well as the Beginning of all our ways; and even our most indifferent Actions ought to have a reference to his Glory, according to that general Advice of the Apostle, Whether you eat or drink, or what soever ye do, do all to the Glory of God.

XXXV. But in case the Action be of a doubtful and un certain Nature, and carry in it a double Appearance, fo that you have some Diffidence and Sufpence in your Resolutions about it, I would then advise you for the greater Security of your Innocence, to confider, what you think Jesus Christ, if he were now upon Earth, according to the Idea you have of him from the account of his Life in the Gospels, would do in such a case. Whether he who fought the Glory, and was conformable to the Will of God in all things, would do such an Action or no. If you think upon a due Estimation and Collation of things that he would not neither by my advice should you. For to be fure that which would not become Christ to do, can never be fit for a Christian.

XXXVI.

fe

Ar

he

be

ado

Lif

tos

the

19 }

Th

in.

Mo

më

To exemplifie these two Rules, by an Instance applicable to both: Suppose you were invited or otherwise disposed to go to a Ball or a Play; before you adventure to do fo, I would advise you to pause a while and confider whether you can posfibly make any reference of fuch an Action as that is, to the Glory of God; whether you can in any true Sense or real meaning say thus, Lord I do this thing, I go to this Play or this Ball, for thee and for thy fake; in the force of thy Love, and in pursuance of thy Glory. If your Conscience an-Iwers no, I would by no means advise you to go. But if it answers doubtfully, and with Hefitation and Suspence. I would then have you put your lelves to this Question, Whether or no you do in your

jour Conscience really think, that the Holy and Bleffed Jefus, according to that Character and Representation you have of him, and his way of livig in the Gofpel would if now again boon Earth. to fuch an Action? Whether you do verily think. that is would confift with that Grave, Serious and recollected Spirit, and that conftant Air of Devotion and Religious Severity, which appear'd in our Saviour's ordinary Life, and the general way of his Conversation, to go to a Play or a Ball, and whether you think he would do it? If upon a ferious Confideration you think he would not whatever plaufible Arguments you may hear from 64 thers, or fuggest to your felves for the Lawfulness of it. I would by no means advise you to venture upon fuch an Action, to do that which you your lelves at the same time think your Lord and Mafler would not do; and yet, which confidering the Arength of his Vertue, and that Force and Power he had to withstand the greatest Temptations, he might more fafely do than you.

XXXVII.

To the foregoing Considerations I shall only add one more, and which I shall recommend to you as a general and very compendious Instrument for your assistance towards a Holy and Religious Life, and that is, That you would bring your selves to an Habitual Sense and Consideration of the Omsipresence and Omniscience of God, that by reason of the Insinity both of his Essence and Knowledge, he is present in every Place, and privy to every Thought, that you cannot go where he is not, or downat he does not see; that you have your Life, Motion, and Being in him, and that you are always moter his Bye; that he is about your Path, and a-

bont your Bed, and spies out all your ways, which are better known to him than they are to your felves; that he is an Effence without Bounds, and a Light without Darkness; that he fees and knows every thing, and is every where; and that you cannot Sin against him, but you must Sin in him, Confider this, and frand in awe, and Sin not ; Commune with your own Hearts, and in your Chamber (in your most secret Retirements) and be still, having your Thoughts and Passions so quiet and composed, and in fuch decent Silence and Repose, as becomes that awful Presence you are in, even when most alone. Habituate your felves to fet God always before you, as you are always before bim; and let every Place be to you as a Temple, as an Holy of Holies, vea, even as Heaven it felf; that fo being always in his Light, and having your Eye fix'd upon his, you may not dare to commit any Indecency, left you be found guilty of that most aftonishing Impudence of the Apostate Angels, of Sinning in the very Face and Presence of God.

XXXVIII.

This is all that I have to offer you by way of rational Reflection and Confideration, for your Incitement to a Holy Life, and your Affiftance in it. I shall now only commend to you some few things by way of Practice and Exercise, in order to the same End, and so conclude all I have to say to you upon this occasion.

XXXIX.

If therefore you would be truly Good and Religious, Holy in all manner of Conversation, and govern your Lives and Actions well, let it be your first and great Care to govern your Thoughts, to look to the Springs and Wheels of your Intelle-

thal Frame; and as the Wife Man advises, To keep your Hearts with all Diligence, to keep them Pure and Undefiled, since out of them are the Issue both of Life and Death: Which tho a certain and necessary Duty, as being one of those particular Improvements whereby the Christian has advanced the Jewish Law, I would not have you look upon so much as a New Commandment of it self, as a more easie Method and Expedient of performing all the rest.

n-

1-

nt.

IT

d

t

XL.

Further, Be advised in all that you do, to set your felves at the greatest distance from Evil, to abstain from all Appearances of it, and from all Beginnings and Approaches towards it. Appearances of it chiefly upon the account of others, and that you may avoid giving Scandal and Offence. From all Beginnings and Approaches towards it chiefly for your own fakes, as gaining a threefold Advantage by fuch a Distance, in that you will thereby enjoy a greater Innocence, greater Ease, and greater Safety. Greater Innocence, as being by this means intirely and wholly free from the Guilt of those Sins which you forbear; not so much as being within the first Degrees, or touching upon the edges and borders of them. Greater ease, because 'tis easier to abstain from the first Beginnings of Sin, than from a further Progress in it after you have once begun. As 'tis easier to prevent your first Motions down a Hill, than to stop your descent when once in motion. Nor is there fo much difficulty in not admitting, as in ejecting a Temptation after 'tis once lodg'd, and has been kindly entertain'd. And laftly, by this Practice you will enjoy greater Safety, becaufe.

cause greater Ease; there being not so much danger of yielding to what you can so easily for bear, as to that whose for bearance is more painful and uneasie. For the danger of chusing Sin, increases according to the greatness of the Evil which you avoid by chusing it; and he that would suffer four degrees of Pain rather than Sin, would yet perhaps rather Sin than suffer sive degrees of Pain.

p

n

0

For which reason. XLI.

I advise you a gan, to lessen as much as you can, the natural weight of your Concupiscence, by a Privation of Pleasure, and by a continual Denial and Mortification of your Senfes and Passions. For the more you indulge your felves in the Enjoyment of Pleasure, the more you inflame your natural Thirst after it, and the more you make your felves Slaves to it. And the less you indulge it, and the more sparing and abstemious you are in it, the more cold and indifferent you will grow towards it. Your Defires will contract themselves for want of being gratified(as Men by much Fasting lose their Stomacks) and after many repeated Denials, will at length cease to crave, and so your natural Concupifcence will decrease and abate much of its weight. Which you find to be a thing of vast Advantage and Importance in order to a good Life. For by this means you will be the more fix'd and steddy in adhering to your Duty, as having the less Temptation to trangress it ; and not only so but you will also make such degrees of God's Grace become Successful and Efficacious upon you, whichotherwise would not be so, fince a less measure of Grace will suffice, where there is less Concupiscence to refift it. For it is clear and certain, that the Efficacy of Divine Grace does ordinarily depend

25 nd 10-

pend upon the Disposition in which it finds us, in relation to sensible Good, as the action of a Weight in a Ballance does depend, as to its effect, upon the power and force of the Weight that is opposite. And let me tell you that this is the only certain way that you have in your power, to render the Grace of God Prevalent and Effectual For 'tis with the Will of Man as with a Ballance, both whose Scales are charg'd with their respective Weights; in which, if you would have this or that determinate Scale weigh down, there are but two possible ways of doing it, either by adding more weight to that which you would have predominant, or lessening the weight that is in the other. But now we cannot make the Grace of God Efficacious the first way, by adding more weight to that Scale of the Will, (for we have not the Grace of God in our power or disposal, so as to diffribute what we please of it to our felves.) All therefore that we can do towards it, is only to leffen the Weight of Concupifcence that is in the other Scale, which indeed amounts to the same, as if more degrees of Grace were added; & by this means we may contribute to make the Grace of God Successful and Vi Aorious. Which Itake to be the true Reason and Usefulness of Mortification and Self-devial, which by Privation of fenfible Pleasure, lessens the Defire or Concupiscence of it, and so serves to further the Prevalency and Efficacy of Grace: upon which is grounded that remarkable Counsel given by our Saviour (the very fame that I now give you,) He that will come after me, let him deny himself.

XLII.

To this Mortification of your Senfes and Paffi-K 3 ons, ons, Privation of fensible Pleasures, I would have you in the next place (as far as the Circumstances of your life will allow) to joyn Retreat and Solitude; to Converse as much with your selves, and as little with the World as you can. Were the World never fo much better than we find it is or can hope it will, this would be very expedient Counsel; but as it now is, it is plainly necessary. For the present Corruption of the World is such, that 'tis danger enough to live in it, tho a Man be not very intimate, or much Conversant with it. But as for those that are fo their Condition is extremelyHazardous; and they had need have a much greater Measure of Grace than other Men, to make it Secure, For if the Friendship of the World be (as St. James tells us)Enmity with God, fure even to have familiar Commerce and intimate Conversation with it, cannot but greatly endanger our Interest in his Love. The truth is there is little to be got, and a great deal to be loft by being much abroad, and by using much the Conversation of Men; especially in publick Places, and promiscuous Companies. Their Hearts are generally Corrupt, and that makes their Discourse so; which even when most Civil and best govern'd, serves for little elfe, but to raise false Idea's in the Minds of the Hearers, to confirm them in their Prejudices, to renew upon them their ill Impressions; and to infinuate into them the Love of fensible Objects, The Language of the World is generally that of Concupiscence; which being the leading and governing Principle in Mens Hearts, becomes the Spring that gives Motion to their Tongues ; and is the Seisin and Leven that mixes and diffuses it self with all their Communications; which therefore es

d

s,

e

ıt

for the most part carry a poisonous Breath, a Spirit of Malignity with them; and at belt, are impertinent and fallacious, full of illusion and deceit, mifrepresenting, confounding, and transposing the Natures of things; putting Evil for Good, and Good for Evil; Darkness for Light, and Light for Darkness; and teaching Men to apprehend things either quite otherwise, or at least much greater or less than they really are; and so utterly deceiving them either in the Nature or in the proportion of Things, But after all the Examples of the World are by much, more Dangerous than its Difcour fings; and good Manners are more corrupted by its ill Presidents, than by its ill Communications. For befides that, generally Mens way of living is less Conformable to Order and Reason than their Difcourse,(it being more easie to talk well, than to act well)there is also this further difference, that their Example is a breathing and living Language, a Language that enters and perswades those to whom it is address'd, a Language that every body understands; and (fuch is the force of imitation) which very few find it in their power to relist. We can lend an Ear to what People talk, without being presently or very much disposed to Act it; but there is a fort of Sympathy in us that inclines us, even before we think of it, and as it were Mechanically to do what we see others do, And besides we think our felves in a manner obliged to do it, upon a mistaken Notion of Civility and Complaisance, and to avoid the unpardonable Offence of being fingular, and of Condemning the general by our own private Conduct. And therefore, fince upon both these Accounts, there is so much danger, in Society, (I speak of Common Society; for I am K 4 not

fuch

ther

Em

the

hev

No

T

CO

th

hit

not convering with a few well-chosen Friends,) and considering the malignity of popular Discourses and Examples, you can hardly Converignuch in the World without partaking of its Spirit, and being seis'd by its Insection, I would advise you to retire from it as much as conveniently you can; and declining all unnecessary Ingagments and Alliances, to betake your selves to the safe Hambour of Solitude and Retreat; where you may breathe a purer Air, live to Gad and your selves; attend with less Distraction upon the Grand Concern, and withall, Pray for those who are out a broad, & passing the Waves of this traublesome World.

And that you may imploy your Solitude the better, I would have you retire much within your felves, as well as from the Noise and Tumult of the World: Converse much with your own Thoughts, (which when all is done, you will find to be the best and most instructive Company) observe narrowly the Movements of your Wills and Affectionsiexamine your Lives, make frequent Reflections upon the State of your Souls, and endeavour to improve the Perfection both of your Intellectual and Moral Part, by meditating upon the best Subjects, and by reading the best Books. And those I call fo, which favour most of the Life and Spitit of Religion; and are most apt to transfuse it into the Hearts and Minds of their Readers. As for Plays and Romances, I would have you wholly decline the use of them, For that's to defeat the Ends of your Retirement by bringing the World into your Closet. And for ought I know, you were better be abroad and publick in the World, than to have the World with you thus in private. Not that I think the reading fuch

fich Books absolutely unlawful; but only that there is a great deal of Danger and Corruption in dien: And that as to the Wir, Language, and other Embellishments that they pretend to, and for which the Admirers of their recommend their Uft, the same may be met with in better Books; which have all the Beauty and Sweetness, without the Poison. Nor would I have you bestow much time in reading Books of Comrever fe, and Diffoutes about Religion: Tis a thing of great Labour, and but little profit, there being not fo much Truth gain'd by it as will compensate for the Loss of Charity. For that which the World is pleas'd to call Controver fie, is generally little elfe than a Litigions Wrangle, proceeding upon Darkness and Observity, Fallacies and Equivocations, double Acceptation of Words, and Confulion of Idea's from Mens miltaking and mishating the thing in Question from mis-undestanding of the Point, of themselves, and of one another. And while Men do fo, they may difpute for ever, without knowing when they agree or when they differ. Leave therefore thefe Wranglers to enjoy the Dost which they raise, and while they Dispute, do you learn to Live. Only Philofophy I would not have you neglect; but, if you have Education and Opportunity for it, to make a confiderable part of your Study. For that will open and inlarge your Minds, give you true thorough Views and idea's of things, bring you accquainted with your felves as well as with External Nature, and lay an excellent Ground and Foundation for Morality and Religion. But when I speak of Philosophy, I mean true Philosophy; not that which reigns in the Schools, (which after agreat deal of Time and Pains spent in it, I think to be a mere Fantaftick Amufement, made

refin

larg

wit

fin

Sc

made up of infignificant. Terms, and a company of loole indeterminate Maxims, all built upon dark unintelligible Principles; and therefore to be as great a Corruption of the Understanding as fome of those things but now mention'd are of the Will and Manners) but the Cartesian and the Experimental Philosophy. But because the latter of thefe will require a greater Stock of Wealth and Temporal Estate, for the due Prosecution of it. than you are ever like to receive from me, I mention it only for order's fake, advising you chiefly to imploy what vacant Hours you have from things of an higher Importance, in the thorough Study and repeated Perusal of Descartes his Philosophy: Which notwithstanding the imputation of Atheifm, which some are pleased to throw upon it a Silly Charge, and fuch as nothing but their Ignorance of him can excuse) I take to be the only intelligible Frame of Natural Science that has yet appear'd in the World, and the only intire System that deferves the name of Philosophy. And tho perhaps every thing be not exactly true in it, yet I think there is nothing in it but what is truly intelligible; and withall, that there is fo much of real Truth in it, as, if well purfued, will lead you into a great deal more; and fuch Truths too, as are of the greatest Importance in order to a well-principled Theory of Morality and Religion. But if you would have a general Instrument of Knowledge, an universal Key, a Book that will throughly regulate, order, and form your Understandings, and teach you how to use your Intellectual Powers for the avoiding of Error; and conduct you in the Search of Truth, (a Search almost as unsuccessful as that of Happiness) that will instruct you in the most

be

as

he

.

of d

,

most Fundamental Theories, and prepare you for all that is further intelligible, that will purifie and refine your Minds, and brighten, clear up and inlarge your Thoughts; that will rid you of all your Prejudices and Sensible Prepossessions, give you dear and distinct Idea's of things, and furnish you with true and folid Principles of Science, and with the most necessary and important Conclusions, In fine. If you would have a Book that is alone a Liwery, and an ever-rising and flowing Spring of Knowledge, that ought never to be out of your Hands, but always to be read, studied, dwelt and fed upon till it be digested, made your own, and converted as it were into the very Substance of your Souls, let me recommend to you M. Malebranche de la Recherche de la Verite, a Book (tho for some confiderable while extant) but little known, and whose worth is less understood, which the fatfly Learned hate, because they cannot endure its Light, and yet of fuch excellent and univerfal Ufe, that 'tis great pity any Study should be without it, or any Person ignorant of it, that is capable of understanding it. For indeed, to speak out freely what I think (tho it be a more nice and hazardous thing to give Characters of Books than of Men) I take it to be upon all accounts one of the best Books that is in the World; and that of all Human Composures, there is none that does better ferve the Interests of Truth, and of true Religion. I fay Religion, for that ought to be the End of all, and thither I must again return, advising you to employ your Solitude and Retirement chiefly in the Religious and Devotional part, in prayer and Divine Meditations, and in reading the Holy Scriptures, and other good and profitable Books, and ever to remember,

tr

ms

fai

田田

th

10

b

ñ

C

R

member, that in the greatest Solitude you are not

XLIV.

But whether you be in Solitude or in Company, let this be your general Rule and Practice. To frudy the Imitation of Christ to form your selves upon his Model and to conform your Inward Spirit, and your outward Conversation to his Divine Example, who spent his Solitudes in Devotion, and his more publick Convertes in Works of Mercy and Charity, doing good both to the Bodies and to the Souls of Men, Jefus Christ is proposed to us as our great Pattern and Example, as well as Law-giver and Teacher, and he would not have been a compleat Teacher without it, his Example being one (and perhaps the most powerful) way whereby he teaches us. One great reason of our Saviour's leading such an excellent and exact Life was, that we might take a Copy of it, and use it as a Pattern and Model upon all Occasions; and it may be this is the only reason of its being recorded and transmitted to Posterity, that among the infinite ill examples we continually meet with in the World, we might have one standing and never-falling President, both for our Direction and our Encouragement in the ways of Holiness. And indeed 'tis the best Pattern we have, and the only one which we can intirely follow, and which alone will lead us to Heaven. Christ is a spre and sa fe Guide, and such as may be followe'd without Doubt or Danger, For so he himself tells us that he is the Way the Truth, and the Life, and that he that follows him walks not in Darkness; as indeed how should he, having the true Light of the World before him. Let me therefore conclude all my Spiritual In**ftructions**

HOE

bis nd

is

d

E

r

Aructions with this general Advice, To form to jour selves in the first place, from frequent reading of the Gospel, as true an Idea of the Spirit and Life of Christ, as possibly you can. And then to form your own Spirits and Lives upon this Divine Model, confulting this great Idea in all Accidents and Emergencies, having it always before you. and your Eye steddily fix'd upon it, that so you may draw as near the Life as may be and may as far as the Condition of Human Infirmity will permit be Followers of God as Dear Children. Confeer him therefore in his Retirements, when converling with God; and confider him in Company, when converling with the World. Place him to your Eye in both Lights, and study to imitate his Devotion in the former, and his great Charity in the latter, that fo joyning the Excellencies of his Active and Contemplative Life together, and endeavouring to transcribe his Obedience to both the Tables of the Law, you may compleat and fill up your measure of Righteousness, ever remembring that he is the Best Christian, not that knows most, or believes most, or that can talk most of Christianity, but, who is in the Heart and Life the nearest Follower of Christ

XLV.

Thefe (my Children) are the most Material and Fundamental Instances of Spiritual Counsel I have to bequeath to you, being the Results of my own Reason and Experience, which I advise you to consider and to sollow, and if you sind (as I hope you will) benefit by them, be the more diligent in the use and observation of them, and the more Thankful to God for his Grace, upon all your Endeavours begging his Blessing. To whose especial

especial Care and good Providence I commit you, beseeching him to bestow both upon you and me such a measure of his gracious Assistance, that we may all so live in his Fear, as to die in his Favour, and at last meet together in the Blessed Enjoyment of his Eternal Glory. Amen.

POSTSCRIPT.

Here are two Advices more that I should have commended to you, and which you shall now have in the same order as they occur to my Thoughts, One of them is by way of Consider. ation and the other is by way of Practice. That by way of Confideration is this, That you would consider that there can be no true Enjoyment of Life, till you are got above the Fear of Death, that alone being enough to strike a Damp into all your Pleasures and Enjoyments. And that the only thing that can put you above the Fear Death, is a Good Life. And that therefore even upon this account there is an absolute Neceffity of living well, that you may live with any tolerable Comfort or fatisfaction, that you may enjoy your Lives while you have them. The other by way Practice is this, That you would have fuch a continual Watch and Guard upon your Thoughts and Actions, as never to tolerate your felves in fuch a State of Life as you would be afraid to Die in Because, considering the uncertainty of Death, there is no assignable part of the time you live in, but what you may die in.

for

the

the Creation of the Lord of the Creation of the Creation; the course of the Course of

Adore thee, O thou infinitely Great and Good God, Maker and Lord of Heaven and Earth: Worthy art thou, O Lord, to receive all Honour and Power's for thou haft Created all things, and for thy Pleasure they are and were Created.

Send down thy holy Spirit, O most merciful Father, upon me thy Servant; and so fill me with thy Heavenly Grace and Benediction, that I may become a Living Temple, sanctified and devoted to thy Honour and Service 2 and such wherein thou may st delight to dwell, and make the place of thy rest and abode both now and for ever.

And to this end, grant me seriously to consider what thou art, what I my self am, and what I ought to be. That thou art a God greatly to be fear'd for thy Power and Justice, greatly to be loved for thy infinite Perfection and Goodness, and greatly to be praised for thy liberal Bounty and Loving-kindness. That thou art both the Author and the End of my Being my true and only Good, the Cause of all my Joy and Happiness; and the great Centre and Stay of thy whole Creation.

Grant me also seriously to consider what I my self am, that I am a Creature; One that once was not, and is now a poor, empty, weak and imperfect Being. That I have nothing but what I receive from thee, that I can do nothing but what I do by thee, that I can know nothing but what I know in thee and in thy Light; and that in thee I have my Life, my Motion, my Be-

ing, my Happiness, and my all.

Grant me also seriously to consider, that I am not only thy Creature, but my Own; not only a Creature but a sinful Creature; a Creature acting against the End of his Creaton; against the Law of his Creator; a gainst the Dignity of his Nature, and against his true and helf Interest, both here and hereafter. That I have not loved thee as in strictness I ought; with my whole Hours, Soul, and Arind and Strongth, nor as I might, according to the Measures of thy Grace, and my present Abilities; my indeed as I have loved this World, and the Vanities of it, having been a lover of Plea-

fure more than a lover of God.

Grane me also lastly, thy Grace seriously to consider what I flould be both towards Thee, my Neighbour, and my Self. That I ought to be Holy, Just Charstable, and Temperate. That I ought not to tive carelesty and an random, as those that have no Sense of thee, nor of their Daty, and that believe neither Heaven nor Hell : but as one that now lives in thy Prefence, and must hereafter give thee an Account, and be Eternally Happy or Eternally Miserable, according as he demeans bimfelf in this short time of Trial. And that therefore denying all Ungodiness and Worldly Lufts, I should live Godly, Righteoufly, and Soberly in this prefent World; looking for that bleffed Hope, and the Glorious appearing of the Great God, and of our Saviour Jefus Christ. Fix O Lord thefe Confiderations in my Mind, and let them fink down fo deep into my Heart, that they may bring forth in me the Fruits of good Living; that so my Spirit may be Pure and Righe within me, my Life may be Holy, my Death Comfortable, my Refurrettion Joyfal, and my Eternal State Happy and Gloriom with thee my God for ever. Amen.

Grant

